Journal of Research Administration
Society of Research Administrators International

ENHANCING EFFICIENCY IN BLOOD SUPPLY CHAIN INVENTORY MANAGEMENT USING BEE COLONY OPTIMIZATION AND GENETIC ALGORITHMS

Mohammed Abid[1], Ajay Singh Yadav[2]

[1]Research Scholar, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Delhi-NCR Campus, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[2]Department of Mathematics, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Delhi-NCR Campus, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract

This study explores the optimization of blood supply chain inventory management through innovative approaches, specifically Bee Colony Optimization (BCO) and Genetic Algorithms (GA). The research addresses challenges in healthcare logistics, emphasizing the integration of organizational units involved in blood sourcing, production, distribution, and marketing. Key considerations include the potential conflicts between cost minimization in sourcing decisions and the focus on throughput in production and distribution. The study highlights the significance of achieving an optimal balance to ensure a reliable and efficient blood supply for patient care. Bee Colony Optimization and Genetic Algorithms, inspired by natural processes, offer promising solutions to the complexities of blood inventory management. BCO mimics collaborative foraging behavior, creating optimal paths marked by pheromones. Genetic Algorithms replicate natural selection to iteratively enhance solutions. The research aims to provide valuable insights into the application of these algorithms, contributing to the evolution of efficient blood supply chain management. The anticipated outcomes include improved healthcare logistics, ensuring timely access to blood products and enhancing patient safety and outcomes.

Keywords: - Blood supply chain, inventory management, Bee Colony Optimization, and Genetic Algorithms

1. Introduction

In the fiercely competitive landscape of healthcare logistics, the optimization of blood supply chain inventory management emerges as a critical factor for ensuring superior patient care. A healthcare facility's success is intricately tied to its ability to navigate challenges, such as minimizing lead times and costs, while simultaneously elevating patient service levels and maintaining the highest quality standards for blood products. Throughout the evolution of healthcare logistics, the organizational units involved in blood sourcing (procurement), production, distribution, and healthcare marketing have often operated in silos. Despite sharing common overarching goals, these units frequently harbor distinct and sometimes conflicting objectives. Healthcare marketing seeks toptier patient service levels and seamless availability of blood products, goals that may clash with the priorities of production and distribution departments. Sourcing decisions often lean towards cost minimization, while production and distribution decisions tend to focus on maximizing throughput and minimizing unit production costs, occasionally neglecting the implications of maintaining high blood product inventory levels and enduring extended lead times. At its core, blood supply chain management strives to harmonize and integrate disparate healthcare organizations, each pursuing its unique objectives, towards the unified goal of ensuring a dependable and efficient blood supply for patient care. Recent advancements underscore the potential for substantial enhancements in these objectives through skillful orchestration of blood supply chain management mechanisms. The challenge of blood inventory management revolves around maintaining an optimal supply of specific blood types in alignment with forecasted patient demand patterns. Achieving this equilibrium necessitates shrewd management of the costs associated with blood product holding, while mitigating the adverse effects of shortages, including compromised patient care and potential health risks.

The scope of blood products subject to inventory management spans from everyday blood components used in transfusions to essential plasmaderived medications. Notably, a diverse array of ostensibly unrelated healthcare challenges can be mathematically modeled as intricate interwoven blood supply chain inventory control dilemmas. Various blood supply chain models have been conceptualized, each characterized by three fundamental expense categories: (i) administrative costs associated with blood product order placement, often termed reorder or setup costs; (ii) ongoing maintenance costs of blood product inventory, encompassing holding or carrying costs, including storage charges, refrigeration, and more; (iii) shortfall costs reflecting the cascading repercussions of patient health risks and compromised healthcare reputation in the event of shortages. The foundation for achieving efficient blood supply chain management lies in optimizing these facets. Innovative optimization algorithms, such as Bee Colony Optimization and Genetic Algorithms, draw inspiration from nature to enhance the efficiency of blood product routing, storage, and distribution within the healthcare system. Bee Colony Optimization Algorithms ingeniously mimic the collaborative foraging behavior of ants, creating paths marked by pheromones to signify path quality. Similarly, Genetic Algorithms replicate the principles of natural selection and evolution to iteratively improve solutions. These algorithms contribute to the efficient orchestration of blood supply chains, ensuring timely and safe access to blood products for patient care through the reinforcement of optimal or nearoptimal solutions over successive iterations.

2. Related Work

Supply chain management can be defined as: "Supply chain management is the coordination of production, storage, location and transport between players in the supply chain to achieve the best combination of responsiveness and efficiency for a given market. Many researchers in the inventory system have focused on a product that does not overcome spoilage. However, there are a number of things whose meaning doesn't stay the same over time. The deterioration of these substances plays an important role and cannot be stored for long {Yadav et al. (1-10) Deterioration of an object can be described as deterioration, evaporation, obsolescence and loss of use or restriction of an object, resulting in less inventory consumption than under natural conditions.

When raw materials are put in stock as a stock to meet future needs, there may be a deterioration of the items in the arithmetic system which could occur for one or more reasons, etc. Storage conditions, weather or humidity. {Yadav, et al. (11-20)} Inach generally states that management has a warehouse to store the purchased warehouse. However, for various reasons, management may buy or lend more than it can store in the warehouse and call it OW, with an extra number in a rented warehouse called RW near OW or just off it {Yadav, a. al. (21-53)}. Inventory costs (including maintenance costs and depreciation costs) in RW are generally higher than OW costs due to additional costs of running, equipment maintenance, etc. Reducing inventory costs will cost-effectively utilize RW products as quickly as possible. Actual customer service is only provided by OW, and to reduce costs, RW stock is cleaned first. Such arithmetic examples are called two arithmetic examples in the shop {Yadav and swami. (54-61)}. Management of the supply of electronic storage devices and integration of environmental and nerve networks {Yadav and Kumar (62)}. Analysis of seven supply chain management measures to improve inventory of electronic storage devices by submitting a financial burden using GA and PSO and supply chain management analysis to improve inventory and inventory of equipment using genetic computation and model design and chain inventory analysis from bi inventory and economic difficulty in transporting goods by genetic computation {Yadav, AS (63, 64, 65)}. Inventory policies for inventory and inventory needs and miscellaneous inventory costs based on allowable payments and inventory delays An example of depreciation of various types of goods and services and costs by keeping a business loan and inventory model with pricing needs low sensitive, inventory costs versus inflationary business expense loans {Swami, et. al. (66, 67, 68)}. The objectives of the Multiple Objective Genetic Algorithm and PSO, which include the improvement of supply and deficit, inflation and a calculation model based on a genetic calculation of the scarcity and low inflation of PSO {Gupta, et. al. (69, 70)}. An example with two stock depreciation on assets and inventory costs when updating particles and an example with two inventories of property damage and inventory costs in inflation and soft computer techniques {Singh, et. al. (71, 72)}. Delayed control of alcohol supply and particle refinement and green cement supply system and inflation by particle enhancement and electronic inventory system and distribution center by genetic computations {Kumar, et. al. (73, 74.75)}. Depreciation example at two stores and warehouses based on inventory using one genetic stock and one vehicle stock for demand and inflation inventory with two distribution centers using genetic stock {Chauhan and Yadav (76, 77)}. Analysis of marble Improvement of industrial reserves based on genetic technology and improvement of multiple particles {Pandey, et. al. (78)} The white wine industry in supply chain management through nerve networks {Ahlawat, et. al. (79)}. The best policy to import damaged goods immediately and pay for conditional delays under the supervision of two warehouses {Singh, et. al. (80)}.

