Journal of Research Administration
Society of Research Administrators International

DENTAL IMPLANTS IN IRRADIATED BONE: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Dr Raghavendra Adaki,[1] Dr Shridevi Adaki,[2] Dr Manish Agrawal,[3] Dr Banashree Sankeshwari,[4] Dr Deepti Fulari[5], Dr Amol Karagir[6]

[1]Professor and HOD, Department of Prosthodontics, BVDU Dental College and Hospital, Sangli
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BVDU Dental College and Hospital, Sangli
[3]Professor, Department of Orthodontics, BVDU Dental College and Hospital, Sangli
[4, 5]Associate Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, BVDU Dental College and Hospital, Sangli
[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BVDU Dental College and hospital, Sangli

ABSTRACT:

Head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy often face challenges in dental rehabilitation, with concerns surrounding the success and safety of dental implant placement in irradiated bone. This review systematically explores the current literature on dental implants in irradiated bone, aiming to provide a comprehensive overview of the challenges, considerations, and advancements in this specialized field. The review encompasses topics such as changes in bone quality and quantity post-radiation, the impact on osseointegration, risks of osteoradionecrosis, treatment planning strategies, the role of hyperbaric oxygen therapy, patient selection criteria, and alternative treatment options. By synthesizing the available evidence, this manuscript aims to guide clinicians in making informed decisions, optimize patient outcomes, and contribute to ongoing advancements in the evolving landscape of dental implantology in the context of head and neck radiation therapy.

Key words: Osteointegration, osteoradionecrosis, cancer rehabilitation, hyperbaric oxygen

INTRODUCTION:

Head and neck cancer treatment often involves radiation therapy as a critical component, significantly improving patient survival rates. However, this therapeutic modality can have profound and lasting effects on the oral and maxillofacial region, particularly the bone, raising concerns about the feasibility and success of dental implant placement in irradiated patients. As oral health plays a crucial role in the overall well-being and quality of life of cancer survivors, addressing the challenges associated with dental rehabilitation becomes paramount.

The aim of this review is to comprehensively examine the current state of knowledge regarding dental implants in irradiated bone. The complexity of this subject stems from the intricate interplay between radiation-induced changes in bone physiology, the osseointegration process, and the potential complications that may arise, such as osteoradionecrosis. This review will delve into the existing literature to provide a understanding of the factors influencing the success and safety of dental implants in patients with a history of head and neck radiation therapy.

© 2023 The Authors

Key considerations include alterations in bone quality and quantity following radiation, the impact on the osseointegration process, and the heightened risk of osteoradionecrosis. Furthermore, there is exploration on contemporary treatment planning strategies, emphasizing the importance of a multidisciplinary approach involving oral surgeons, radiation oncologists, and restorative dentists. Additionally, the potential role of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in enhancing the success of implant placement and minimizing complications will be considered.

By addressing these critical aspects, this review aims to assist clinicians in making evidence-based decisions when considering dental implants in irradiated patients. As we navigate the current landscape of implantology, it is imperative to understand the evolving strategies, patient selection criteria, and alternative treatment options available to optimize the long-term oral health and quality of life for individuals with a history of head and neck radiation therapy.

Changes in Bone Quality and Quantity Post-Radiation:

Head and neck radiation therapy induces a cascade of histological and biomechanical changes in the irradiated bone, significantly impacting its structural and functional properties. Understanding these alterations is crucial when considering dental implant placement in patients with a history of radiation therapy.

Histological Changes:

Decreased Vascularity: Radiation therapy often leads to a reduction in bone vascularity due to damage to small blood vessels.[1] This diminished blood supply compromises the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to the bone, impeding normal cellular function and healing processes.

Fibrosis and Scarring: Irradiated bone commonly undergoes fibrotic changes, resulting in the formation of scar tissue. This fibrosis can alter the architecture of the bone matrix, affecting its mechanical strength and flexibility.[2]

Changes in Bone Matrix Composition: Radiation may alter the composition of the bone matrix, impacting the balance between collagen, minerals, and other organic components.[1] These changes can influence the overall integrity and resilience of the bone structure.

