

Poonam Rani¹ and Arti Saxena²

¹Faculty of Huminities and Applied Sciences, Echelon Institute of Technology, Faridabad, Haryana, 2 Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies Faridabad, Haryana, 121004,

Abstract

The aim of this study is to obtain fixed points by adopting the approach of extended C iric´ contraction mapping in the notion of complete quasi-partial b-metric space. Furthermore, we have extended the Bota's Theorem and es- tablished the corresponding fixed point results in the setting of quasi-partial-b metric space. Our result is supported with a suitable example.

Keywords: fixed point; C iric' - contraction; Bota's - contraction; quasi partial b metric

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 54H25, 47H10, 46T99.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Metric fixed point theory was first developed by the renowned mathematician Ba- nach [1] who commenced the pivotal result named Banach Contraction Principle. This result has an extensive application in finding the unique solution of certain integral equation. i.e., Consider a self mapping S on a non-empty set U. Let d be a complete metric on U. If there exists a constant $\rho \in$ [0, 1) s. t.

d(Sξ, Sv) \leq $\rho d(\xi, v)$ for all $\xi, v \in U$,

then S possesses a unique fixed point in X. Many researchers defined the various other forms of new contractive conditions and generalized new spaces in different fields. See $[2, 3, 4]$. One of prominent space is partial metric space which was presented by Matthews $\lceil 5 \rceil$ in 1994. Later on, several authors obtained generalized version of celebrated Banach contraction principle. See [6, 7, 8]. As we know the fact that in Banach contraction principle, self map S is continuous which is con- sidered to be a weakness of the theorem. To remove this superfluous condition of

continuity, Kannan [9] introduced a new mapping known as a Kannan contraction i.e., $d(S\xi, Sv) \leq \rho[d(\xi, S\xi) + d(v, Sv)]$ for all $\xi, v \in U$,

where $\rho \in \mathcal{Q}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

 . In 1968, Bryant [10] introduced a new concept in contraction i.e., A map S need not have to be a contraction, but for some n N, the map $Sⁿ$ may be a contraction. Sehgal [11] ∈ (U,d) and α [0,1) and a self map S : U U be a continuous map. If for each ξ U there exists a positive extended the notion and established a unique fixed point. i.e., Consider a complete metric space integer $k = k(\xi)$ such that

 $d(S^{k(\xi)}\xi, S^{k(\xi)}v) \leq \alpha d(\xi, v)$ for all $\xi, v \in U$.

Banach contraction, e.g., a self mapping S : U U is called a Reich-contraction if there are α_1 , α_2 , α_3 [0, 1) and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 1$ such that \in Hence S is a unique fixed point in U. On expansion of contractive maps, in 1972, Reich [12] introduced a new class of mappings which is a generalisation of the Kannan contraction and α_3 [0, 1) and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < 1$ such that

$$
d(S\xi, Sv) \leq \alpha_1 d(\xi, S\xi) + \alpha_2 d(v, Sv) + \alpha_3 d(\xi, v) \qquad \text{for all } \xi, v \in U.
$$

complete metric space (U, d) if there are $\rho \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that A self map S : $U \rightarrow U$ is called a Reich–Rus–C^{$'$} iric['] contraction mapping on a 3

 $d(Sξ, Sv) ≤ ρ[d(ξ, v) + d(ξ, Sξ) + d(v, Sv)],$

for all ξ , v X, then S possesses a unique fixed point. See [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. As a generalisation of spaces, Gupta and Gautam [20, 21] defined quasi- partial b -metric space(QPBMS) and established fixed point results on this space. Since then, many authors have contributed in the development of metric fixed point theory. [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. For further study related to this field see([29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34].

In this paper, we have proved the existence of fixed point in extended C iric' con- traction and

Bota's contraction in this space.

Let us recall the basic definitions of a QPBMS.

Definition 1.1 ([20]) Let (U, qp_b) is a QPBMS where U is a non-empty set and qp_b defined as qp_b : $U \times U \rightarrow R^+$ such that for some real number $s \ge 1$ and all ξ , v , $z \in U$:

1. $qp_b(\xi, \xi) = qp_b(\xi, v) = qp_b(v, v)$ implies $\xi = v$,

- 2. $qp_b(\xi, \xi) \le qp_b(\xi, \nu),$
- 3. $qp_b(\xi, \xi) \le qp_b(v, \xi),$

4. $q_{p_b}(\xi, v) \leq s[qp_b(\xi, z) + qp_b(z, v)] - qp_b(z, z)$. Here s is defined as a coefficient of

 (U, qp_b) .