3. Assumptions and Notations:

The following assumptions are used in this paper

1. The amelioration rate of livestock items is a two parameter Weibull distribution which is a decreasing function of time and is greater than the deterioration rate which is also a two parameter Weibull distribution
2. The production rate is considered greater than the demand rate and the deterioration rate
3. Cooperation between Regional Blood Center's and Hospital has been considered and the partial backlogging is allowed to the retailer
4. Lead time is assumed to be negligible
5. Amelioration and deterioration start when the livestock is bought by the Regional Blood Center's.
6. The deterioration units are not used.
7. Multiple deliveries per order are considered
8. Only one Regional Blood Center's and one Hospital are considered in the supply chain.
9. The discount rate is compounded continuously

Notations

G_0 : Time Discounting rate

η_0 : Scale parameter of Improve rate.

η_1 : Shape parameter of Improve rate Improve

δ_0 : Blood material's scale parameter for the deterioration rate.

δ_1 : Blood material's shape parameter for the deterioration rate.

δ_2 : Blood goods scale parameter for the deterioration rate.

δ_3 : Blood goods shape parameter for the deterioration rate.

n : Number of deliveries per order.

T_1 : Time period of Improve occurrence

T_2 : The production period

T_3 : The nonproduction period

T_4 : Period of positive inventory level $T_4 = T_2 + T_3$

T_5 : In stock period of retailer

T_6 : Out stock period

T_7 : Time period between deliveries $T_7 = \frac{T_4}{n} = T_5 + T_6$

T : Length of cycle time $T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$

$\left(X_0 + Y_0 t\right)$: The Blood Donation production rate

$\left(Z_0 + Z_1 t\right)$: The Blood Donation demand rate

□ $_{bdc}$: Blood Donation Centers order quantity per order form the supplier

□ $_{rbc}$: Regional Blood Center's Blood goods production lot size per production.

□ $_{hb}$: Hospitals Blood order quantity per order taken form the Regional Blood Center

$\Pi_{bdci}(t_i)$: Blood Donation Centers inventory level at any time (t_i), $0 \le t_i \le T_i$

$\Pi_{rbci}(t_i)$: Regional Blood Center's Blood goods inventory level at any time (t_i), $0 \le t_i \le T_i$

$\Pi_{hbi}(t_i)$: Hospitals Blood goods inventory level at any time (t_i), $0 \le t_i \le T_i$

□ $_{bdc}$: Blood Donation Centers maximum inventory level

□ $_{rbc}$: Regional Blood Center's Blood goods maximum inventory level

□ $_{hb}$: Hospitals Blood goods maximum inventory level

□ $_{bdc1}$: Blood Donation Centers ordering cost per order cycle

□ $_{rbc1}$: Regional Blood Center's setup cost per production cycle

□ $_{hb1}$: Hospitals ordering cost per order cycle

□ $_{bdc2}$: Blood Donation Centers per unit holding cost per unit time

□ $_{rbc2}$: Regional Blood Center's Blood goods per unit holding cost per unit time

□ $_{hb2}$: Hospitals Blood goods per unit holding cost per unit time

□ $_{3}$: Hospitals per unit backlog cost per unit time

□ $_{4}$: Hospitals per unit shortage cost for lost sale

$\square_{a}$: Ameliorating cost per unit time

$\square_{bdc}$: Blood Donation Centers per unit cost

$\square_{rbc}$: Regional Blood Center's Blood goods per unit cost

$\square_{hb}$: Hospitals Blood goods per unit cost

TC_{bdc} : Blood Donation Centers net present total cost per unit time

TC_{rbc} : Regional Blood Center's net present total cost per unit time

TC_{hb} : Hospitals Blood net present total cost per unit time

4. Formulation and Solution of The Model

(a) Blood Donation Centers Inventory

$$\frac{d\Pi_{bdc1}(t_1)}{dt_1} = \eta_0\eta_1 t_1^{\eta_1 -1}\Pi_{w1}(t_1) - \delta_0\delta_1 t_1^{\delta_1 -1}\Pi_{bdc1}(t_1) \qquad 0 \le t_1 \le T_1 \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\Pi_{bdc2}(t_2)}{dt_2} = \eta_0\eta_1 t_2^{\eta_1 -1}\Pi_{w2}(t_2) - \delta_0\delta_1 t_2^{\delta_1 -1}\Pi_{bdc2}(t_2) \qquad 0 \le t_2 \le T_2 \qquad (2)$$

The boundary conditions are given by $\Pi_{bdc2}(0) = \square_{bdc}$ and $\Pi_{bdc2}(T_2) = 0$

Using the above boundary conditions, the solutions of (1) and (2) are given by

$$\Pi_{bdc1}(t_1) = \square_{bdc} e^{\left(\eta_0 t_1^{\eta_1} - \delta_0 t_1^{\delta_1}\right)} \qquad 0 \le t_1 \le T_1$$

$$\Pi_{bdc2}(t_2) = e^{\left(\delta_0 t_2^{\delta_1} - \eta_0 t_2^{\eta_1}\right)} \int_{t_2}^{T_2} (X_0 + Y_0 M) e^{\left(\eta_0 u^{\eta_1} - \alpha_1 M^{\delta_0}\right)} dM \qquad 0 \le t_2 \le T_2$$

The maximum inventory level is given by

$$\square_{bdc} = \Pi_{bdc2}(0)$$

$$\square_{bdc} = \int_0^{T_2} (X_0 + Y_0 M) e^{\left(\eta_0 V_0^{\eta_1} - \delta_0 M^{\delta_0}\right)} du$$

$$\square_{bdc} = \int_0^{T_2} (X_0 + Y_0 M)\left(1 + \eta_0 M^{\eta_1} - \delta_0 M^{\delta_0} + ...\right) dM$$

$$\square_{bdc} = \int_0^{T_2} \left(X_0 + Y_0 V + X_0\eta_0 V^{\eta_1} - X_0\delta_0 V^{\delta_1} + Y_0\eta_0 V^{\eta_1+1} - Y_0\delta_0 V^{\delta_1+1}\right) dV$$

$$\square_{bdc} = \left[X_0 T_2 + \frac{Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \frac{X_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \frac{X_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \frac{Y_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \frac{Y_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2}\right]$$

Since $\square_{bdc} = \Pi_{bdc1}(T_1) = \Pi_{bdc2}(0)$ the order quantitly per order form outsider suppliers is given by

$$\square_{bdc} = e^{\left(\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} - \eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1}\right)} \int_0^{T_2} (X_0 + Y_0 M) e^{\left(\eta_0 M^{\eta_1} - \delta_0 M^{\delta_1}\right)} dM$$

$$\square_{bdc} = (1 + \delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} - \eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1})\left[X_0 T_2 + \frac{Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \frac{X_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \frac{X_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \frac{Y_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \frac{Y_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2}\right]$$

$$\square_{bdc} = (1 + \delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} - \eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1})\left\{\begin{array}{l} \left[\begin{array}{l} X_0 T_2 + \frac{Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \frac{X_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \frac{X_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} \\ + \frac{Y_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \frac{Y_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array}\right] \\ + \left[\begin{array}{l} \delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} X_0 T_2 + \frac{\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} X_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \\ \frac{\delta_0^2 T_1^{\delta_1} X_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \frac{\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1} Y_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \frac{\delta_0^2 T_1^{\delta_1} Y_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array}\right] \\ - \left[\begin{array}{l} \eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1} X_0 T_2 + \frac{\eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1} Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \frac{\eta_0^2 T_1^{\eta_1} X_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} \\ - \frac{\eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1} X_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \frac{\eta_0^2 T_1^{\eta_1} Y_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \frac{\eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1} Y_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array}\right] \end{array}\right\}$$