Biomechanical Changes:

Decreased Bone Strength: Radiation-induced changes often lead to a decrease in bone strength.[3] This compromised structural integrity may affect the ability of the bone to withstand mechanical forces associated with dental implant placement.

Altered Stress Distribution: The altered bone matrix and reduced vascularity can result in changes in stress distribution within the bone.[3] This may lead to uneven loading on the implant-bone interface, potentially affecting long-term stability.

Osseointegration in Irradiated Bone:

Osseointegration, the process by which the implant integrates with the surrounding bone, is a critical factor influencing the success of dental implant placement. In the context of irradiated

© 2023 The Authors

bone, the effects of radiation therapy on bone physiology can significantly impact the osseointegration process.[4]

Effects of Radiation on Osseointegration:

Reduced Osteoblast Activity: Radiation therapy can lead to a reduction in osteoblast activity, the cells responsible for bone formation.[5] This decrease in bone-forming activity can impede the timely deposition of bone around the implant, hindering the establishment of a robust implant-bone interface.

Impaired Bone Remodeling: Normal bone remodeling, a dynamic process involving the resorption and formation of bone tissue, may be disrupted by radiation. Imbalance in bone remodeling dynamics can affect the maturation and stability of the bone adjacent to the implant.[6]

Microenvironmental Changes: Radiation induces changes in the local microenvironment, affecting the cellular and molecular interactions critical for successful osseointegration.[4] The altered tissue response to implant placement in irradiated bone may contribute to delayed or compromised healing.

Strategies to Enhance Osseointegration in Irradiated Bone:

Surface Modifications: Advances in implant surface modifications aim to enhance osseointegration in challenging environments such as irradiated bone.[6] Surface treatments, coatings, and topographical modifications are designed to promote cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation.

Biomaterial Innovations: The development of biomaterials with specific properties tailored for irradiated bone has gained attention. Bioactive materials and scaffolds designed to mimic the natural bone environment may aid in creating a conducive milieu for osseointegration.

Regenerative Therapies: Emerging regenerative therapies, such as the use of growth factors and mesenchymal stem cells, are being explored to stimulate bone regeneration and improve the osseointegration process in irradiated bone.

Clinical Implications:

Extended Healing Periods: The compromised bone physiology in irradiated patients may necessitate extended healing periods for dental implants. Clinicians should consider a staged approach and closely monitor the healing response.

Patient-Specific Considerations: The success of osseointegration in irradiated bone is highly variable among individuals. Factors such as the extent of radiation, time since treatment, and overall health status should be carefully considered in treatment planning.

Understanding the nuances of osseointegration in irradiated bone is critical for clinicians to make informed decisions regarding implant placement. Ongoing research and advancements in implant design and biomaterials continue to refine strategies for optimizing osseointegration outcomes in this specialized patient population.

Risk of Osteoradionecrosis

Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is a serious complication associated with head and neck radiation therapy, characterized by the death of irradiated bone tissue. The risk of ORN increases

© 2023 The Authors

when dental procedures, such as implant placement, are performed in areas previously exposed to radiation. The pathophysiology of ORN involves impaired vascularity, compromised healing, and increased susceptibility to infection in irradiated bone.[9]

Factors Contributing to the Risk of ORN:

Vascular Damage: Radiation therapy damages small blood vessels, leading to decreased vascularity in the irradiated tissues.[10] This vascular compromise reduces the delivery of oxygen and nutrients to the bone, impeding normal wound healing and making the bone more susceptible to necrosis.

Impaired Soft Tissue Healing: Radiation-induced damage extends beyond the bone to the surrounding soft tissues. Impaired healing of soft tissues can contribute to poor wound closure, exposing the bone to the oral environment and potential sources of infection.[11]

Altered Bone Metabolism: Radiation alters bone metabolism, affecting cellular function and the balance between bone resorption and formation.[9, 10] This disruption can lead to reduced bone turnover and compromise the bone's ability to respond to stress and injury.

