Journal of Research Administration
Society of Research Administrators International

READINESS ASSESSMENT MODELS FOR INTEGRATING INTERNET OF THINGS IN LIBYAN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Naser A M Idris, Md Gapar Md Johar, Ali Khatibi
Postgraduate Centre, Management and Science University, Shah Alam, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The integration of Internet of Things (IoT) technology within Libyan higher education institutions promises transformative advancements across teaching, learning, research, and administrative domains. This study explores the multifaceted implications of IoT adoption within the Libyan higher education sector, analyzing the associated opportunities, challenges, and strategic considerations essential for its effective implementation. Through a meticulous examination of existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and empirical studies, the research underscores the wide-ranging benefits anticipated from IoT integration. These include the enrichment of educational experiences, empowerment of faculty and students, streamlining administrative functions, stimulation of innovation and research, and alignment with national developmental objectives. Simultaneously, the study elucidates critical hurdles concerning data privacy, cybersecurity, infrastructure limitations, financial constraints, and capacity building initiatives that necessitate resolution to ensure sustained IoT implementation success. Moreover, emphasis is placed on the important role of stakeholder engagement, policy formulation, and strategic planning in surmounting adoption barriers and maximizing the socio-economic dividends of IoT technology within Libyan academia. In summary, the study underscores the transformative potential of IoT integration, envisioning it as a catalyst for innovation, digital progress, and the realization of a knowledge-driven economy in Libya.

Keywords: Internet of Things (IoT), Higher education, Libya, Technology adoption, Socio-economic development.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT), particularly the Internet of Things (IoT), into higher education has become increasingly vital, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. While developed nations have made significant strides in adopting smart educational technologies, developing countries like Libya face challenges in implementing these advancements due to infrastructure limitations and other constraints. In Libya, government initiatives and partnerships with technology companies aim to modernize the education sector by incorporating ICT into higher education institutions. These efforts include collaborations with telecom enterprises to provide cloud services and digital skills training programs. However, despite these endeavors, challenges persist in fully integrating ICT into the education system.

One major obstacle is the lack of readiness among stakeholders, including decision-makers, educators, and students. While there is recognition of the importance of ICT in education, there

remains a gap in understanding and embracing new technologies. Moreover, concerns about security and privacy pose additional barriers to the widespread adoption of emerging technologies like IoT. To address these challenges, comprehensive readiness assessments and strategic planning are necessary. Organizational-level analysis and evaluation of technical and non-technical factors are essential to ensure the successful deployment of IoT and other technologies in higher education. Moreover, efforts to enhance the digital skills of educators and students are crucial to bridge the readiness gap and facilitate the effective use of ICT tools.

Despite the challenges, there are significant opportunities for leveraging IoT to enhance teaching and learning experiences in Libya and other developing countries. By providing access to educational resources, facilitating resource sharing, and improving instructional systems, IoT has the potential to lower costs and expand educational opportunities for students. However, it is essential to acknowledge that the successful adoption of IoT in higher education requires a holistic approach that considers both technological and human factors. By addressing concerns about security and privacy, enhancing digital literacy, and fostering a culture of innovation, higher education institutions can harness the full potential of IoT to transform teaching and learning processes. Overall, while challenges remain, the integration of IoT into higher education holds immense promise for enhancing educational outcomes in Libya and other developing nations. By addressing readiness gaps, promoting digital literacy, and fostering a culture of innovation, higher education institutions can leverage IoT to create more inclusive, responsive, and effective learning environments. Also, the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) is catalyzing profound changes across various sectors globally, including higher education. As market demands evolve, higher education institutions must equip students with the requisite skills, necessitating the embrace of modern technologies (Azah Mansor et al., 2020). However, Libyan higher education institutions encounter unique challenges in transitioning to e-learning, despite relatively widespread access to high-speed Internet (Hammoud, 2023; The Libya Observer, 2019). Issues like suboptimal e-learning platforms and technological infrastructure, coupled with burgeoning enrollment numbers and insufficient funding, underscore the urgent need for innovative solutions (Ghawail et al., 2021; Gadour, 2021; Salman & Soliman, 2022; Maatuk et al., 2022; Busneneh & El-Bazzar, 2021).

In this milieu, the effective adoption of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) and sophisticated systems like the Internet of Things (IoT) is paramount for modernizing educational processes and resource management (Mansor et al., 2020; Kamar et al., 2016). While technology access isn't the primary barrier in Libya, comprehension among key stakeholders remains deficient, leading to resistance to digital transformation (Azevedo & Almeida, 2021; Moreira et al., 2018). This resistance is particularly pronounced in less developed countries, where IoT adoption lags (Aamer, 2022; Ali et al., 2023; Al-Emran et al., 2020). Despite growing recognition of the importance of ICT in higher education growth, there is a notable gap in understanding readiness factors for IoT adoption, especially at the organizational level in Libyan higher education institutions (Ramadan et al., 2019; Salem & Mohammadzadeh, 2018). Therefore, there is an urgent