Let qp_b be a QPBM on the set U. Then

 $d_{\text{qob}}(\xi, v) = qp_b(\xi, v) + qp_b(v, \xi) - qp_b(\xi, \xi) - qp_b(v, v)$ is a b-metric on X.

Lemma 1.1 ($\lceil 21 \rceil$) Let (U, qp_b) be a QPBMS. Then:

- 1. If $qp_b(\xi, v) = 0$ then $\xi = v$.
- 2. If $\xi \neq v$, then $qp_b(\xi, v) > 0$ and $qp_b(v, \xi) > 0$.

Definition 1.2 ([21]) Let us consider a QPBM (U, qp_b). Then

1. a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset U$ converges to $\xi \in U$ iff qpb(ξ, ξ) = lim $_{n\rightarrow\infty}$ qp_b(ξ , ξ _n) = lim $_{n\rightarrow\infty}$ qp_b(ξ_n, ξ).

2. a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset U$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence iff

3. The QPBMS (U, qp_b) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ ⊂ U converges with respect to τ_{qpb} to a point $\xi \in X$ such that

qp_b(ξ, ξ) = $qp_b(\xi_n, \xi_m) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty}$ qpb(ξm, ξn).

lim n,m→∞

4. A map $g: U \to U$ is continuous at $\xi_0 \in U$ if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist

 δ > 0 such that $g(B(\xi_0, \delta)) \subset B(g(\xi_0), \epsilon)$.

Lemma 1.2 ([23]) Consider (U, qp_b) be a QPBMS and (U, d_{qpb}) be the corre- sponding b-metric space. Then (U, d_{qpb}) is complete if (U, qp_b) is complete.

Lemma 1.3 ([24]) Let (U, qp_b) be a QPBMS and S : $U \rightarrow U$ be a given map. S is called a sequentially continuous at z $\in U$ if for each sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ in U converging to z, we have: $S\xi_n \to$ Sz, i.e., q p_b (S ξ_n , Sz) = q p_b (Sz, Sz).

2 Main Results

We start this section by the following result.

map. If for each ξ U there exists a positive integer $n = n(\xi)$ such that 1 and S
≥ U be a self **Theorem 2.1** Let us consider (U, qp_b) be a complete QPBMS with s

$$
qp_b(S^n\xi, S^n\nu) \le \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi, \nu), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2\nu),
$$

..., d($\xi, S^n\nu$), d($\xi, S^n\xi$)} (2.1)

satisfies for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and all $v \in U$, then S has a unique fixed point $\xi \in U$. Moreover, for every $\xi \in U$ we get $\lim_{m \to \infty} S^m \xi = \xi^*$.

First, we will show the orbit, $S^m\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ =0, is bounded for all $\xi \in U$. Let us prove that,

r(ξ) = sup{qp (ξ, Sʷξ): m \in N } \leq $\frac{1}{\max\{p \cdot (\xi, S^q \xi) : 0 < q \leq n(\xi)\}}$

¹[−] sα (2.2)

for any $\xi \in U$. Let m is any positive integer and k is a positive integer which depends on $\xi \in U$ and m such that

qp_b(ξ, S^kξ) = max{qp_b(ξ, S^pξ): 0 < p < m}. (2.3)

Let us assume that $k, m > n$. Hence from 2.1 we get

 \leq s qp_b(ξ, S ξ) + s α max{qp_b(ξ, S ξ): 0 < p < m} $qp_b(\xi, S^k\xi) \leq s[qp_b(\xi, S^n\xi) + qp_b(S^n\xi, S^nS^{k-n}\xi)]$ \leq s[qp_b(ξ, Sⁿξ) + α max{qp_b(ξ, S^{k_n}ξ), qp_b(ξ, S^{k_n+1}ξ), ..., $qp_b(\xi, S^k\xi)$, $qp_b(\xi, S^k\xi)\}$]

By using 2.3, we get qp_b(ξ , $S^k\xi$) \leq s qp_b(ξ , $S^n\xi$) + s α qp_b(ξ , $S^k\xi$) and there- fore qp_b(ξ, S^kξ) \leq s qp_b(ξ, Sⁿξ)/1 – sα.