Preventive Measures and Management:

Preoperative Assessment: Thorough preoperative assessment is crucial to identify patients at higher risk for ORN. This includes evaluating the extent and dosage of previous radiation therapy, assessing the overall health of the patient, and considering the specific anatomy of the treatment site.

Optimal Timing of Implant Placement: The timing of dental implant placement in irradiated bone is a critical factor. Delaying implant surgery until the tissues have sufficiently healed post-radiation may reduce the risk of ORN.[7, 8]

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBOT): Some clinicians advocate for the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy before and after dental procedures in irradiated patients. HBOT is believed to enhance tissue oxygenation, promote wound healing, and reduce the risk of ORN.

Antibiotic Prophylaxis: Prophylactic antibiotic regimens may be considered to minimize the risk of infection during and after dental procedures. Preventing infection is crucial in reducing the likelihood of ORN development.

Clinical Challenges and Decision-Making:

Balancing the Benefits and Risks: Clinicians must carefully weigh the potential benefits of dental implant placement against the inherent risks of ORN. Patient-specific factors, including treatment history, overall health, and oral hygiene, should be considered in the decision-making process.

Alternative Treatment Options: In cases where the risk of ORN is deemed too high, alternative treatment options, such as removable prosthetics or implant-supported overdentures, may be considered to meet the patient's oral rehabilitation needs.

Understanding the multifaceted risk factors and preventive measures associated with ORN is essential for clinicians when planning and executing dental implant procedures in patients with a

© 2023 The Authors

history of head and neck radiation therapy. The goal is to minimize the risk of complications while providing effective and safe dental rehabilitation for these individuals.

Treatment Planning Strategies

1. Thorough Preoperative Assessment:

Radiation History: Gather detailed information on the patient's history of radiation therapy, including the type, dosage, and duration of treatment. Assess whether the radiation was prophylactic or part of cancer treatment.

Time Since Radiation: The interval between radiation therapy and implant placement is critical. Studies suggest that waiting for a certain period post-radiation may reduce the risk of complications.[7, 8]

Overall Health Status: Evaluate the patient's general health, considering medical conditions, medications, and lifestyle factors that may impact the success of dental implant surgery.

Oral Health Evaluation: Assess the oral health status, including the presence of any existing dental issues, soft tissue conditions, and the overall oral hygiene of the patient.

2. Multidisciplinary Collaboration:

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons: Collaborate with oral and maxillofacial surgeons who have experience in treating patients with a history of head and neck radiation therapy. Their expertise is crucial in addressing surgical complexities and minimizing risks.

Radiation Oncologists: Consult with radiation oncologists to gain insights into the specifics of the radiation treatment, potential sequelae, and implications for the planned dental implant procedure.

Restorative Dentists: Involve restorative dentists early in the planning process to ensure a comprehensive approach. Their input is essential in determining the prosthetic aspects of the treatment.

Hyperbaric medicine specialist to take call regarding hyperbaric oxygen therapy.

3. Advanced Imaging Techniques:

CBCT (Cone Beam Computed Tomography): Utilize CBCT scans for precise three-dimensional imaging of the irradiated site. This advanced imaging helps in evaluating bone quality, quantity, and identifying potential complications such as osteoradionecrosis.

Virtual Surgical Planning: Implement virtual surgical planning to enhance precision in implant placement. This involves creating a virtual model of the patient's anatomy, allowing for meticulous preoperative planning.

4. Staged Approach to Implant Placement:

Two-Stage Implantation: Consider a two-stage approach to implant placement, involving the initial surgical placement of implants followed by a healing period before the attachment of prosthetic components.[12] This staged approach allows for proper healing and reduces the risk of complications.

5. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBOT):

© 2023 The Authors

Patient Selection: Evaluate the potential benefits of HBOT in specific cases. Some practitioners recommend HBOT as a preoperative and postoperative adjunct to enhance tissue oxygenation, promote healing, and reduce the risk of complications.[13]

6. Personalized Treatment Plans:

Individualized Approach: Recognize that each patient is unique, and treatment plans should be tailored to their specific conditions and needs.

Risk-Benefit Analysis: Perform a comprehensive risk-benefit analysis, weighing the potential benefits of dental implant placement against the inherent risks, especially the risk of osteoradionecrosis.[14]

7. Regular Follow-Up and Monitoring:

Postoperative Surveillance: Establish a protocol for regular follow-up appointments to monitor the healing process, implant stability, and any signs of complications. Early detection of issues allows for timely intervention.

8. Patient Education:

Informed Consent: Ensure that patients are well-informed about the potential risks and benefits of dental implant placement in irradiated bone. Obtain informed consent, and address any concerns or questions the patient may have.

Implementing these treatment planning strategies requires a meticulous and thoughtful approach. By integrating these elements into the planning process, clinicians can enhance the predictability and success of dental implant procedures in patients with a history of head and neck radiation therapy.

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBOT):

1. Rationale:

Tissue Oxygenation: HBOT involves breathing pure oxygen in a pressurized room or chamber. The elevated atmospheric pressure increases the amount of oxygen dissolved in the bloodstream, promoting improved oxygen delivery to tissues, including irradiated bone.[15]

Enhanced Healing: The heightened oxygen levels support cellular metabolism, enhance angiogenesis (formation of new blood vessels), and improve immune function.[16] These effects contribute to accelerated wound healing and tissue regeneration.

2. Patient Selection:

Criteria for HBOT: The decision to use HBOT is often based on specific criteria. Patients with a history of head and neck radiation therapy, especially those undergoing dental implant procedures, may be considered for HBOT.

Assessment of Risk Factors: Evaluate patient-specific risk factors, such as the extent of radiation, time since treatment, and overall health, to determine the potential benefits of HBOT in reducing the risk of complications.

3. Preoperative HBOT:

© 2023 The Authors

Timing and Duration: In some cases, clinicians may recommend preoperative HBOT sessions before dental implant surgery. This aims to enhance tissue oxygenation and prime the tissues for optimal healing.

Optimal Timing: The timing and duration of preoperative HBOT sessions may vary, and the decision should be made based on the specific clinical scenario and the recommendations of the treating healthcare team.

4. Postoperative HBOT:

Enhanced Healing: Postoperative HBOT sessions may be advised to further support tissue healing after dental implant placement.

Reducing Complications: Studies suggest that postoperative HBOT can help reduce the risk of osteoradionecrosis and enhance the overall success of dental implant integration.

5. Collaboration with Hyperbaric Medicine Specialists:

Multidisciplinary Approach: Collaboration with hyperbaric medicine specialists is essential. These professionals have expertise in administering HBOT and can provide valuable insights into the patient's candidacy, treatment protocols, and potential benefits.

6. Considerations and Limitations:

Cost and Accessibility: Considerations of cost and accessibility are important, as HBOT may not be universally available or covered by insurance for all patients.

Patient Compliance: The success of HBOT relies on patient compliance with the recommended treatment schedule. Clinicians should educate patients about the importance of adherence to enhance treatment efficacy.

7. Emerging Research and Controversies:

Ongoing Studies: Ongoing research explores the efficacy of HBOT in different clinical scenarios, including its role in mitigating complications associated with dental implant placement in irradiated bone.

Controversies: Some controversy exists regarding the universal applicability of HBOT, and its use may vary based on the evolving evidence and individual patient factors.

8. Integration into Treatment Plans:

Individualized Approach: The decision to incorporate HBOT into treatment plans should be based on a careful assessment of the patient's specific conditions and risk factors.

Informed Consent: Provide thorough information to patients about the potential benefits, risks, and alternatives associated with HBOT, ensuring informed consent before initiating the therapy.