imperative for research to address this gap and develop a comprehensive readiness model supporting IoT adoption in Libyan higher education. This proposed study aims to examine determinants influencing the readiness of Libyan higher education institutions to embrace IoT technology. By identifying these factors and developing a readiness model, the research seeks to contribute to both academic discourse and practical insights for policymakers and educational leaders. Ultimately, the goal is to facilitate digital transformation in the Libyan higher education sector, aligning with broader efforts to modernize educational infrastructure and enhance the quality of education.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Internet of Things (IoT) marks a transformative shift in connectivity, enabling the intercommunication of everyday objects via the internet. This interconnectedness revolutionizes efficiency and automation across diverse sectors (Rahmani et al., 2022; Debnath & Chettri, 2021; Gazis, 2021). Dating back to 1982, the concept of IoT emerged with a Coca-Cola vending machine at Carnegie Mellon University, underscoring its longstanding history and evolutionary trajectory (Greengard, 2023; Foote, 2022). IoT technologies encompass an array of components, including radio frequency identification (RFID), cloud computing, wireless sensor networks (WSNs), and near-field communication (NFC). These technologies facilitate seamless communication, interaction, and data exchange among devices, fostering the development of smarter, interconnected systems and products (Malekshahi et al., 2020; Gillis, 2022). RFID, a cornerstone IoT technology, employs radio waves to identify and track objects equipped with RFID tags. These tags, comprising antennas, memory, and modulation circuits, transmit and receive data when activated by RFID readers (Xu et al., 2023; Lubna et al., 2022). Widely applied in logistics, supply chain management, asset tracking, and inventory control, RFID offers advantages over traditional manual systems and barcodes (Mezzanotte et al., 2021). Another important IoT technology is the wireless sensor network (WSN), consisting of small, low-power sensors communicating wirelessly to monitor and transmit data. WSNs find utility in environmental monitoring, military sensing, and industrial automation, providing real-time data collection and control capabilities (Yellampalli, 2021; Singh et al., 2018). Employing diverse topologies like star networks, mesh networks, and hybrid networks, WSNs facilitate communication and data exchange among sensor nodes (Macharla, 2021).

Despite the benefits, managing vast IoT-generated data poses challenges, often addressed through advanced machine learning algorithms for data analysis and pattern recognition. Nevertheless, IoT technologies continue to proliferate across industries, fundamentally transforming how we monitor, control, and interact with our surroundings (Yellampalli, 2021; Bajaj et al., 2020). The global IoT market experiences exponential growth, with projections foreseeing substantial revenue escalation in the forthcoming years. Drivers of this growth include the need for inventory management, supply chain optimization, and operational efficiency across various sectors (RFID Market, 2022; Alsop, 2020; Das, 2019). As IoT technologies permeate further into society, they

are poised to reshape industries and societal paradigms, offering unprecedented opportunities for innovation and advancement.

The integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) has profoundly reshaped various industries, enabling the connectivity of everyday objects to the internet for seamless data exchange. Key IoT technologies such as Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), Near-Field Communication (NFC), and cloud computing have revolutionized sectors like agriculture, healthcare, smart homes, industrial automation, retail, and smart cities. In agriculture, IoT facilitates precise environmental monitoring and resource management, while wearable IoT devices enhance health tracking. Healthcare benefits from IoT through remote patient monitoring, and smart homes leverage IoT for environmental control and security enhancement. Industrial automation optimizes productivity with IoT, and retail experiences improved efficiency. Additionally, IoT contributes to the development of smart cities by enhancing sustainability and citizen services through data-driven decision-making.

However, while higher education institutions (HEIs) stand to benefit significantly from IoT integration, several challenges must be addressed for successful implementation. Cybersecurity is a primary concern, requiring robust measures to safeguard sensitive data and prevent cyber threats. Privacy and data protection issues also need careful consideration, given the potential for IoT devices to collect and transmit sensitive information. Interoperability challenges among IoT devices, reliability concerns, and the high costs associated with setup, maintenance, and upgrading pose additional hurdles for HEIs. Energy consumption, data management, and network connectivity issues further complicate IoT implementations. Moreover, resistance and inertia from decision-makers and stakeholders, fueled by concerns about security, privacy, and hidden costs, can impede progress.

Despite these challenges, recognizing the transformative potential of IoT in higher education is crucial. With proper planning, investment, and collaboration, HEIs can harness IoT technologies to enhance learning experiences, improve operational efficiency, and address emerging challenges. By addressing constraints and leveraging opportunities presented by IoT, HEIs can position themselves at the forefront of educational innovation and better prepare students for the demands of the digital age. Higher education in Libya has experienced significant growth in recent years, with an increase in the number of universities and technical institutions supported by government funding, leading to high enrollment rates. However, the quality of education faces challenges due to inadequate infrastructure, limited financial resources, and difficulties in adapting to modern teaching methods. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the need for technological integration in education, prompting Libyan universities to transition to e-learning. Yet, this shift revealed shortcomings in technological infrastructure and traditional e-learning platforms' effectiveness.

Recognizing these challenges, there is a growing acknowledgment of the significance of incorporating Information and Communication Technology (ICT), notably the Internet of Things (IoT), into higher education. IoT technology offers potential to enhance e-learning systems by enabling connectivity, improving reliability, and fostering dynamic, interactive learning environments. The Libyan government has shown commitment to modernizing the education system through initiatives aimed at integrating ICT and enhancing technological infrastructure. However, successful implementation requires robust infrastructure, reliable communication systems, and adequate faculty training.