Since m is arbitrary we will say

$$
sup_{m>n(\xi)} qp_b(\xi, S^m\xi) \le qp_b(\xi, S^k\xi) \le s\,qp_b(\xi, S^{n(\xi)}\xi)/1 - s\alpha,
$$

and therefore 2.2 satisfies. Next, $\{S^m\xi\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded for every $\xi \in U$. Now next, we shall prove that the sequence $\{S^m \xi_0\}$ is Cauchy, where $\xi_0 \in U$ is an arbitrary. For this aim, we set up a sub-sequence $\{\xi_k\}$: choosing arbitrary point $\xi_0 \in U$ with $n_0 = n(\xi_0)$, we set $\xi_1 = S^{n_0} \xi_0$ and by induction we get

$$
\xi_{i+1} = S^{ni}\xi_i \text{ with } n_i = n(\xi_i).
$$

 ${S^m}\xi_0$ that are successor terms of ξ_k . Then $\xi_p = S^u\xi_k$ and $\xi_q = S^v\xi_k$ for some u, v respectively. Then by We choose any arbitrary ξ_k ξ_k and we fixed it. Let $\xi_p = S^p \xi_0$, $\xi_q = S^q \xi_0$ be two members of 2.1 we conclude

$$
qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) = qp_b(S^n
$$
 $k-1$ $\xi_{k-1}, S^u\xi_k$

 $= qp_b(S_{k-1}^n, S^n)$

k−1 Su−nk−¹ ξk−1) $k-1$..., qp_b(ξ_{k−1}, S^uξ_{k−1}), qp_b(ξ_{k−1}, Sⁿ _{k−1} ξ_{k−1})} $\leq \alpha \max\{ \text{qp}_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u-nk-1}\xi_{k-1}), \text{qp}_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u-nk-1+1}\xi_{k-1}),$

= α qp_b(ξ_{k−1}, S^{u1} ξ_{k−1}),

where u_1 ∈ {u − n_{k−1}, u − n_{k−1} + 1, ..., u, n_{k−1}} such that

qpb(ξk−1, S^{u_1} ξk−1) = max{qpb(ξk−1, $S^{u-n_{k-1}}$ ξk−1), qpb(ξk−1, $S^{u-n_{k-1}+1}$ ξk−1)

$$
, ..., qp_{b}(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u}\xi_{k-1}), qp_{b}(\xi_{k-1}, S^{nk-1}\xi_{k-1})\}
$$

(2.4)

Continuing this process, we get

 $qp_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u_1}\xi_{k-1}) \leq \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{u_1}\xi_{k-2}, ..., qp_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{n_k-2}\xi_{k-2})\}$ = α qp_b(ξ_{k−2}, S^{u2} ξ_{k−2}).

On computing k-times, we have

 $qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) \le \alpha qp_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u1}\xi_k - 1) \le \alpha^2 qp_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{u2}\xi_{k-2}) \le ...$ $≤ α^kqp_b(ξ₀, S^{uk}ξ₀).$

Consequently, we obtain that

 $qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) \leq \alpha^k r(\xi_0).$

Analogously, we also get that

 $qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_q) \leq \alpha^k r(\xi_0).$

By using the definition of QPBMS, we derive that

 $qp_b(\xi_p, \xi_q) \leq s[qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) + qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_q)] \leq 2s\alpha^k r(\xi_0).$ (2.5)

So, we prove that the orbit $\{S^m \xi_0\}$ is a Cauchy. As (U, qp_b) is a complete QPBMS and there is a ξ^* \in X such that ξ^* = lim_{m→∞} $S^m\xi_0$. Now next, we will prove that ξ^* is a fixed point of $S^n(\xi^*)$. Let m \geq $n = n(ξ[*])$,

$$
qp_b(\xi^*, S^n\xi^*) \leq s[qp_b(\xi^*, S^m\xi_0) + qp_b(S^n\xi^*, S^nT^{m-n}\xi_0)]
$$

$$
\leq s[qp_b(\xi^*, S^m\xi_0) + \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi^*, S^{m-n}\xi_0), qp_b(\xi^*, S^{m-n+1}\xi_0),
$$

$$
..., qp_b(\xi^*, S^m\xi_0), qp_b(\xi^*, S^n\xi^*)\}].
$$

On taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
qp_b(\xi^*,S^n\xi^*)\leq \alpha\,qp_b(\xi^*,S^n\xi^*)
$$