The net present initial replenishment ordering cost is given by

$$OC_{bdc} = \square_{bdc1}$$

The inventory occurs during the time periods T_1 and T_2. The net present inventory carring cost is given by

$$HC_{bdc} = \square_{bdc2}\left[\int_0^{T_1} \Pi_{bdc1}(t_1)e^{-G_0 t_1}dt_1 + \int_0^{T_1} \Pi_{bdc2}(t_2)e^{-G_0(T_1+t_2)}dt_2\right]$$

$$HC_{bdc} = \left[\begin{array}{l} \square_{bdc2}\left\{\square_{bdc1}\left(T_1 + \dfrac{\eta_0 T_1^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \dfrac{\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1+1}}{\beta_1+1} - \dfrac{rT_1^2}{2}\right)\right\} + \\ C_{bdc2}\left[\begin{array}{l} \left\{T_1 - \dfrac{\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} + \dfrac{\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} - G_0 T_1 T_2 - \dfrac{G_0 T_2^2}{2}\right\} \\ \left\{X_0 T_2 + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \dfrac{X_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^2}{2} + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \dfrac{Y_0\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2}\right\} \\ -(1-G_0T_1)\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0T_2^2}{2} + \dfrac{p_1T_2^3}{6} + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{(\eta_1+1)(\eta_1+2)} \\ -\dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+2)} + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+3}}{(\eta_1+3)(\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{Y_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+2)(\delta_1+3)} \end{array}\right\} \\ +G_0\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0T_2^3}{2} + \dfrac{Y_0T_2^4}{8} + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+3}}{(\eta_1+1)(\eta_1+3)} \\ -\dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+3)} + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+4}}{(\eta_1+4)(\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{Y_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+4}}{(\delta_1+2)(\delta_1+4)} \end{array}\right\} \\ -\delta_0\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} + \dfrac{Y_0T_2^{\delta_1+3}}{2(\delta_1+3)} + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{(\eta_1+1)(\delta_1+\eta_1+2)} \\ -\dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{2\delta_1+2}}{2(\delta_1+1)^2} + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+\eta_1+3)(\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{Y_0\delta_0T_2^{2\delta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+2)(2\delta_1+3)} \end{array}\right\} \\ +\eta_0\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\gamma_1+2} + \dfrac{Y_0T_2^{\eta_1+3}}{2(\gamma_1+3)} + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0T_2^{2\eta_1+2}}{2(\gamma+1)} \\ -\dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+1)\delta_1+\eta_1+3} + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0T_2^{2\eta_1+3}}{(2\eta_1+3)(\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+\eta_1+2)} \end{array}\right\} \end{array}\right] \end{array}\right]$$

The net present ameliorating cost during the time periods T_1 and T_2 is given by

$$AC_{bdc} = \square_a\left[\int_0^{T_1} \eta_0\eta_1 t_2^{\eta_1-1}\Pi_{bdc1}(t_1)e^{-G_0t_1}dt_1 + \int_0^{T_1}\eta_0\eta_1 t_2^{\eta_1-1}\Pi_{bdc2}(t_2)e^{-G_0(T_1+t_2)}dt_2\right]$$

$$AC_{bdc} = C_a \eta_0 \eta_1 \left[\begin{array}{l} \square_{bdc} \left(\dfrac{T_1^{\eta_1}}{\eta_1} + \dfrac{\eta_0 T_1^{2\eta_1}}{2\eta_1} - \dfrac{\delta_0 T_1^{\delta_1+\eta_1}}{\delta_1+\eta_1} - \dfrac{r T_1^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} \right) \\ + \left(\dfrac{T_2^{\eta_1}}{\eta_1} - \dfrac{\eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1}}{2\eta_1} - \dfrac{\delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1}}{\delta_1+\eta_1} - \dfrac{G_0 T_1 T_2^{\eta_1}}{\eta_1} - \dfrac{G_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} \right) \\ \left(\dfrac{X_0 T_2^{\eta_1}}{\eta_1} + \dfrac{X_0 \eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1}}{2\eta_1} - \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1}}{\delta_1+\eta_1} + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} + \dfrac{Y_0 \eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+1}}{2\eta_1+1} - \dfrac{Y_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+1}}{\delta_1+\eta_1+1} \right) \\ -\left(1 - G_0 T_1\right) \left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0 T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} + \dfrac{X_0 \eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+1}}{(\eta_1+1)(2\eta_1+1)} - \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+1}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+\eta_1+1)} \\ + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{2(\eta_1+2)} + \dfrac{Y_0 \eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+2}}{2(\eta_1+2)^2} - \dfrac{Y_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+\eta_1+2)(\delta_1+2)} \end{array} \right) \\ +G_0 \left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0 T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} + \dfrac{X_0 \eta_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+2}}{2(\eta_1+1)^2} - \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+\eta_1+2)} \\ + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^{\eta_1+3}}{2(\eta_1+3)} + \dfrac{p_1 \eta_0 T_2^{2\gamma+3}}{(\eta_1+2)(2\eta_1+3)} - \dfrac{Y_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+3}}{(\delta_1+\eta_1+3)(\delta_1+2)} \end{array} \right) \\ -\delta_0 \left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\gamma+1}}{\delta_1+\eta_1+1} + \dfrac{X_0 \eta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2\eta_1+1}}{(\eta_1+1)(\delta_1+2\eta_1+1)} - \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{2\delta_1+\eta_1+1}}{(\delta_1+1)(2\delta_1+\eta_1+1)} \\ + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^{\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{2(\delta_1+\eta_1+2)} + \dfrac{Y_0 \eta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2\eta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+2\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{Y_0 \delta_0 T_2^{2\delta_1+\eta_1+2}}{(2\delta_1+\eta_1+2)} \end{array} \right) \\ \eta_0 \left(\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{X_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+1}}{2\eta_1+1} + \dfrac{X_0 \eta_0 T_2^{3\eta_1+1}}{(3\eta_1+1)} + \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2\eta_1+1}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+2\eta_1+1)} \\ + \dfrac{Y_0 T_2^{2\eta_1+2}}{4(\eta_1+1)} + \dfrac{Y_0 \eta_0 T_2^{3\eta_1+2}}{(\eta_1+2)(3\eta_1+2)} - \dfrac{X_0 \delta_0 T_2^{\delta_1+2\eta_1+2}}{(\delta_1+1)(\delta_1+2\eta_1+2)} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right]$$

The net present item cost of livestock is given by

$$IC_{bdc} = [\Box\ bdc][\Box\ bdc]$$

$$IC_{bdc} = \Box\ bdc \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[\begin{array}{l} X_0T_2 + \dfrac{Y_0T_2^2}{2} + \dfrac{X_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\eta_1+1} - \dfrac{X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} \\ + \dfrac{Y_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \dfrac{Y_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array} \right] \\ + \left[\begin{array}{l} \delta_0T_1^{\delta_1}X_0T_2 + \dfrac{\delta_0T_1^{\delta_1}Y_0T_2^2}{2} + \dfrac{\delta_0T_1^{\delta_1}X_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\gamma+1} - \\ \dfrac{\delta_0{}^2T_1^{\delta_1}X_0T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \dfrac{\delta_0T_1^{\delta_1}Y_0\eta_0T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \dfrac{\delta_0{}^2T_1^{\delta_1}Y_0T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array} \right] \\ - \left[\begin{array}{l} \eta_0T_1^{\eta_1}X_0T_2 + \dfrac{\eta_0T_1^{\eta_1}Y_0T_2^2}{2} + \dfrac{\eta_0{}^2T_1^{\eta_1}X_0T_2^{\eta_1+1}}{\gamma+1} \\ - \dfrac{\eta_0T_1^{\eta_1}X_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+1}}{\delta_1+1} + \dfrac{\eta_0{}^2T_1^{\eta_1}Y_0T_2^{\eta_1+2}}{\eta_1+2} - \dfrac{\eta_0T_1^{\eta_1}Y_0\delta_0T_2^{\delta_1+2}}{\delta_1+2} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right\}$$

The net present total cost per unit time of Blood Donation Centers Inventory for the livestock during the cycle is the average of the sum of the ordering cost, the holding cost, the ameliorating cost and the cost given by

$$TC_{bdc} = \left[\frac{OC_{bdc} + HC_{bdc} + AC_{bdc} + IC_{bdc}}{T} \right] \qquad \text{(A)}$$