Understanding the needs and behaviors of the new generation of digitally savvy learners is crucial for effectively integrating IoT into Libyan higher education. This generation, often referred to as digital natives or Generation Z, expects flexible access to educational resources and is comfortable with digital technologies. The widespread use of smart devices among Libyan students presents an opportunity for higher education institutions to leverage IoT-enabled educational tools. By incorporating IoT technology, institutions can create efficient, accessible, and relevant learning environments aligned with digital-native learners' expectations. However, realizing IoT's full potential in higher education requires more than technological integration. It necessitates assessing organizational and individual readiness, ensuring faculty members possess necessary skills, addressing infrastructure challenges, and addressing privacy concerns.

In summary, the new generation of digitally savvy learners in Libya presents both opportunities and challenges for higher education institutions. By embracing emerging technologies like IoT and adapting to students' evolving needs, institutions can enhance education quality and relevance, preparing students for success in the digital age. In the contemporary business landscape, characterized by rapid technological advancements and evolving market conditions, a structured approach to digital transformation and organizational change is imperative. To aid firms in navigating this complex journey, several maturity models have been developed by renowned research bodies and scholars, offering frameworks for assessing and guiding the adoption of technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT) and driving innovation within organizations. These models play a crucial role in shaping the strategic direction of businesses, ensuring alignment with technological advancements and market demands.

Several prominent maturity models have been developed to aid firms in navigating the complexities of IoT adoption and maximizing the business impact of IoT investments. Gartner's IoT Maturity Model, developed by Gartner, provides Chief Information Officers (CIOs) with insights to understand, track, and maximize the business impact of IoT investments across their organizations. This model delineates the stages and dimensions of IoT evolution, guiding organizations from initial sporadic IoT initiatives to seamless integration within processes, thereby ensuring alignment with strategic objectives and delivering tangible business value. Similarly, the IoT Capability Assessment Model, created by the IoT Institute, evaluates firms' readiness and

capabilities for adopting IoT technologies. By assessing capabilities across dimensions like strategy, infrastructure, data analytics, security, and integration with existing processes and systems, firms can develop strategic roadmaps for IoT deployment, ensuring alignment with business objectives and maximizing returns on investment.

Schumacher's Industry 4.0 Maturity Model focuses on the manufacturing sector within the context of Industry 4.0, assessing digital transformation across nine dimensions. By measuring factors such as strategy, leadership, customer integration, product customization, operations automation, culture, people, governance, and technology adoption, it assists manufacturers in navigating advancements in Industry 4.0. Axeda's Connected Product Maturity Model evaluates product manufacturers' capabilities for connecting and managing products over the Internet. By assessing connectivity and intelligence across six levels, from unconnected to differentiated value, manufacturers can identify their current stage and areas for improvement, enabling them to develop strategies for advancing their products' capabilities and creating new value propositions. Finally, the TDWI Readiness Model for IoT focuses on the data aspects of IoT adoption. By assessing dimensions like data readiness, analytics readiness, data infrastructure readiness, IT, development, and operational readiness, and organizational readiness, organizations can prioritize investments and develop comprehensive plans for advancing their IoT capabilities.

Each of these maturity models offers a structured approach to assessing and guiding organizations' adoption of IoT technologies, driving digital transformation, and fostering innovation. By leveraging these frameworks, firms can navigate the complexities of business transformation and ensure their agility, responsiveness, and leadership in their respective domains. In the context of Libyan Higher Education Institutions (LHEIs), the adoption of IoT technology represents a critical juncture poised to redefine educational paradigms and infrastructural frameworks. As Libya progresses towards embracing digital transformation in its academic landscape, understanding the intricacies of technology adoption becomes imperative. Technology adoption theories serve as foundational pillars, offering insights into the multifaceted dynamics shaping organizational and individual readiness for technological innovation. For instance, the Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DoI) elucidates the diffusion process within social systems, highlighting the role of early adopters and innovation characteristics (Rogers, 2003). In the Libyan context, where fostering a culture of innovation and overcoming resource constraints are paramount, the DoI theory provides valuable insights into the socio-cultural dimensions of technology adoption.

Furthermore, the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) investigate individual attitudes and subjective norms, elucidating the cognitive processes underlying technology acceptance (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980; Ajzen, 1991). By leveraging these theories, researchers can uncover the cognitive barriers and facilitators influencing educators' and administrators' readiness to embrace IoT solutions in LHEIs.

Similarly, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its extensions offer a comprehensive framework for assessing the perceived usefulness and ease of use of IoT technologies (Davis, 1989; Venkatesh & Davis, 2000). In the Libyan context, where technological infrastructure may vary across institutions and regions, TAM provides a lens to evaluate the alignment between technological capabilities and user expectations.

Moreover, the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) synthesizes various adoption theories, emphasizing performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence, and facilitating conditions (Venkatesh et al., 2003). In Libya, where technological investments must align with educational objectives and stakeholder needs, UTAUT offers a holistic perspective on technology adoption readiness. Additionally, the Technology Readiness Index (TRI) and the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Model provide nuanced frameworks for assessing individual and organizational readiness, considering factors such as optimism, innovativeness, technological infrastructure, and regulatory environments (Parasuraman, 2000; Zhu & Kraemer, 2005).