Since α (0, ¹), we find that ξ^* is a fixed point of $S^n(\xi^*)$. To prove the unique fixed point, consider ξ^* and v^* be the two distinct fixed points and $n = n(\xi^*)$. We get

 $qp_b(\xi^*, v^*) = qp_b(S^n\xi^*, S^n v^*)$

$$
\leq \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi^*, v^*), qp_b(\xi^*, Sv^*), qp_b(\xi^*, S^2v^*),
$$

..., $qp_b(\xi^*, S^nv^*)$, $qp_b(\xi^*, S^n\xi^*)\}$

$$
\leq \alpha qp_b(\xi^*, v^*)
$$

which gives contradiction, as $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Uniqueness and $S^{n(\xi^*)}\xi^* = \xi^*$ shows that ξ^* is another fixed point of S. Say,

$$
S\xi^* = SS^n(\xi^*)\xi^* = Sn(\xi^*)S\xi^*.
$$

Hence the proof of this theorem is complete.

 $| - v |$ + $\xi |$ Let S be Example 2.1 Consider U = [0, 4] defined with QPBMS $qp_b(\xi, v) = \xi$ self mapping on QPBM defined by

> $S\xi = \xi, \xi \in [0, 2]$ 1, $\xi \in (2, 4]$

Then (the point) 0 is a unique fixed point of the map S satisfying equation 2.1 where $n = 2$ and $\alpha \geq 1$.

Case I For ξ , $\nu \in [0, 2]$ we have,

$$
qp_b(S^2\xi, S^2v) = |\xi - v| + |\xi|
$$

max{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), qp_b(\xi, S^2\xi)} = |\xi - v| + |\xi|

It implies,

 $qp_{b}(S^{2}\xi, S^{2}v) \leq \alpha \max\{qp_{b}(\xi, v), qp_{b}(\xi, S^{2}v), qp_{b}(\xi, S^{2}\xi)\}.$

 \in [0, 2] as shown Therefore the inequality which is required in equation 2.1 holds for ξ , ν in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Dominance of right hand side of Equation (2.1) is visually checked for ξ, ν ∈ [0, 2].

Case II For $\xi \in [0, 2]$, $v \in (2, 4]$ we have,

$$
qp_b(S^2\xi, S^2v) = |\xi - 1| + |\xi|
$$

max{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), qp_b(\xi, S^2\xi)} = |\xi - 1| + |\xi|

It implies,

 $qp_b(S^2\xi, S^2v) \leq \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), qp_b(\xi, S^2\xi)\}.$

 \in [0, 2], $v \in$ (2, 4] as shown Hence the inequality necessary in equation 2.1 holds for ξ in Figure 2.

ISSN:1539-1590 | E-ISSN:2573-7104 Vol. 5 No. 2, (2023)

© 2023 The Authors ⁹⁵¹⁹

Figure 2: Dominance of right hand side of Equation (2.1) for $\xi \in [0, 2]$, $v \in (2, 4]$.

Case III For $\xi \in (2, 4]$, $v \in [0, 2]$ we have,

$$
qp_b(S^2\xi, S^2v) = |1 - v| + 1
$$

max{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), qp_b(\xi, S^2\xi)} = |\xi - v| + |\xi|

It implies,

$$
qp_b(S^2\xi, S^2v) \le \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), qp_b(\xi, S^2\xi)\}.
$$

 \in (2, 4], $v \in [0, 2]$ Therefore, the inequality mandatory in equation 2.1 holds for ξ as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Dominance of right hand side of Equation (2.1) that is visually checked for $\xi \in (2, 4]$, ν ∈ [0, 2].