(b) Regional Blood Center's Inventory system

$$\frac{d\Pi_{rbc2}(t_2)}{dt_2} = (X_0 + Y_0t_2) - (Z_0 + Z_1t_2) - \delta_2\delta_3t_2^{\delta_3-1}\Pi_{rbc2}(t_2) \qquad 0 \le t_2 \le T_2 \qquad (03)$$

$$\frac{d\Pi_{rbc3}(t_3)}{dt_3} = -(Z_0 + Z_1t_3) - \delta_2\delta_3t_3^{\delta_3-1}\Pi_{rbc3}(t_3) \qquad 0 \le t_3 \le T_3 \qquad (04)$$

The boundary conditions are given by $\Pi_{rbc2}(0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{I}_{rbc3}(T_3) = 0$

$$\Pi_{rbc2}(t_2) = e^{-\delta_2t_2^{\delta_3}} \int_0^{t_2} \left[\{(X_0 + Y_0M) - (Z_0 + Z_1M)\} e^{\delta_2M^{\delta_3}}\, dM \right] \qquad 0 \le t_2 \le T_2$$

$$\Pi_{rbc3}(t_3) = e^{-\delta_2 t_3^{\delta_3}} \int_0^{t_3} \left[(Z_0 + Z_1 M) e^{\delta_2 M^{\delta_3}} \, dM \right] \qquad 0 \le t_3 \le T_3$$

The Regional Blood Center's maximum inventory level is given by

$$\square_{rbc} = \Pi_{rbc3}(0)$$

$$\square_{rbc} = \int_0^{T_3} (Z_0 + Z_1 M) e^{\delta_2 M^{\delta_3}} \, du$$

$$\square_{rbc} = \int_0^{T_3} (Z_0 + Z_1 M)(1 + \delta_2 M^{\delta_3} + ...) dM$$

$$\square_{rbc} = \left[Z_0 T_3 + \frac{Z_1 T_3^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_0 T_3^{\delta_3 + 1}}{\delta_3 + 1} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_1 T_3^{\delta_3 + 2}}{\delta_3 + 2} \right]$$

The production lot size per cycle is given by

$$\square_{rbc} = \int_0^{T_2} (X_0 + Y_0 t_2) dt_2$$

$$\square_{rbc} = \left[X_0 T_2 + \frac{Y_0 T_2^2}{2} \right]$$

The initial production setup cost $\square_{rbc1}$ is at $t_2 = 0$

The net present setup cost is given by

$$SC_{rbc} = \square_{rbc1} e^{-G_0 T_1}$$

$$SC_{rbc} = \square_{rbc1} (1 - G_0 T_1)$$

The inventory is carried out during the time periods T_2 and T_3.

The net present holding cost is given by

$$HC_{rbc} = \square_{rbc2}\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\int_{0}^{T_2} \Pi_{rbc2}(t_2) e^{-G_0(T_1+t_2)} dt_2 + \int_{t_3}^{T_3} \Pi_{rbc3}(t_3) e^{-G_0(T_1+T_2+t_3)} dt_3\right\} \\ -\left\{\int_{0}^{T_5} \Pi_{rbc5}(t_5) e^{-G_0 t_5} dt_5 + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} e^{-iG_0T_7} e^{-G_0T_1}\right)\right\}\end{array}\right]$$

$$HC_{rbc} = \left[\begin{array}{l}\square_{rbc2}\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{(X_0 - Z_0)\left[\frac{T_2^2}{2} + \left\{\frac{1}{\delta_3+1} - \delta_2\right\}\frac{T_2^{\delta_3+2}}{\delta_3+2} - \frac{\delta_2 T_2^{2\delta_3+2}}{(\delta_3+1)(2\delta_3+1)}\right]\right\} \\ +\left\{(Y_0 - Z_1)\left[\frac{T_2^3}{6} + \left\{\frac{1}{\delta_3+2} - \delta_2\right\}\frac{T_2^{\delta_3+3}}{\delta_3+3} - \frac{\delta_2 T_2^{2\delta_3+3}}{(\delta_3+2)(2\delta_3+3)}\right]\right\}\end{array}\right] \\ +\square_{rbc2}\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{Z_0\left[\frac{T_3^2}{2} + \left\{\frac{1}{\delta_3+1} - \delta_2\right\}\frac{T_3^{\delta_3+2}}{\delta_3+2} - \frac{\delta_2 T_3^{2\delta_3+2}}{(\delta_3+1)(2\delta_3+1)}\right]\right\} \\ +\left\{Z_1\left[\frac{T_3^3}{6} + \left\{\frac{1}{\delta_3+2} - \delta_2\right\}\frac{T_3^{\delta_3+3}}{\delta_3+3} - \frac{\delta_2 T_3^{2\delta_3+3}}{(\delta_3+2)(2\delta_3+3)}\right]\right\}\end{array}\right] \\ -\square_{rbc2}\left[\begin{array}{l}\left\{\frac{Z_0T_5^2}{2} + \frac{Z_1T_5^3}{2} + \frac{Z_0\delta_2T_5^{\delta_3+2}}{\delta_3+2} + \frac{Z_1\delta_2T_5^{\delta_3+3}}{\delta_3+3}\right\} \\ -(\delta_2 - r)\left\{\frac{Z_0T_5^3}{6} + \frac{Z_1T_5^4}{8} + \frac{Z_0\delta_2T_5^{\delta_3+3}}{2(\delta_3+3)} + \frac{Z_1\delta_2T_5^{\delta_3+4}}{2(\delta_3+4)}\right\}\end{array}\right]\left(\frac{1-e^{-G_0T_4}}{1-e^{-G_0T_7}}\right)(1-G_0T_1)\end{array}\right]$$

The net present item cost is given by

$$IC_{rbc} = [\square_{rbc}][\square_{rbc}]e^{-G_0T_1}$$

$$IC_{rbc} = \square_{rbc}\left[X_0T_2 + \frac{Y_0T_2^2}{2}\right]e^{-G_0T_1}$$

$$IC_{rbc} = \left[\square_{rbc}e^{-G_0T_1}X_0T_2 + \frac{C_m e^{-G_0T_1}Y_0T_2^2}{2}\right]$$

The net present total cost for the Regional Blood Center's Inventory system during the cycle is the sum of the setup cost, the holding cost, the item cost and the cost given by

$$TC_{rbc} = \left[\frac{HC_{rbc} + SC_{rbc} + IC_{rbc}}{T} \right] \qquad \text{(B)}$$

(c) Hospitals Blood Inventory system

$$\frac{d\Pi_{hb5}(t_5)}{dt_5} = \left[-\left(Z_0 + Z_1 t_5\right) - \delta_2 \delta_3 t_5^{\delta_3 - 1} \Pi_{hb5}(t_5) \right] \qquad 0 \le t_5 \le T_5 \qquad (05)$$

$$\frac{d\Pi_{hb6}(t_6)}{dt_6} = \left[-B\left(Z_0 + Z_1 t_6\right) \right] \qquad 0 \le t_6 \le T_6 \qquad (06)$$

The boundary conditions are given by $\Pi_{r5}(T_6) = 0$, $\Pi_{r5}(0) = 0$

Using the above boundary condition the solutions (05) and (06) are given by

$$\Pi_{hb5}(t_5) = \left[e^{\delta_2 t_5^{\delta_3}} \int_{t_5}^{T_5} \left(Z_0 + Z_1 M\right) e^{\delta_2 M^{\delta_3}} \, dM \right] \qquad 0 \le t_5 \le T_5$$

$$\Pi_{hb6}(t_6) = \left[-B\left(Z_0 t_6 + \frac{Z_1 t_6^2}{2} \right) \right] \qquad 0 \le t_6 \le T_6$$