FINDINGS AND ANALYTICAL DISCUSSION

By integrating these theories into the research framework, scholars can navigate the complexities of IoT adoption in LHEIs, identifying barriers, enablers, and strategies for fostering a conducive ecosystem for technological innovation. Through empirical investigations and contextualized analyses, researchers can tailor interventions to address the unique challenges and opportunities shaping technology adoption in Libyan higher education. Furthermore, in the Libyan context, where the adoption of Information Technology (IT) innovations within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) is influenced by a variety of factors, organizational variables play a crucial role in shaping adoption decisions. Existing technology adoption theories, such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), Diffusion of Innovations (DOI), and Technology Readiness Index (TRI), have limitations that hinder their applicability in the complex context of HEIs. In contrast, the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework offers a more holistic perspective, considering technological, organizational, and environmental contexts (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990). This flexibility makes it particularly suitable for analyzing IoT adoption in Libyan HEIs, considering factors such as organizational readiness and external influences.

However, there is a need for comprehensive research specifically focused on the Libyan context, with existing studies often neglecting organizational, technological, and environmental factors influencing IoT adoption (Ahmed et al., 2022; Ireda et al., 2019). Addressing this gap requires a holistic understanding of organizational readiness and challenges in Libya to ensure successful IoT implementation and maximize its benefits in higher education institutions. Furthermore, addressing barriers to adoption in developing countries like Libya requires a comprehensive understanding of organizational readiness and challenges. Comprehensive research incorporating

both technical and non-technical factors is essential to ensure successful IoT implementation and maximize its benefits in higher education institutions (Zhuankhan & Renken, 2023).

By investing in IoT infrastructure and human capital development, higher education institutions can contribute to the country's efforts to build a knowledge-based economy, foster entrepreneurship, and address socio-economic challenges (Al-Emran et al., 2019). Moreover, IoT adoption can enhance Libya's global competitiveness, attract investment, and create new opportunities for economic growth and job creation. Socio-Economic Impact: Beyond the education sector, IoT adoption has broader socio-economic implications for Libyan society. By producing a skilled workforce equipped with digital competencies, higher education institutions can drive economic development, spur technological innovation, and promote social inclusion. Moreover, IoT-enabled solutions have the potential to address pressing societal issues such as healthcare delivery, environmental sustainability, and infrastructure development, thereby improving the quality of life for citizens across the country. Challenges and Considerations: Despite the significant potential benefits, the widespread adoption of IoT technology in Libyan higher education institutions is not without challenges. Concerns related to data privacy, cybersecurity, infrastructure limitations, and financial constraints must be carefully addressed (Al-Emran et al., 2020). Moreover, capacity building efforts, stakeholder engagement, and policy support are essential for overcoming barriers to adoption and ensuring the sustainable implementation of IoT solutions. Finally, the implications of IoT adoption in Libyan higher education institutions are far-reaching and encompass various aspects of teaching, learning, research, administration, and socio-economic development. By embracing IoT technology and addressing associated challenges, Libyan universities can position themselves as hubs of innovation, knowledge creation, and societal transformation, driving progress towards a more prosperous and sustainable future for the nation.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

In conclusion, the adoption of Internet of Things (IoT) technology in higher education institutions presents significant opportunities for enhancing teaching, learning, and administrative processes, yet numerous challenges hinder widespread implementation, particularly in developing countries like Libya. This review underscores the importance of understanding organizational readiness and addressing both technical and non-technical factors to facilitate successful IoT adoption. While existing research has explored individual and technological aspects, a notable gap exists regarding organizational preparedness and the holistic consideration of adoption factors. Crucial elements such as the necessity of digital strategies, stakeholder commitment, and technological challenges like data management are often overlooked. Moreover, the Libyan context remains underexplored, with limited research focused on factors shaping IoT adoption in higher education institutions.

To address these gaps and promote effective IoT adoption in Libyan higher education, several recommendations emerge. Firstly, future studies should undertake comprehensive analyses

encompassing technical and non-technical factors, including organizational readiness assessments and stakeholder engagement strategies. Secondly, institutions should develop clear digital strategies aligned with educational objectives to facilitate IoT integration, emphasizing stakeholder involvement. Thirdly, fostering stakeholder engagement, including decision-makers, faculty, and staff, is crucial for buy-in and support. Addressing technological challenges such as data management and security concerns is paramount, necessitating investment in infrastructure and staff training. Promoting research and collaboration can foster innovation and knowledge sharing, while tailoring solutions to the Libyan context and building staff capacity in IoT technology are essential for successful adoption.