Case IV For ξ , $\nu \in (2, 4]$ we have,

 $qp_b(S^2ξ, S^2ν) = 1$

max{qp_b(ξ, ν), qp_b(ξ, Sv), qp_b(ξ, S²ν), qp_b(ξ, S²ξ)} = $| \xi - 1 | + | \xi |$

ISSN:1539-1590 | E-ISSN:2573-7104 Vol. 5 No. 2, (2023)

© 2023 The Authors ⁹⁵²⁰

It implies,

 \in (2, 4] as shown in Figure 4. $qp_{b}(S^{2}\xi, S^{2}v) \leq \alpha \text{ } ma\xi\{qp_{b}(\xi, v), qp_{b}(\xi, S^{2}v), qp_{b}(\xi, S^{2}\xi)\}.$ Therefore, the inequality in equation 2.1 holds for ξ , ν

Figure 4: Dominance of right hand side of Equation (2.1) that is visually checked for ξ, $v \in (2, 4]$.

Hence, Theorem 2.1 is satisfied ($n = 2$, $\alpha = 1$) and S has common fixed point 0.

continuous. If for each ξ U there exists a positive integer $n = n(\xi)$ such that 1 and $S: U$ U is a map that is **Theorem 2.2** Consider a complete QPBMS (U, qp_b) with s

holds for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and all $v \in U$, then S has a unique fixed point $\xi^* \in U$. Moreover, for $qp_b(S^{\circ}\xi, S^{\circ}v) \leq \alpha \max\{qp_b(\xi, v), qp_b(\xi, Sv), qp_b(\xi, S^2v), ..., qp_b(\xi, S^{\circ}v),$ qp_b(ξ, Sξ), qp_b(ξ, S²ξ), ..., qp_b(ξ, Sⁿξ)}, (2.6) every $\xi \in U \lim_{m \to \infty} \overline{S}^m \xi = \xi^*$.

Since QPBMS is complete space, it has limit ξ^* U. Continuity property of S gives us that By using Theorem 2.1, we conclude that the orbit $S^m \xi_0$ is bounded and it is Cauchy sequence.

Sn(^ξ [∗])ξ∗ = Sn(^ξ $\lim_{m\to\infty}$ S^mξ₀ = lim S_{m+n(ξ} $Sm+n(\xi^*)$ ξ0 = ξ*.

Thus, ξ^* is the fixed point of $S^{n(\xi^*)}\xi^*$. Similar to the Theorem 2.1 we get that ξ^* is the unique fixed point of S.

3 Bota's Theorem in QPBMS

In 2016, Bota [22] introduced operators in relation with a contractive iteration in the notion of b metric space. In our next result, we have generalised Bota theorem in notion of QPBMS.

Definition 3.1 Consider a function ϕ : [0, ∞) \rightarrow [0, ∞) that satisfies the following properties :

 $(cf₁)$ φ is increasing;

 $(cf₂)$ lim_{n→∞} $\phiⁿ(t) = 0$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

 ∞) \rightarrow [0, ∞). If ϕ is a Here, Φ be the class of the comparison function ϕ : [0, comparison function so :

(cf_i) each ϕ^k is a comparison function, for all $k \in N$;

(cf_{ii}) ϕ is continuous map at 0;

(cf_{iii}) ϕ (t) < t for all t > 0.

Definition 3.2 A function ϕ_c : [0, ∞) \to [0, ∞) is said to be a c-comparison func- tion if :

(ccf_i) ϕ_c is monotone increasing;

 $(\text{ccf}_{ii}) \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < \infty$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

The family of c-comparison functions is denoted by Φ_c .

Definition 3.3 A function ϕ : [0, ∞) \to [0, ∞) is said to be a b-comparison func- tion if

(bcf₁) ϕ is monotone increasing;

(bcf₂) $\sum_{\varphi_n=0 \text{ s}^n \phi^n(u) < \infty, \text{ for all } u \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } s \ge 1 \text{ a real number. We are denoting$ by Φ_b the family of b-comparison functions.

Notice that any b-comparison function is a comparison function.

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, qp_b, s) be a complete QPBMS with $s \ge 1$ and $S : U \rightarrow U$ a map that satisfies the condition : there exists $\phi \in \Phi_b$ such that for each $\xi \in \mathsf{U}$ there is a positive integer $n(\xi)$ such that for all $v \in U$

 $qp_b(S^{n(\xi)}(\xi), S^{n(\xi)}(v)) \leq \phi(qp_b(\xi, v)).$ (3.1)

Then, S has a unique fixed point $\xi^* \in U$ and $S^n(\xi_0) \to \xi^*$ for each $\xi_0 \in U$, as

 $n \rightarrow \infty$.