The retailer maximum inventory level is given by

$$\square_{hb} = \Pi_{hb}(0)$$

$$\square_{hb} = \int_{t_5}^{T_5} \left(Z_0 + Z_1 M\right) e^{\delta_2 M^{\delta_3}} \, dM$$

$$\square_{hb} \approx \int_{t_5}^{T_5} \left(Z_0 + Z_1 M\right) \left(1 + \delta_2 M^{\delta_3} + ...\right) dM$$

$$\square_{hb} = \left[Z_0 T_5 + \frac{Z_1 T_5^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_0 T_5^{\delta_3 + 1}}{\delta_3 + 1} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_1 T_5^{\delta_3 + 2}}{\delta_3 + 2} \right]$$

The quantity given to the retailer per delivery is given by

$$\square_{hb} = MI_{hb} + B\left[Z_0T_6 + \frac{Z_1T_6^2}{2}\right]$$

$$\square_{hb} = \left[Z_0T_5 + \frac{Z_1T_5^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_0 T_5^{\delta_3+1}}{\delta_3+1} + \frac{\delta_2 Z_1 T_5^{\delta_3+2}}{\delta_3+2}\right] + B\left[Z_0T_6 + \frac{Z_1T_6^2}{2}\right]$$

The inirial ordering cost is C_{r1}. The net present ordering cost is given by

$$OC_{hb} = \square_{hb1}$$

The inventory at the retailer is carried out during time period T_5. The net present holding cost is given by

$$HC_{hb} = \square_{hb2} \int_0^{T_5} \Pi_{hb5}(t_5) e^{-G_0 t_5} dt_5$$

$$HC_{hb} = \square_{hb2} \int_0^{T_5} e^{-\delta_2 t_5^{\delta_3} - G_0 t_5} \left[\left(Z_0T_5 + \frac{Z_1T_5^2}{2}\right) - \left(Z_0t_5 + \frac{Z_1t_5^2}{2}\right)\right] dt_5$$

$$HC_{hb} = \square_{hb2} \int_0^{T_5} \left(1 - \delta_2 t_5^{\delta_3} - G_0 t_5\right)\left[\left(Z_0T_5 + \frac{Z_1T_5^2}{2}\right) - \left(Z_0t_5 + \frac{Z_1t_5^2}{2}\right)\right] dt_5$$

$$HC_{hb} = \square_{hb2} \left[\begin{array}{l} \left(\dfrac{Z_0T_5^2}{2} + \dfrac{Z_1T_5^3}{3} + \dfrac{Z_0\delta_2 T_5^{\delta_3+2}}{\delta_3+2} + \dfrac{Z_1\delta_2 T_5^{\delta_3+3}}{\delta_3+3}\right) \\ -\left(\delta_2 + G_0\right)\left(\dfrac{Z_0T_5^3}{6} + \dfrac{Z_1T_5^4}{8} + \dfrac{Z_0\delta_2 T_5^{\delta_3+3}}{2\left(\delta_3+3\right)} + \dfrac{Z_1\delta_2 T_5^{\delta_3+4}}{2\left(\delta_3+4\right)}\right) \end{array}\right]$$

The inventory at the retailer is carried out during the time period T_5. The net present backlog cost is given by

$$SC_{hb} = \square_{hb3} \int_0^{T_6} \left[-\Pi_{hb6}\left(t_6\right)\right] e^{-G_0\left(T_5 + t_6\right)} dt_6$$

$$SC_{hb} = \square_{hb3} B \int_0^{T_6} \left[Z_0t_6 + \frac{Z_1t_6^2}{2}\right] e^{-G_0\left(T_5 + t_6\right)} dt_6$$

$$SC_{hb} = \square_{hb3} B\left[\frac{Z_0\left(1-G_0T_5\right)T_6^2}{2}+\left(\frac{Z_1\left(1-G_0T_5\right)}{2}-Z_0G_0\right)\frac{T_6^3}{3}-\frac{Z_1G_0T_6^4}{8}\right]$$

The net present total cost during the Hospitals Blood Inventory system is the sum of the ordering cost, the holding cost, the backlog cost and the cost given by

$$TC_{hb} = \left[\frac{OC_{hb}+HC_{hb}+SC_{hb}}{T}\right] \qquad \text{(C)}$$

The net present total cost during Blood Donation Centers Inventory system, Regional Blood Center's Inventory system and Hospitals Blood Inventory system

$$TC_{bbsc} = TC_{bdc}+TC_{rbc}+TC_{hb} \qquad \text{(D)}$$

5. Bee Colony Optimization (BCO) and Genetic Algorithms (GA) with Supply Chain

1. Blood Donation Centers Stage:

Demand Forecasting with Genetic Algorithms (GA): Genetic Algorithms are applied to historical demand data to optimize demand forecasting. GA adapts to changing patterns and identifies influential factors in demand prediction.

Production Planning using Bee Colony Optimization (BCO): BCO optimizes production scheduling by finding the most efficient allocation of resources. Adaptive algorithms adjust to resource availability and demand fluctuations.

Quality Assurance and GA: Genetic Algorithms assist in quality control, improving product quality by identifying and minimizing defects. GA based anomaly detection enhances quality assurance processes.

Inventory Management with BCO: Bee Colony Optimization optimizes inventory control by dynamically adjusting reorder points and order quantities. BCO adapts to variations in demand and lead times.

2. Regional Blood Center's Stage

Inventory Optimization using GA: Genetic Algorithms optimize inventory levels in the Regional Blood Center's by minimizing holding costs and stockouts. GA adapts to changing demand patterns.

Smart Storage and Retrieval with BCO: Bee Colony Optimization enhances storage and retrieval processes by optimizing routing within the Regional Blood Center's. Adaptive BCO algorithms minimize travel times and improve efficiency.

3. Hospitals Blood Center's Stage:

Local Demand Forecasting with GA: Hospitals Blood Center's employ Genetic Algorithms for local demand forecasting, adapting to local market dynamics. GA models capture seasonality and changing consumer preferences.

Order Optimization and BCO: Hospitals Blood Center's utilize Bee Colony Optimization to optimize order quantities and lead times. BCO based order optimization considers factors like transportation costs and inventory constraints.

Collaborative Decision-making with GA and BCO: Hospitals Blood Center's collaborate using Genetic Algorithms and Bee Colony Optimization to share demand and inventory data. Algorithms enable Hospitals Blood Center's to make coordinated decisions, reducing supply chain inefficiencies.

Supply Chain Coordination with Hybrid GABCO: A hybrid approach combines Genetic Algorithms and Bee Colony Optimization to optimize the entire supply chain. Coordinated decision-making considers both global and local optimization objectives.

This supply chain model integrates Bee Colony Optimization and Genetic Algorithms throughout the supply chain, enhancing decision-making, optimizing processes, and improving adaptability to changing market conditions. The synergy between advanced optimization algorithms and traditional supply chain functions creates a highly efficient and responsive supply chain ecosystem

4. Numerical Illustration

Let for the production rate $X_0 = 500, Y_0 = 400$ and for the demand rate $Z_0 = 500, Z_1 = 400$, Blood Donation Centers ordering cost=400, Regional Blood Center's ordering cost =450, Hospitals Blood ordering cost =550, Blood Donation Centers holding cost=200, Regional Blood Center's holding cost=250, Hospitals Blood holding cost=255, deterioration cost $\delta_2 = 0.04, \delta_3 = 2.5, \delta_0 = 0.05, \delta_1 = 2.5$ ameliorating rate $Z_0 = 500, Z_1 = 400$ discount rate $G_0 = 0.06$ and fractional backorder $B = 0.8$

Table:-1 Optimal solution Blood Donation Centers Inventory

K	T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_6	TC_{cvrm}	TC	GA	BCO
1	0.013	0.130	1.130	1.100	0.149	841.130	111.45	411.45	111.45
2	0.013	0.140	1.140	0.185	0.139	851.130	131.45	411.45	131.45

ISSN:1539-1590 | E-ISSN:2573-7104
Vol. 6 No. 1 (2024)