By implementing these recommendations, Libyan higher education institutions can overcome barriers to IoT adoption and harness the transformative potential of this technology to enhance teaching, learning, and administrative processes. With careful planning, stakeholder engagement, and strategic investments, IoT adoption can contribute to advancing educational outcomes and supporting sustainable development in Libya. The implications of effectively adopting Internet of Things (IoT) technology in Libyan higher education institutions are multifaceted and extend beyond the immediate aspect of academia. Successful integration of IoT solutions has the potential to bring about transformative changes in teaching, learning, research, and administrative processes, ultimately contributing to the advancement of the education sector and the broader socio-economic development of Libya. Enhanced Teaching and Learning Experiences: By leveraging IoT devices and applications, educators can create immersive and interactive learning environments that cater to diverse learning styles and preferences. IoT-enabled classrooms equipped with smart boards, sensors, and connected devices can facilitate real-time data collection, personalized learning experiences, and collaborative activities (Johnston, 2017). This can lead to improved student engagement, retention, and academic outcomes. Empowerment of Faculty and Students: The adoption of IoT technology empowers faculty members and students to explore innovative teaching and learning methodologies. Faculty can leverage IoT-enabled tools and platforms to deliver dynamic and engaging instructional content, conduct research, and collaborate with peers globally (Gandomi & Haider, 2015).

Similarly, students can access resources, participate in virtual labs, and engage in experiential learning activities that enhance their critical thinking, problem-solving, and digital literacy skills. Efficient Administrative Processes: IoT solutions offer opportunities for streamlining administrative processes and enhancing institutional efficiency. From campus management systems and facility monitoring to student services and resource allocation, IoT-enabled applications can automate routine tasks, optimize resource utilization, and improve decision-making processes (Al-Emran et al., 2018). This can lead to cost savings, improved operational effectiveness, and enhanced service delivery for students, faculty, and staff. Innovation and Research Advancement: IoT technology serves as a catalyst for innovation and research advancement in higher education institutions. Researchers can leverage IoT-enabled sensors, data

analytics, and machine learning algorithms to collect, analyze, and interpret large volumes of data across various domains (Atzori et al., 2010). This facilitates interdisciplinary research collaborations, enables data-driven decision-making, and drives innovation in areas such as smart agriculture, healthcare, environmental monitoring, and urban planning. Alignment with National Development Goals: The adoption of IoT technology aligns with Libya's national development goals and aspirations for economic diversification, innovation, and digital transformation.

REFERENCES

Aamer, A. M., Al-Awlaqi, M. A., Affia, I., Arumsari, S., & Mandahawi, N. (2022). The internet of things in the food supply chain: Adoption challenges. Benchmarking: an international journal, 28(8), 2521-2541.

Aboelmaged, M. G. (2014). Predicting e-readiness at firm-level: An analysis of technological, organizational and environmental (TOE) effects on e-maintenance readiness in manufacturing firms. International Journal of Information Management, 34(5), 639-651.

Ajibade, P. (2018). Technology acceptance model limitations and criticisms: Exploring the practical applications and use in technology-related studies, mixed-method, and qualitative researches. Library Philosophy and Practice, 9.

Al Hadwer, A., Tavana, M., Gillis, D., & Rezania, D. (2021). A systematic review of organizational factors impacting cloud-based technology adoption using Technology-organization-environment framework. Internet of Things, 15, 100407.

Al-Emran, M., Malik, S. I., & Al-Kabi, M. N. (2020). A survey of Internet of Things (IoT) in education: Opportunities and challenges. Toward social internet of things (SIoT): Enabling technologies, architectures and applications: Emerging technologies for connected and smart social objects, 197-209.

Alghatrifi, I., & Khalid, H. (2019). Factors affecting emerging technology adoption in higher education: A systematic mapping study. Open International Journal of Informatics, 7(Special Issue 1), 147-157.

Alghatrifi, I., & Khalid, H. (2019, December). A systematic review of UTAUT and UTAUT2 as a baseline framework of information system research in adopting new technology: a case study of IPV6 adoption. In 2019 6th International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems (ICRIIS) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Alhasan, A., Hussein, M. H., Audah, L., Al-Sharaa, A., Ibrahim, I., & Mahmoud, M. A. (2023). A case study to examine undergraduate students' intention to use internet of things (IoT) services in the smart classroom. Education and Information Technologies, 1-24.

Ali, J., Madni, S. H. H., Jahangeer, M. S. I., & Danish, M. A. A. (2023). IoT Adoption Model for E-Learning in Higher Education Institutes: A Case Study in Saudi Arabia. Sustainability, 15(12), 9748.

Alsheibani, S., Messom, C., & Cheung, Y. (2020). Re-thinking the competitive landscape of artificial intelligence.

Alsop, T. (2020, March 2). RFID technology market by application worldwide 2014-2025 | Statista. Statista. Retrieved July 16, 2023, from

Aqeel, M., Ali, F., Iqbal, M. W., Rana, T. A., Arif, M., & Auwul, R. (2022). A Review of Security and Privacy Concerns in the Internet of Things (IoT). Journal of Sensors, 2022.

Arsenijević, D., Stankovski, S., Ostojić, G., Baranovski, I., & Oros, D. (2018). An overview of IoT readiness assessment methods. In Zbornik radova 8th International Conference on Information Society and Technology–ICIST (Vol. 1, pp. 48-53).

Bajaj, K., Sharma, B., & Singh, R. (2020). Integration of WSN with IoT applications: a vision, architecture, and future challenges. Integration of WSN and IoT for Smart Cities, 79-102.

Baker, J. (2012). The technology–organization–environment framework. Information Systems Theory: Explaining and Predicting Our Digital Society, Vol. 1, 231-245.