:

From the initial proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that the orbit $S^m \xi_0$ is bounded. By Theorem 2.1, we complete the proof.

We shall show that the sequence $\{S^m \xi_0\}$ is Cauchy, where $\xi_0 \in U$ be an arbi- trary. Now, we shall construct a sub-sequence $\{\xi_k\}$ in the following way: For an arbitrary point $\xi_0 \in X$ with $n_0 = n(\xi_0)$, we set $\xi_1 = S^{n0} \xi_0$ and recursively we find

$$
\xi_{i+1} = S^{ni}\xi_i \qquad \text{with} \quad n_i = n(\xi_i).
$$

that are successor terms of ξ . Then $\xi_p = S^u \xi_k$ and $S^v \xi_k$ for some u, v respectively. Then by 2.1 we We consider any arbitrary ξ_k ξ_k and fixed it. Now take two members $\xi_p = S^p \xi_0$, $\xi_q = S^q \xi_0$ of $S^m \xi_0$ conclude

 $qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) = qp_b(S^{nk-1}\xi_{k-1}, S^{u}\xi_k)$ $=$ qpb($S^{n_{k-1}}\xi_{k-1}$, $S^{n_{k-1}}S^{u-n_{k-1}}\xi_{k-1}$) $≤$ φ(qp_b(ξ_{k−1}, S ξk−1)

$$
< qp_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u1}\xi_{k-1}).
$$

u

Continuing in this way, we have

$$
qp_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u_1}\xi_{k-1}) \leq \varphi(qp_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{u_1}\xi_{k-2}))
$$

$$
< qp_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{u_2}\xi_{k-2}).
$$

Completing this computation k-times we have

 $\text{qp}_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) \leq \varphi(\text{qp}_b(\xi_{k-1}, S^{u1}\xi_{k-1})) \leq \varphi^2(\text{qp}_b(\xi_{k-2}, S^{u2}\xi_{k-2})) \leq ...$ $≤$ φ^k(qp_b(ξ₀, S^{uk} ξ₀))

Consequently, we obtain that

$$
qp_b(\xi_k,\xi_p)\leq \varphi^k(r(\xi))< r(\xi).
$$

Analogously, we also get that

$$
qp_b(\xi_k,\xi_q)\leq \varphi^k(r(\xi))< r(\xi).
$$

By using the triangle inequality, we get

 $qp_b(\xi_p, \xi_q) \leq s[qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_p) + qp_b(\xi_k, \xi_q)] \leq 2r(\xi).$ (3.2)

The orbit $\{S^m \xi_0\}$ is a Cauchy.

As (X, qp_b) is a complete QPBMS and there is a $\xi^* \in U$ such that $\xi^* = \lim_{m \to \infty} S^m \xi_0$. We show that ξ^* is a fixed point of $S^{n(\xi^*)}$. Let $m \ge n = n(\xi^*)$, we have

$$
qp_b(S^n\xi^*, S^{n+m}\xi_0) \leq \varphi^n(qp_b(\xi^*, S^{m-n}\xi_0))
$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$

 $qp_b(\xi)$ *, Sⁿ $\xi^* \leq 0$

which gives that ξ^* is a fixed point of $S^{n(\xi^*)}$. To show the unique fixed point, consider ξ^* and v^* are two distinct fixed point and $n = (\xi^*)$. We get

$$
qp_b(\xi^*, v^*) = qp_b(S^n\xi^*, S^n v^*)
$$

 \leq φ(qp_b(ξ^{*}, ν^{*})) $<$ qp $_b$ (ξ*, ν*)

which contradicts.

Uniqueness and $S^{n(\xi*)}\xi^* = \xi^*$ gives that ξ^* is also the fixed point of S. Say,

$$
S\xi^* = TT^n(\xi^*)\xi^* = Sn(\xi^*)S\xi^*.
$$

4 Conclusions

The major contribution of this manuscript is to prove the existence of unique fixed points in

extended C iric' contraction map in the setting of quasi-partial b-metric space. Common and coupled fixed points for such type of mappings and their implementation in the field of science and technology will be an impressive concept for future study.

Funding: Authors declare that there is no funding available for this article.