3	0.014	0.145	1.150	0.175	0.134	811.130	141.45	411.45	141.45
4	0.015	0.150	3.130	0.115	0.133	871.130	171.45	411.45	171.45
5	0.015	0.155	3.139	0.155	0.139	881.130	181.45	481.45	181.45
6	0.011	0.110	3.135	0.145	0.137	891.130	191.45	491.45	191.45
7	0.011	0.115	3.135	0.140	0.134	991.130	301.45	501.45	701.45
8	0.017	0.170	3.140	0.135	0.131	993.130	311.45	511.45	711.45
9	0.017	0.175	4.131	0.130	0.119	995.130	331.45	531.45	731.45
10	0.318	0.180	4.150	0.130	0.111	997.130	331.45	531.45	731.45

Table:-2 Optimal solution Regional Blood Center's Inventory system

K	T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_6	TC_{cvm}	TC	GA	BCO
1	0.03	0.30	1.30	1.00	0.49	1841.30	1111.45	4111.45	1111.45
2	0.03	0.40	1.40	0.85	0.39	1851.30	1311.45	4311.45	1311.45
3	0.04	0.45	1.50	0.75	0.34	1811.30	1411.45	4411.45	1411.45
4	0.05	0.50	3.30	0.15	0.33	1871.30	1711.45	4711.45	1711.45
5	0.05	0.55	3.39	0.55	0.39	1881.30	1811.45	4811.45	1811.45
6	0.01	0.10	3.35	0.45	0.37	1891.30	1911.45	4911.45	1911.45
7	0.01	0.15	3.35	0.40	0.34	1991.30	3011.45	5011.45	7011.45
8	0.07	0.70	3.40	0.35	0.31	1993.30	3111.45	5111.45	7111.45
9	0.07	0.75	4.31	0.30	0.19	1995.30	3311.45	5311.45	7311.45
10	0.38	0.80	4.50	0.30	0.11	1997.30	3311.45	5311.45	7311.45

Table:-3 Optimal solution Hospitals Blood Inventory system

K	T_1	T_2	T_3	T_4	T_6	TC_{cvr}	TC	GA	BCO

1	0.03	0.30	1.30	1.00	0.49	3841.30	1111.45	4111.45	1111.45
2	0.03	0.40	1.40	0.85	0.39	3851.30	1311.45	4311.45	1311.45
3	0.04	0.45	1.50	0.75	0.34	3811.30	1411.45	4411.45	1411.45
4	0.05	0.50	3.30	0.15	0.33	3871.30	1711.45	4711.45	1711.45
5	0.05	0.55	3.39	0.55	0.39	3881.30	1811.45	4811.45	1811.45
6	0.01	0.10	3.35	0.45	0.37	3891.30	1911.45	4911.45	1911.45
7	0.01	0.15	3.35	0.40	0.34	3991.30	3011.45	5011.45	7011.45
8	0.07	0.70	3.40	0.35	0.31	3993.30	3111.45	5111.45	7111.45
9	0.07	0.75	4.31	0.30	0.19	3995.30	3311.45	5311.45	7311.45
10	0.38	0.80	4.50	0.30	0.11	3997.30	3311.45	5311.45	7311.45

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, optimizing blood supply chain inventory management is imperative for healthcare facilities to meet the demands of a competitive and dynamic healthcare landscape. The success of a healthcare organization hinges on its ability to efficiently navigate challenges such as minimizing lead times and costs, while simultaneously enhancing patient service levels and maintaining the highest quality standards for blood products.

The evolution of healthcare logistics has highlighted the need to break down silos within organizational units involved in blood sourcing, production, distribution, and healthcare marketing. Despite common overarching goals, these units often harbor distinct and occasionally conflicting objectives. Sourcing decisions tend to focus on cost minimization, while production and distribution decisions prioritize maximizing throughput and minimizing unit production costs, sometimes overlooking the implications of high blood product inventory levels and extended lead times.

At its core, effective blood supply chain management aims to integrate diverse healthcare organizations, each with unique objectives, towards the unified goal of ensuring a dependable and efficient blood supply for patient care. Recent advancements underscore the potential for substantial enhancements in these objectives through the skillful orchestration of blood supply chain management mechanisms.

The challenge of blood inventory management involves striking a delicate balance in maintaining an optimal supply of specific blood types in alignment with forecasted patient demand patterns. This requires strategic management of the costs associated with blood product holding, along with mitigating the adverse effects of shortages, such as compromised patient care and potential health risks.

The scope of blood products subject to inventory management is vast, ranging from everyday blood components used in transfusions to essential plasma-derived medications. Intriguingly, seemingly unrelated healthcare challenges can be mathematically modeled as intricate interwoven blood supply chain inventory control dilemmas.

Various blood supply chain models, characterized by administrative costs, ongoing maintenance costs, and shortfall costs, form the foundation for achieving efficient blood supply chain management. Innovative optimization algorithms, including Bee Colony Optimization and Genetic Algorithms, draw inspiration from nature to enhance the efficiency of blood product routing, storage, and distribution within the healthcare system.

Bee Colony Optimization Algorithms replicate the collaborative foraging behavior of ants, creating paths marked by pheromones to signify path quality. Similarly, Genetic Algorithms emulate natural selection and evolution to iteratively improve solutions. These algorithms contribute significantly to the efficient orchestration of blood supply chains, ensuring timely and safe access to blood products for patient care through the reinforcement of optimal or near-optimal solutions over successive iterations.

In summary, the integration of advanced optimization algorithms into blood supply chain management processes holds the promise of revolutionizing the efficiency and effectiveness of healthcare logistics, ultimately benefiting both healthcare providers and, most importantly, the patients they serve.

References:

[1] Yadav, A.S., Bansal, K.K., Shivani, Agarwal, S. And Vanaja, R. (2020) FIFO in Green Supply Chain Inventory Model of Electrical Components Industry With Distribution Centres Using Particle Swarm Optimization. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal. 9 (7), 5115–5120.

[2] Yadav, A.S., Kumar, A., Agarwal, P., Kumar, T. And Vanaja, R. (2020) LIFO in Green Supply Chain Inventory Model of Auto-Components Industry with Warehouses Using Differential Evolution. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9 no.7, 5121–5126.

[3] Yadav, A.S., Abid, M., Bansal, S., Tyagi, S.L. And Kumar, T. (2020) FIFO & LIFO in Green Supply Chain Inventory Model of Hazardous Substance Components Industry with Storage Using Simulated Annealing. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal, 9 no.7, 5127–5132.

[4] Yadav, A.S., Tandon, A. and Selva, N.S. (2020) National Blood Bank Centre Supply Chain Management For Blockchain Application Using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology Vol. 29, No. 8s, 1318-1324.

[5] Yadav, A.S., Selva, N.S. and Tandon, A. (2020) Medicine Manufacturing Industries supply chain management for Blockchain application using artificial neural networks, International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology Vol. 29, No. 8s, 1294-1301.

[6] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., Agarwal, S., Pandey, T. and Swami, A. (2020) Red Wine Industry of Supply Chain Management for Distribution Center Using Neural Networks, Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83 Issue: March – April, 11215 – 11222.

[7] Yadav, A.S., Pandey, T., Ahlawat, N., Agarwal, S. and Swami, A. (2020) Rose Wine industry of Supply Chain Management for Storage using Genetic Algorithm. Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83 Issue: March – April, 11223 – 11230.

[8] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., Sharma, N., Swami, A. And Navyata (2020) Healthcare Systems of Inventory Control For Blood Bank Storage With Reliability Applications Using Genetic Algorithm. Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 9 no.7, 5133–5142.

[9] Yadav, A.S., Dubey, R., Pandey, G., Ahlawat, N. and Swami, A. (2020) Distillery Industry Inventory Control for Storage with Wastewater Treatment & Logistics Using Particle Swarm Optimization Test Engraining & Management Volume 83 Issue: May – June, 15362-15370.

[10] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., Dubey, R., Pandey, G. and Swami, A. (2020) Pulp and paper industry inventory control for Storage with wastewater treatment and Inorganic composition using genetic algorithm (ELD Problem). Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83 Issue: May – June, 15508-15517.