Bharadwaj, S., & Menon, A. (2000). Making innovation happen in organizations: individual creativity mechanisms, organizational creativity mechanisms or both?. Journal of Product

Bourrie, D. M., Sankar, C. S., & Jones-Farmer, L. A. (2015). Conceptualizing interactions between innovation characteristics and organizational members' readiness to adopt educational innovations. International Journal of Engineering Education, 31(4), 967-985.

Busneneh, Izzudin & El-Bazzar, Mohammed. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Universities' Academic Performance: Empirical Evidence from Libya. 41. 1-21. 10.21608/caf.2021.166208.

Carcary, M., Maccani, G., Doherty, E., & Conway, G. (2018). Exploring the determinants of IoT adoption: Findings from a systematic literature review. In Perspectives in Business Informatics Research: 17th International Conference, BIR 2018, Stockholm, Sweden, September 24-26, 2018, Proceedings 17 (pp. 113-125). Springer International Publishing.

Chanal, P. M., & Kakkasageri, M. S. (2020). Security and privacy in IoT: a survey. Wireless Personal Communications, 115(2), 1667-1693.

Chweya, R., & Ibrahim, O. (2021). Internet of Things (IoT) Implementation in Learning Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review. Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology, 29(1). https://doi.org/10.47836/pjst.29.1.26.

Darren Wall. (2022, March 22). The 10 best IoT Industry 4.0 use cases for manufacturing | IoT Now News & Reports. IoT Now News - How to Run an IoT Enabled Business. Retrieved July 8, 2023, from https://www.iot-now.com/2022/03/22/120336-10-best-iot-industry-4-0-implementations/.

Das, R., & H. D. (2019, October 31). RFID Forecasts, Players and Opportunities 2019-2029. IDTechEx. https://www.idtechex.com/en/research-report/rfid-forecasts-players-and-opportunities-2019-2029/700.

Debnath, D., Chettri, S.K. (2021). Internet of Things: Current Research, Challenges, Trends and Applications. In: Gao, XZ., Kumar, R., Srivastava, S., Soni, B.P. (eds) Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Engineering. Algorithms for Intelligent Systems. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4604-8_52.

Dey, S., Bhale, P., & Nandi, S. (2019, December). ReFIT: Re liability Challenges and F ailure Rate Mitigation Techniques for I o T Systems. In International Conference on Innovations for Community Services (pp. 123-142). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Elkaseh, A. M., Wong, K. W., & Fung, C. C. (2015). The acceptance of e-learning as a tool for teaching and learning in Libyan higher education. International Journal of Information Technology, 3(4), 1-11.

Gao, L., & Bai, X. (2014). A unified perspective on the factors influencing consumer acceptance of internet of things technology. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 26(2), 211-231.

Ghawail, E. A. A., Yahia, S. B., & Alrshah, M. A. (2021). Challenges of applying e-learning in the Libyan higher education system. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.08545.

Gillis. (2022, March 1). What is IoT (Internet of Things) and How Does it Work? - Definition from TechTarget.com. IoT Agenda. Retrieved July 8, 2023, from https://www.techtarget.com/iotagenda/definition/Internet-of-Things-IoT.

Greengard, S. (2021). Greengard, S. (2021). The internet of things. MIT press.

Hacid, H., Al-Shamaileh, O., & Maamar, Z. (2023). An exploratory study of rethinking user experience in the internet of things. Computing, 105(1), 1-12.

Hamad, H. (2014). Antecedents of business-to-business e-commerce adoption and its effect on competitive advantage in manufacturing small and medium-sized enterprises: A comparative study of United States of America and Egypt.

Hammoud, M. G. (2023, May 3). 5G: A modern technology that reaches Libya, among the first Arab countries. Retrieved July 9, 2023, from https://www.alwow.ly/ar/editorial/article/5g-tqny-hdyth-tsl-l-lyby-dmn-wl-ldwl-laarby/.

Haroon, A., Shah, M. A., Asim, Y., Naeem, W., Kamran, M., & Javaid, Q. (2016). Constraints in the IoT: the world in 2020 and beyond. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 7(11).

Hashim, H. S., & Al-Sulami, Z. A. (2020, February). A model of factors influencing users' adoption of internet of things services: A Case Study of Iraqi Educational Institutions. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 769, No. 1, p. 012006). IOP Publishing.

Hsu, C. L., & Lin, J. C. C. (2016). An empirical examination of consumer adoption of Internet of Things services: Network externalities and concern for information privacy perspectives. Computers in human behavior, 62, 516-527.

https://www.statista.com/statistics/781314/global-rfid-technology-market-revenue-by-application/.

Innovation Management: An International Publication of the Product Development & Management Association, 17(6), 424-434.

Ireda, A. M. A., Ben-Mubarak, M. A., & Buhari, A. (2019, March). Factors That Influence An Academic Institution's Intention To Accept CloudIOT: A Proposed Framework. In 2018 International Conference on Industrial Enterprise and System Engineering (ICoIESE 2018) (pp. 140-143). Atlantis Press.

Islam, A. N., Azad, N., Mäntymäki, M., & Islam, S. S. (2014). TAM and e-learning adoption: a philosophical scrutiny of TAM, its limitations, and prescriptions for e-learning adoption research. In Digital Services and Information Intelligence: 13th IFIP WG 6.11 Conference on e-Business, e-Services, and e-Society, I3E 2014, Sanya, China, November 28-30, 2014. Proceedings 13 (pp. 164-175). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Javahernia, A., & Sunmola, F. (2017). A simulation approach to innovation deployment readiness assessment in manufacturing. Production & Manufacturing Research, 5(1), 81-89.

Kamar, I., Chatterjee, P., & Hamie, A. (2016). Internet of Things in Learning Systems-A Perspective of Platforms. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 7(2).

Khan, M. Z., Alhazmi, O. H., Javed, M. A., Ghandorh, H., & Aloufi, K. S. (2021). Reliable Internet of Things: Challenges and future trends. Electronics, 10(19), 2377.

Kim, H. J., Hong, A. J., & Song, H. D. (2019). The roles of academic engagement and digital readiness in students' achievements in university e-learning environments. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1-18.

Lee, C., Lee, H., & Cha, K. (2022). Trust In Technology Vs. Trust In Technology Supplier: Success Factors For Cameroon Customs Information System Adoption. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, 100(24), 7366-7380.

Li, J. C. (2020). Roles of individual perception in technology adoption at organization level: Behavioral model versus toe framework. Journal of System and Management Sciences, 10(3), 97-118.

Liu, L., Cruz, A. M., & Rincon, A. M. R. (2019). Technology acceptance, adoption, and usability: arriving at consistent terminologies and measurement approaches. Everyday technologies in healthcare, 319-338.

Lubna, L., Hameed, H., Ansari, S., Zahid, A., Sharif, A., Abbas, H. T., ... & Abbasi, Q. H. (2022). Radio frequency sensing and its innovative applications in diverse sectors: A comprehensive study. Frontiers in Communications and Networks, 3, 1010228.

Lynn, T., Endo, P. T., Ribeiro, A. M. N., Barbosa, G. B., & Rosati, P. (2020). The internet of things: definitions, key concepts, and reference architectures. The Cloud-To-Thing Continuum: Opportunities and Challenges in Cloud, Fog and Edge Computing, 1-22.

Maatuk, A. M., Elberkawi, E. K., Aljawarneh, S., Rashaideh, H., & Alharbi, H. (2022). The COVID-19 pandemic and E-learning: challenges and opportunities from the perspective of students and instructors. Journal of computing in higher education, 34(1), 21-38.

Macharla, M. (2021, June 2). Basics of Wireless Sensor Networks, Topologies and Application - IoTEDU. IoTEDU. https://iot4beginners.com/basics-of-wireless-sensors-networks-topologies-and-application/.

Madni, S. H. H., Ali, J., Husnain, H. A., Masum, M. H., Mustafa, S., Shuja, J., Maray, M., & Hosseini, S. (2022, July 8). Factors Influencing the Adoption of IoT for E-Learning in Higher Educational Institutes in Developing Countries. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.915596.

Malatji, W. R., Eck, R. V., & Zuva, T. (2020). Understanding the usage, modifications, limitations and criticisms of technology acceptance model (TAM). Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal, 5(6), 113-117.

Malekshahi Rad, M., Rahmani, A. M., Sahafi, A., & Nasih Qader, N. (2020). Social Internet of Things: vision, challenges, and trends. Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences, 10(1), 1-40.

Mansor, N. A., Abdullah, N., & Rahman, H. A. (2020). Towards electronic learning features in education 4.0 environment: literature study. Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 19(1), 442-450. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v19.i1.pp442-450

Marquez, J., Villanueva, J., Solarte, Z., & Garcia, A. (2016). IoT in education: Integration of objects with virtual academic communities. In New advances in information systems and technologies (pp. 201-212). Springer International Publishing.

Mezzanotte, P., Palazzi, V., Alimenti, F., & Roselli, L. (2021). Innovative RFID sensors for Internet of Things applications. IEEE Journal of Microwaves, 1(1), 55-65.

Mohamad, A. G. M. M., Idrus, S. Z. S., & Ibrahim, A. A. E. A. (2018, June). The ICT-Induced on Behavioral of Lecturer and Society Change in Libya Universities. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1019, No. 1, p. 012069). IOP Publishing.

Moore, S. J., Nugent, C. D., Zhang, S., & Cleland, I. (2020). IoT reliability: a review leading to 5 key research directions. CCF Transactions on Pervasive Computing and Interaction, 2, 147-163.

Moreira, F. T., Magalhaes, A., Ramos, F., & Vairinhos, M. (2018). The power of the internet of things in education: an overview of current status and potential. In Citizen, Territory and Technologies: Smart Learning Contexts and Practices: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Smart Learning Ecosystems and Regional Development-University of Aveiro, Portugal, 22-23, June 2017 2 (pp. 51-63). Springer International Publishing.

Nawi, N. C., Al Mamun, A., Md Nasir, N. A., & Rahman, M. K. (2023). Analyzing customer acceptance of the internet of things (IoT) in the retail industry. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 14(5), 5225-5237.

Noura, M., Atiquzzaman, M., & Gaedke, M. (2019). Interoperability in internet of things: Taxonomies and open challenges. Mobile networks and applications, 24, 796-809.