Competing interests/Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally and significantly in writ- ing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Rights and permissions: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution.

Availability of data and material: This clause is not applicable to this paper.

Code availability: There is no code required in this paper.

Rights and permissions: Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution

Acknowledgment: The authors are thankful to the learned reviewer for his valu- able comments.

References

[1] S. Banach, Sur les opérationsdans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équationsintégrales, Fund Math. 3(1922), 133–181.

[2] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasi-metric spaces, In. Funct. Anal. 30(1989), 26–37.

[3] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1(1993), 5-–11.

[4] E. Rakotch, A note on contractive mappings, Amer. Math. Soc. 1(1962), 459- –465.

[5] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 728(1994), 183– 197.

[6] S. Shukla, Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Mediterr. J. Math. 11(2014), 703–711.

[7] M. Geraghty, On contractive mappings, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 40(1973), 604–608.

[8] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 226(1977), 257–290.

[9] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60(1968), 71– 76.

[10] V. W. Bryant, A remark on a fixed point theorem for iterated mappings, Am. Math. Mon. 5(1968), 399–400.

[11] V. M. Sehgal, A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23(1969), 631–634.

[12] S. Reich, Fixed point of contractive functions, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 4(1972), 26–42.

[13] L. B. Ciri´c, On contraction type mappings, Math. Balk. 1(1971), 52–57.

[14] I. A. Rus, Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj University Press: Clui-Napoca, Romania, 2001.

[15] S. Reich, Kannan's fixed point theorem, Boll. dell'Unione Mat. Ital. 4(1971), 1– 11.

[16] L. B. C´ iric´, On Sehgal's maps with a contractive iterate at a point, Publ. Inst. Math. 33(1983), 59–62.

[17] I. A. Rus, Generalized contractions and applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca (2001).

[18] Z. D. Mitrovic´, An extension of fxed point theorem of Sehgal in b-metric spaces, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 25(2018), 54–61.

[19] G. E. Hardy, T. D. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich,Can. Math. Bull. 16(1973), 201–206.

[20] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, Topological structure of quasi-partial b-metric spaces, Int. J. Pure Math. Sci. 17(2016), 8–18.

[21] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, Quasi partial b-metric spaces and some related fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 18(2015), doi:10.1186/s13663- 015-0260-2.

[22] M. Bota, Fixed point theorems for operators with a contractive iterate in b- metric spaces, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 61(2016), 435–442.

[23] P. Gautam, S. Verma, Fixed point via implicit contraction mapping on quasi- partial b-metric space, J. Anal. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-021- 00309-6.

[24] P. Gautam, L. M. Sánchez Ruiz, S. Verma, S., G. Gupta, Common Fixed point results on generalized weak compatible mapping in quasi-partial b-metric space, Journal of Mathematics, 2021(2021), Article ID 5526801.

[25] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, A version of coupled fixed point theorems on quasi- partial bmetric spaces, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 5(2015), 407-419.

[26] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, A new common tripled fixed point result in two quasi- partial b-metric spaces, J. adv. math. comput. sci. 13(2016), no-2, 1-20.

[27] A. Gupta, P. Gautam, Some coupled fixed point theorems on quasi–partial b–metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. 9(2015), 293–306.

[28] L. B. C´ iric´, B. Samet, H. Aydi, C. Vetro, Common fixed points of general- ized contractions on partial-metric spaces and an application, Appl. Math. Comput. 218(2011), 2398– 2406.

[29] N. Mlaiki, K. Abodayeh, H. Aydi, T. Abdeljawad, M. Abuloha, Rectangu- lar metric-like type spaces and related fixed points, J. Math. 2018(2018), doi:10.1155/2018/3581768.

[30] B. Alqahtani, A. Fulga, E. Karapinar, Fixed Point Results on Symmetric Quasi-Metric Space via Simulation Function with an Application to Ulam Stability, Mathematics, 6(2018), no-208, doi:10.3390/math6100208.

[31] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen 57(2000), 31–37.

[32] W. A. Wilson, On quasi-metric spaces, Am. J. Math. 53(1931), 675–684.

[33] L. A. Janos, converse of Banach's contraction theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 68(1978), 121-124.

[34] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, A Meir-Keeler common type fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012(2012), 1–10.