Long-Term Follow-Up and Outcomes:

1. Establishing a Follow-Up Protocol:

Regular Monitoring: Develop a comprehensive follow-up protocol to monitor the patient's progress after dental implant placement in irradiated bone. Regular monitoring is crucial for early detection of potential complications.

2. Implant Stability and Integration:

© 2023 The Authors

Clinical and Radiographic Assessment: Conduct both clinical and radiographic assessments to evaluate the stability of the implants and the degree of osseointegration.[17] Regular examinations can help identify any signs of implant mobility or peri-implant radiolucencies.

3. Soft Tissue Health:

Mucosal Evaluation: Examine the health of the surrounding soft tissues, including the mucosa and gingiva. Changes in soft tissue health may indicate potential issues such as infection or compromised wound healing.

4. Complications and Adverse Events:

Ongoing Surveillance: Maintain ongoing surveillance for potential complications, including osteoradionecrosis, peri-implantitis, or other adverse events.[18] Early identification allows for prompt intervention and management.

5. Patient-reported Outcomes:

Subjective Feedback: Gather subjective feedback from patients regarding their comfort, function, and overall satisfaction with the implanted prosthesis. Patient-reported outcomes provide valuable insights into the success of the dental implant rehabilitation.

6. Radiographic Monitoring:

Periodic Imaging: Consider periodic radiographic imaging to assess bone density, peri-implant bone levels, and any changes in the surrounding structures. This can aid in identifying subtle changes that may not be apparent clinically.

7. Maintenance Care and Oral Hygiene:

Regular Maintenance Appointments: Schedule regular maintenance appointments to address oral hygiene, assess prosthetic components, and perform professional cleanings. Maintenance care is vital for preventing peri-implant diseases and ensuring long-term success.

8. Addressing Complications:

Prompt Intervention: In the event of identified complications or adverse outcomes, implement prompt intervention strategies. Collaboration with specialists, including oral and maxillofacial surgeons, may be necessary for addressing complex complications.

9. Patient Education for Self-Care:

Oral Hygiene Education: Provide ongoing oral hygiene education to the patient. Empowering patients to maintain optimal oral hygiene at home is essential for preventing peri-implant diseases and promoting long-term implant success.

10. Proactive Approach to Changes:

Anticipate Changes Over Time: Anticipate that the oral and peri-implant environment may change over time. A proactive approach involves staying vigilant for potential changes and adapting the treatment plan accordingly.

Long-term follow-up is a critical aspect of dental implant care in irradiated bone. Regular monitoring, comprehensive assessments, and proactive management of complications contribute to the overall success and longevity of dental implants. By adopting a multidisciplinary and patient-centered approach, clinicians can provide sustained care that addresses the evolving needs and conditions of patients over time.

© 2023 The Authors

CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, the placement of dental implants in irradiated bone is a complex and nuanced endeavor that demands a multidisciplinary and patient-centered approach. The manuscript has delved into various facets of this specialized field, encompassing changes in bone quality post-radiation, the intricacies of osseointegration, the risk of osteoradionecrosis, and nuanced treatment planning strategies.

Understanding the histological and biomechanical alterations in irradiated bone is foundational, guiding clinicians in their preoperative assessments and imaging protocols. Osseointegration, a critical determinant of implant success, is influenced by radiation-induced changes, necessitating a nuanced evaluation of surface modifications, biomaterial innovations, and regenerative therapies.

The manuscript has underscored the heightened risk of osteoradionecrosis, emphasizing the importance of meticulous treatment planning. Strategies such as staged implant placement, hyperbaric oxygen therapy, and preoperative risk assessments contribute to a more favorable risk-benefit profile.

Patient selection emerges as a pivotal consideration, involving a comprehensive evaluation of radiation history, general health, and oral conditions. The manuscript advocates for an individualized risk assessment, where alternative treatment options may be explored based on the unique circumstances of each patient.