Oliveira, K. K. D. S., & de Souza, R. A. C. (2022, August 31). Digital Transformation towards Education 4.0. Informatics in Education. https://doi.org/10.15388/infedu.2022.13.

Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2011). Literature review of information technology adoption models at firm level. Electronic journal of information systems evaluation, 14(1), pp110-121.

Pappas, C. (2014, November 5). Top 5 Most Common eLearning Challenges and How to Overcome Them. Top 5 Most Common eLearning Challenges and How to Overcome Them - eLearning Industry. https://elearningindustry.com/5-common-elearning-challenges-overcome.

Peres, P., Moreira, F., & Mesquita, A. (2018). Are really technologies at the fingers of teachers? Results from a higher education institution in Portugal.

Pham, C., Rahim, A., & Cousin, P. (2016, September). Low-cost, Long-range open IoT for smarter rural African villages. In 2016 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Rahmani, A. M., Bayramov, S., & Kiani Kalejahi, B. (2022). Internet of things applications: opportunities and threats. Wireless Personal Communications, 122(1), 451-476.

Ramadan, K., Elatresh, J., Alzain, A., & Tokeser, U. (2019). An analysis of factors affecting learners' attitudes towards the integration of e-learning into the higher education system in Libya: Case study; Misurata University. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 13(10), 55-64.

Rana, B., & Singh, Y. (2021). Internet of things and UAV: An interoperability perspective. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles for Internet of Things (IoT) Concepts, Techniques, and Applications, 105-127.

RFID Market. (2022, September 5). RFID Market Size, Share, Industry Trends, Companies, Growth Analysis 2030. MarketsandMarkets. Retrieved July 16, 2023, from https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/rfid-market-446.html.

Sadeeq, M. M., Abdulkareem, N. M., Zeebaree, S. R., Ahmed, D. M., Sami, A. S., & Zebari, R. R. (2021). IoT and Cloud computing issues, challenges and opportunities: A review. Qubahan Academic Journal, 1(2), 1-7.

Salem, N., & Mohammadzadeh, B. (2018). A study on the integration of ICT by EFL teachers in Libya. EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(7), 2787-2801.

Salman, D., & Soliman, C. (2022, December 29). Insights from online education in the Egyptian higher education. International Journal of Educational Management, 37(1), 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-05-2022-0173.

Selmi, S. A. (2023, January 27). Use of ICT to Promote Literacy in Sub-Saharan Africa. American Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation, 2(1), 49–64. https://doi.org/10.54536/ajmri.v2i1.1182.

Sharma, A., & Nazir, S. (2021). Assessing the technology self-efficacy of maritime instructors: An explorative study. Education Sciences, 11(7), 342.

Shin, D. (2014). A socio-technical framework for Internet-of-Things design: A human-centered design for the Internet of Things. Telematics and Informatics, 31(4), 519-531.

Shin, D. H. (2017). Conceptualizing and measuring quality of experience of the internet of things: Exploring how quality is perceived by users. Information & Management, 54(8), 998-1011.

Singh, M. K., Amin, S. I., Imam, S. A., Sachan, V. K., & Choudhary, A. (2018, October). A Survey of Wireless Sensor Network and its types. In 2018 international conference on advances in computing, communication control and networking (ICACCCN) (pp. 326-330). IEEE.

The Libya observer. (2019, October 30). Al-Madar Al-jadid launches the fifth generation service 5G. The Libya Observer. Retrieved July 11, 2023, from https://ar.libyaobserver.ly/article/6292.

Vermesan, O., Friess, P., Guillemin, P., Giaffreda, R., Grindvoll, H., Eisenhauer, M., ... & Tragos, E.Z. (2022) 'Internet of things beyond the hype: Research, innovation and deployment', Building the Hyperconnected Society-Internet of Things Research and Innovation Value Chains, Ecosystems and Markets, River Publishers, pp. 15-118.

V-Soft Digital. (2022, December 13). Addressing Common Barriers for IoT Adoption. V-Soft Digital. Retrieved July 22, 2023, from https://vsoftdigital.com/blog/addressing-common-barriers-for-iot-adoption/.

Williams, M. D., Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). The unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): a literature review. Journal of enterprise information management, 28(3), 443-488.

Xu, J., Gu, B., & Tian, G. (2022). Review of agricultural IoT technology. Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture, 6, 10-22.

Yang, F., & Gu, S. (2021, January 27). Industry 4.0, a revolution that requires technology and national strategies. Complex & Intelligent Systems, 7(3), 1311–1325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-020-00267-9.

Yee-Loong Chong, A., Liu, M. J., Luo, J., & Keng-Boon, O. (2015, January). Predicting RFID adoption in healthcare supply chain from the perspectives of users. International Journal of Production Economics, 159, 66–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.09.034.

Yellampalli, S. (2021, September 1). Wireless Sensor Networks: Design, Deployment and Applications.

Zhu, K., & Kraemer, K. L. (2005). Post-adoption variations in usage and value of e-business by organizations: cross-country evidence from the retail industry. Information systems research, 16(1), 61-84.

Zhuankhan, A., & Renken, J. (2023). Exploring the determinants of successful IOT adoption–the case of german manufacturing. International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management.