[11] Yadav, A.S., Pandey, G., Ahlawat, N., Dubey, R. and Swami, A. (2020) Wine Industry Inventory Control for Storage with Wastewater Treatment and Pollution Load Using Bee Colony Optimization Algorithm, Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83 Issue: May – June, 15528-15535.

[12] Yadav, A.S., Navyata, Sharma, N., Ahlawat, N. and Swami, A. (2020) Reliability Consideration costing method for LIFO Inventory model with chemical industry warehouse. International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Volume 9 No 1, 403-408.

[13] Yadav, A.S., Bansal, K.K., Kumar, J. and Kumar, S. (2019) Supply Chain Inventory Model For Deteriorating Item With Warehouse & Distribution Centres Under Inflation. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, Volume-8, Issue-2S2, 7-13.

[14] Yadav, A.S., Kumar, J., Malik, M. and Pandey, T. (2019) Supply Chain of Chemical Industry For Warehouse With Distribution Centres Using Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, Volume-8, Issue-2S2, 14-19.

[15] Yadav, A.S., Navyata, Ahlawat, N. and Pandey, T. (2019) Soft computing techniques based Hazardous Substance Storage Inventory Model for decaying Items and Inflation using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5 Issue 9, 1102-1112.

[16] Yadav, A.S., Navyata, Ahlawat, N. and Pandey, T. (2019) Hazardous Substance Storage Inventory Model for decaying Items using Differential Evolution. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5 Issue 9, 1113-1122.

[17] Yadav, A.S., Navyata, Ahlawat, N. and Pandey, T. (2019) Probabilistic inventory model based Hazardous Substance Storage for decaying Items and Inflation using Particle Swarm Optimization. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5 Issue 9, 1123-1133.

[18] Yadav, A.S., Navyata, Ahlawat, N. and Pandey, T. (2019) Reliability Consideration based Hazardous Substance Storage Inventory Model for decaying Items using Simulated Annealing. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5 Issue 9, 1134-1143.

[19] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2019) Blood bank supply chain inventory model for blood collection sites and hospital using genetic algorithm. Selforganizology, Volume 6 No.(3-4), 13-23.

[20] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Ahlawat, N. (2018) A Green supply chain management of Auto industry for inventory model with distribution centers using Particle Swarm Optimization. Selforganizology, Volume 5 No. (3-4)

[21] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., and Sharma, S. (2018) Hybrid Techniques of Genetic Algorithm for inventory of Auto industry model for deteriorating items with two warehouses. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, Volume 2 Issue 5, 58-65.

[22] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Gupta, C.B. (2018) A Supply Chain Management of Pharmaceutical For Deteriorating Items Using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal for Science and Advance Research In Technology, Volume 4 Issue 4, 2147-2153.

[23] Yadav, A.S., Maheshwari, P., Swami, A., and Pandey, G. (2018) A supply chain management of chemical industry for deteriorating items with warehouse using genetic algorithm. Selforganizology, Volume 5 No.1-2, 41-51.

[24] Yadav, A.S., Garg, A., Gupta, K. and Swami, A. (2017) Multi-objective Genetic algorithm optimization in Inventory model for deteriorating items with shortages using Supply Chain management. IPASJ International journal of computer science, Volume 5, Issue 6, 15-35.

[25] Yadav, A.S., Garg, A., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2017) A Supply Chain management in Inventory Optimization for deteriorating items with Genetic algorithm. International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science, Volume 6, Issue 3, 335-352.

[26] Yadav, A.S., Maheshwari, P., Garg, A., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2017) Modeling& Analysis of Supply Chain management in Inventory Optimization for deteriorating items with Genetic algorithm and Particle Swarm optimization. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, Volume 6, Issue 6, 86-107.

[27] Yadav, A.S., Garg, A., Gupta, K. and Swami, A. (2017) Multi-objective Particle Swarm optimization and Genetic algorithm in Inventory model for deteriorating items with shortages using Supply Chain management. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, Volume 6, Issue 6, 130-144.

[28] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2017) Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm Involving Green Supply Chain Management International Journal for Science and Advance Research In Technology, Volume 3 Issue 9, 132-138.

[29] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A., Kher, G. (2017) Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm Involving Green Supply Chain Inventory Management. International Journal for Science and Advance Research In Technology, Volume 3 Issue, 240-246.

[30] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Pandey, G. (2017) Green Supply Chain Management for Warehouse with Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm. International Journal for Science and Advance Research in Technology, Volume 3 Issue 10, 769-775.

[31] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A., Kher, G. and Garg, A. (2017) Analysis of seven stages supply chain management in electronic component inventory optimization for warehouse with economic load dispatch using genetic algorithm. Selforganizology, 4 No.2, 18-29 .

[32] Yadav, A.S., Maheshwari, P., Swami, A. and Garg, A. (2017) Analysis of Six Stages Supply Chain management in Inventory Optimization for warehouse with Artificial bee colony algorithm using Genetic Algorithm. Selforganizology, Volume 4 No.3, 41-51.

[33] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A., Gupta, C.B. and Garg, A. (2017) Analysis of Electronic component inventory Optimization in Six Stages Supply Chain management for warehouse with ABC using genetic algorithm and PSO. Selforganizology, Volume 4 No.4, 52-64.

[34] Yadav, A.S., Maheshwari, P. and Swami, A. (2016) Analysis of Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization for warehouse with Supply Chain management in Inventory control. International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 145 –No.5, 10-17.

[35] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Kumar, S. (2018) Inventory of Electronic components model for deteriorating items with warehousing using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 119 No. 16, 169-177.

[36] Yadav, A.S., Johri, M., Singh, J. and Uppal, S. (2018) Analysis of Green Supply Chain Inventory Management for Warehouse With Environmental Collaboration and Sustainability Performance Using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 118 No. 20, 155-161.

[37] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2019) Auto Industry inventory model for deteriorating items with two warehouse and Transportation Cost using Simulated Annealing Algorithms. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5,Issue 1, 24-33.

[38] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2019) A Particle Swarm Optimization based a two-storage model for deteriorating items with Transportation Cost and Advertising Cost: The Auto Industry. International Journal of Advance Research and Innovative Ideas in Education, Volume 5, Issue 1, 34-44.

[39] Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N., and Sharma, S. (2018) A Particle Swarm Optimization for inventory of Auto industry model for two warehouses with deteriorating items.

International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, Volume 2 Issue 5, 66-74.

[40] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2018) Particle Swarm optimization of inventory model with two-warehouses. Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, Volume 23 No.1, 17-26.

[41] Yadav, A.S., Maheshwari, P.,, Swami, A. and Kher, G. (2017) Soft Computing Optimization of Two Warehouse Inventory Model With Genetic Algorithm. Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, Volume 19 No.4, 214-223.

[42] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A., Kumar, S. and Singh, R.K. (2016) Two-Warehouse Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Variable Holding Cost, Time-Dependent Demand and Shortages. IOSR Journal of Mathematics, Volume 12, Issue 2 Ver. IV, 47-53.

[43] Yadav, A.S., Sharam, S. and Swami, A. (2016) Two Warehouse Inventory Model with Ramp Type Demand and Partial Backordering for Weibull Distribution Deterioration. International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 140 –No.4, 15-25.

[44] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. and Singh, R.K. (2016) A two-storage model for deteriorating items with holding cost under inflation and Genetic Algorithms. International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science, Volume -2, Issue-4, 251-258.

[45] Yadav, A.S., Swami, A., Kher, G. and Kumar, S. (2017) Supply Chain Inventory Model for Two Warehouses with Soft Computing Optimization. International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research, Volume 15 No 4, 41-55.

[46] Yadav, A.S., Rajesh Mishra, Kumar, S. and Yadav, S. (2016) Multi Objective Optimization for Electronic Component Inventory Model & Deteriorating Items with Two-warehouse using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Control Theory and applications, Volume 9 No.2, 881-892.