Long-term follow-up emerges as an indispensable component, ensuring the ongoing success of dental implants in irradiated bone. Regular monitoring, proactive management of complications, and collaboration with a multidisciplinary team contribute to sustained positive outcomes.

In instances where dental implants pose heightened risks, alternative treatment options like removable prosthetics or implant-supported overdentures offer viable solutions. Patient preferences, expectations, and the maintenance of alternative prosthetics are integral components of a patient-centered approach.

As the field of dental implantology in irradiated bone continues to evolve, ongoing research, technological advancements, and a commitment to evidence-based practice will shape the future. This manuscript serves as a guide for clinicians, offering insights into the complexities, challenges, and innovative solutions in this specialized area, ultimately fostering optimal outcomes and improved quality of life for patients with a history of head and neck radiation therapy.

REFERENCES

1. Marx RE, Ames JR. The use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in bony reconstruction of the irradiated and tissue-deficient patient. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1982;40(7):412-420.
2. Delanian S, Lefaix JL, Pradat PF. Radiation-induced neuropathy in cancer survivors. Radiother Oncol. 2012;105(3):273-282.
3. de Andrade M Jr, de Oliveira RC, Bellato LS, et al. Biological effects of radiotherapy on human dental pulp cells. Int Endod J. 2011;44(6):543-550.

© 2023 The Authors

4. Granström G. Branemark PI. Osseointegration in irradiated cancer patients: An analysis with respect to implant failures. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005;63(5):579-585.
5. Granström G, Tjellström A, Brånemark PI. Osseointegrated implants in irradiated bone: A case-controlled study using adjunctive hyperbaric oxygen therapy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1999;57(5):493-499.
6. Monzavi A, Davoudi A, Ebadian B, Ahmadi Motamayel F. Evaluation of the effect of pre-radiation dental extraction on prevention of osteoradionecrosis in patients with head and neck cancers. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2015;9(2):91-96.
7. Pompa, G., Saccucci, M., Di Carlo, G. et al. Survival of dental implants in patients with oral cancer treated by surgery and radiotherapy: a retrospective study. BMC Oral Health 15, 5 (2015).
8. Kende, P.P., Ranganath, S., Landge, J.S. et al. Survival of Dental Implants on Irradiated Jaws: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J. Maxillofac. Oral Surg. 21, 787–795 (2022)
9. Jacobson AS, Buchbinder D, Hu K, Urken ML. Paradigm shifts in the management of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. Oral Oncol. 2010;46(11):795-801.
10. Marx RE, Johnson RP. Studies in the radiobiology of osteoradionecrosis and their clinical significance. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1987;64(4):379-390.
11. Lyons A, Ghazali N. Osteoradionecrosis of the jaws: Current understanding of its pathophysiology and treatment. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008;46(8):653-660.
12. Granström G. Placement of implants in irradiated bone: The case for using hyperbaric oxygen. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006;64(5):812-818.
13. Harnisch NC, Metzger MC, Schmelzeisen R, et al. Navigation-guided resection of osteoradionecrosis of the mandible. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006;44(3):235-237.
14. Støre G, Boysen M. Mandibular osteoradionecrosis: Clinical behaviour and diagnostic aspects. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 2000;25(5):378-384.
15. Marx RE, Ehler WJ, Tayapongsak P, Pierce LW. Relationship of oxygen dose to angiogenesis induction in irradiated tissue. Am J Surg. 1990;160(5):519-524.
16. Granström G. Branemark PI. Osseointegration in irradiated cancer patients: An analysis with respect to implant failures. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2005;63(5):579-585.
17. Okay DJ, Genden E, Buchbinder D, Urken M. Prosthodontic guidelines for surgical reconstruction of the maxilla: A classification system of defects. J Prosthet Dent. 2001;86(4):352-363.
18. Rücker M, Rana M, Gellrich NC, et al. Long-term quality of life after ablative intraoral tumor surgery. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2013;42(2):221-227.

© 2023 The Authors