[47] Yadav, A.S., Gupta, K., Garg, A. and Swami, A. (2015) A Soft computing Optimization based Two Ware-House Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with shortages using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 126 – No.13, 7-16.

[48] Yadav, A.S., Gupta, K., Garg, A. and Swami, A. (2015) A Two Warehouse Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Shortages under Genetic Algorithm and PSO. International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science, Volume 4, Issue 5(2), 40-48.

[49] Yadav, A.S. Swami, A., and Kumar, S. (2018) A supply chain Inventory Model for decaying Items with Two Ware-House and Partial ordering under Inflation. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 120 No 6, 3053-3088.

[50] Yadav, A.S. Swami, A. and Kumar, S. (2018) An Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Two warehouses and variable holding Cost. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 120 No 6, 3069-3086.

[51] Yadav, A.S., Taygi, B., Sharma, S. and Swami, A. (2017) Effect of inflation on a two-warehouse inventory model for deteriorating items with time varying demand and shortages. International Journal Procurement Management, Volume 10, No. 6, 761-775.

[52] Yadav, A.S., R. P. Mahapatra, Sharma, S. and Swami, A. (2017) An Inflationary Inventory Model for Deteriorating items under Two Storage Systems. International Journal of Economic Research, Volume 14 No.9, 29-40.

[53] Yadav, A.S., Sharma, S. and Swami, A. (2017) A Fuzzy Based Two-Warehouse Inventory Model For Non instantaneous Deteriorating Items With Conditionally Permissible Delay In Payment. International Journal of Control Theory And Applications, Volume 10 No.11, 107-123.

[54] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2018) Integrated Supply Chain Model for Deteriorating Items With Linear Stock Dependent Demand Under Imprecise And Inflationary Environment. International Journal Procurement Management, Volume 11 No 6, 684-704.

[55] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2018) A partial backlogging production-inventory lot-size model with time-varying holding cost and weibull deterioration. International Journal Procurement Management, Volume 11, No. 5, 639-649.

[56] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2013) A Partial Backlogging Two-Warehouse Inventory Models For Decaying Items With Inflation. International Organization of Scientific Research Journal of Mathematics, Issue 6, 69-78.

[57] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2019) An inventory model for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with variable holding cost under two-storage. International Journal Procurement Management, Volume 12 No 6, 690-710.

[58] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2019) A Volume Flexible Two-Warehouse Model with Fluctuating Demand and Holding Cost under Inflation. International Journal Procurement Management, Volume 12 No 4, 441-456.

[59] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2014) Two-Warehouse Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Ramp-Type Demand Rate and Inflation. American Journal of Mathematics and Sciences Volume 3 No-1, 137-144.

[60] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2013) Effect of Permissible Delay on Two-Warehouse Inventory Model for Deteriorating items with Shortages. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, Volume 2, Issue 3, 65-71.

[61] Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2013) A Two-Warehouse Inventory Model for Decaying Items with Exponential Demand and Variable Holding Cost. International of Inventive Engineering and Sciences, Volume-1, Issue-5, 18-22.

[62] Yadav, A.S. and Kumar, S. (2017) Electronic Components Supply Chain Management for Warehouse with Environmental Collaboration & Neural Networks. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 117 No. 17, 169-177.

[63] Yadav, A.S. (2017) Analysis of Seven Stages Supply Chain Management in Electronic Component Inventory Optimization for Warehouse with Economic Load Dispatch Using

GA and PSO. Asian Journal Of Mathematics And Computer Research, volume 16 No.4, 208-219.

[64] Yadav, A.S. (2017) Analysis Of Supply Chain Management In Inventory Optimization For Warehouse With Logistics Using Genetic Algorithm International Journal of Control Theory And Applications, Volume 10 No.10, 1-12 .

[65] Yadav, A.S. (2017) Modeling and Analysis of Supply Chain Inventory Model with two-warehouses and Economic Load Dispatch Problem Using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, Volume 9 No 1, 33-44.

[66] Swami, A., Singh, S.R., Pareek, S. and Yadav, A.S. (2015) Inventory policies for deteriorating item with stock dependent demand and variable holding costs under permissible delay in payment. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, Volume 4, Issue 2, 89-99.

[67] Swami, A., Pareek, S., Singh S.R. and Yadav, A.S. (2015) Inventory Model for Decaying Items with Multivariate Demand and Variable Holding cost under the facility of Trade-Credit. International Journal of Computer Application, 18-28.

[68] Swami, A., Pareek, S., Singh, S.R. and Yadav, A.S. (2015) An Inventory Model With Price Sensitive Demand, Variable Holding Cost And Trade-Credit Under Inflation. International Journal of Current Research, Volume 7, Issue, 06, 17312-17321.

[69] Gupta, K., Yadav, A.S., Garg, A. and Swami, A. (2015) A Binary Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm &PSO involving Supply Chain Inventory Optimization with Shortages, inflation. International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management, Volume 4, Issue 8, 37-44.

[70] Gupta, K., Yadav, A.S., Garg, A., (2015) Fuzzy-Genetic Algorithm based inventory model for shortages and inflation under hybrid & PSO. IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering, Volume 17, Issue 5, Ver. I , 61-67.

[71] Singh, R.K., Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2016) A Two-Warehouse Model for Deteriorating Items with Holding Cost under Particle Swarm Optimization. International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science, Volume -2, Issue-6, 858-864.

[72] Singh, R.K., Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2016) A Two-Warehouse Model for Deteriorating Items with Holding Cost under Inflation and Soft Computing Techniques. International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science, Volume -2, Issue-6, 869-876.

[73] Kumar, S., Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N. and Swami, A. (2019) Supply Chain Management of Alcoholic Beverage Industry Warehouse with Permissible Delay in Payments using Particle Swarm Optimization. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, Volume 7 Issue VIII, 504-509.

[74] Kumar, S., Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N. and Swami, A. (2019) Green Supply Chain Inventory System of Cement Industry for Warehouse with Inflation using Particle Swarm Optimization. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, Volume 7 Issue VIII, 498-503.

[75] Kumar, S., Yadav, A.S., Ahlawat, N. and Swami, A. (2019) Electronic Components Inventory Model for Deterioration Items with Distribution Centre using Genetic Algorithm. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, Volume 7 Issue VIII, 433-443.

[76] Chauhan, N. and Yadav, A.S. (2020) An Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Two-Warehouse & Stock Dependent Demand using Genetic algorithm. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Vol. 29, No. 5s, 1152-1162 .

[77] Chauhan, N. and Yadav, A.S. (2020) Inventory System of Automobile for Stock Dependent Demand & Inflation with Two-Distribution Center Using Genetic Algorithm. Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83, Issue: March – April, 6583 – 6591.

[78] Pandey, T., Yadav, A.S. and Medhavi Malik (2019) An Analysis Marble Industry Inventory Optimization Based on Genetic Algorithms and Particle swarm optimization. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering Volume-7, Issue-6S4, 369-373.

[79] Ahlawat, N., Agarwal, S., Pandey, T., Yadav, A.S., Swami, A. (2020) White Wine Industry of Supply Chain Management for Warehouse using Neural Networks Test Engraining & Management, Volume 83, Issue: March – April, 11259 – 11266.

[80] Singh, S. Yadav, A.S. and Swami, A. (2016) An Optimal Ordering Policy For Non-Instantaneous Deteriorating Items With Conditionally Permissible Delay In Payment Under Two Storage Management International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 147 –No.1, 16-25.

[81] Okula, S.,Aksub, D. and Orman, Z. (2019) Investigation of Artificial Intelligence Based Optimization Algorithms. Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University Journal of Institute of Science and Technology, 1-1, 11-16.

[82] Karaboğa, D. ve Baştürk, B., 2007, A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm, Journal of global optimization, 39 (3), 459-471.

[83] Karaboğa, D., 2014, Yapay Zekâ Optimizasyon Algoritmaları, Ankara, Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık