

COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ISRAELI-PALESTINIAN CONFLICT: A PATH TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE PEACE

Dr. Zamokuhle Mbandlwa

Department of Public Administration and Economics, The Durban University of Technology,
Durban, South Africa, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7528-3565>

Abstract

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict remains one of the most protracted and complex disputes in the modern era. This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the conflict, focusing on its historical background, current status, and potential avenues for a peaceful resolution. The primary objective is to shed light on the multifaceted aspects of the conflict, exploring its root causes and the role of key stakeholders. The purpose of this article is to provide a balanced and well-informed perspective, promoting understanding and dialogue among the involved parties.

Findings: The historical background reveals a long-standing dispute rooted in conflicting national narratives, territorial claims, and religious sentiments. In the current context, the situation remains highly volatile, marked by ongoing violence and political instability. The analysis underscores the urgent need for renewed diplomatic efforts, fostering trust, and creating a conducive environment for negotiations.

Recommendations:

- **Engage in direct and unconditional negotiations:** Both Israel and Palestine should commit to direct, face-to-face negotiations without preconditions. International mediators should support and facilitate these talks.
- **Address core issues:** The parties should address core issues such as borders, refugees, Jerusalem, and security. These discussions should be guided by the principle of a two-state solution, with a sovereign and viable Palestinian state living in peace alongside Israel.
- **International involvement:** The international community, including the United Nations, the United States, the European Union, and regional actors, should play a constructive role in mediating and facilitating the peace process.
- **Promote people-to-people initiatives:** Grassroots efforts, educational programs, and cultural exchanges should be encouraged to build trust and understanding among Israelis and Palestinians.

In conclusion, this article emphasizes the urgency of addressing the Israeli-Palestinian conflict to achieve a lasting and just resolution. By adopting the recommended measures and promoting a

peaceful dialogue, the involved parties can pave the way for a more stable and harmonious future in the region.

Keywords: Israel, Palestine, Conflict, War, Peace building

Introduction

The conflict between Israel and Palestine is one of the most protracted and complex disputes in the world, rooted in a deep historical, religious, and political context. At its core, this conflict revolves around competing claims to the same land and has given rise to significant tensions and violence for decades. To understand the causes of this conflict, it is essential to delve into its historical origins, which date back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries, as well as the key factors that have sustained it since then (Yahaya 2020).

The primary cause of the Israel-Palestine conflict lies in the struggle for territorial sovereignty in the region known as historic Palestine, which includes present-day Israel, the West Bank, and the Gaza Strip. This land has deep historical and religious significance for both Jews and Palestinians. Jews consider it their ancestral homeland, with a history dating back thousands of years, while Palestinians, who are primarily Arabs, have lived in the region for generations. The conflict can be traced back to the late 19th century when Jewish immigration to Palestine, then part of the Ottoman Empire, increased as part of the Zionist movement, which sought to establish a Jewish homeland in the region. Tensions escalated with the Balfour Declaration in 1917, in which the British government expressed support for the establishment of a "national home for the Jewish people" in Palestine (Halper 2021).

Following World War I, the League of Nations granted Britain a mandate to govern Palestine, leading to an increase in Jewish immigration and Arab opposition. These tensions persisted during the British mandate period, culminating in the 1947 United Nations partition plan, which proposed the division of Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states, along with an international administration for Jerusalem. The plan was accepted by Jewish leaders but rejected by Arab states and Palestinian leaders, leading to a war in 1948. The 1948 Arab-Israeli War, or the "War of Independence" for Israelis and "Nakba" (catastrophe) for Palestinians, resulted in Israel's establishment and the displacement of hundreds of thousands of Palestinian Arabs. This event remains a deeply rooted source of Palestinian grievance (Golan 2020).

Since then, the conflict has gone through multiple wars, uprisings, negotiations, and peace attempts. It has also evolved to encompass issues such as borders, refugees, settlements, security concerns, and the status of Jerusalem, making it an intricate and multifaceted geopolitical challenge. Additionally, the ongoing occupation of the West Bank and the blockade of the Gaza Strip have added layers of complexity to the conflict. In the decades since its inception, the Israel-Palestine conflict has been marked by deep-seated mutual mistrust, violence, and ongoing disputes over land and rights. Understanding the historical backdrop and the fundamental issues at stake is crucial for comprehending the ongoing tensions and challenges in the region (Shlaim 2020).

Historical context of the conflict between Israel and Palestine

Before World War I, the Middle East, which included Ottoman Syria (with its southern part recognized as Palestine), had been under Ottoman Empire rule for almost four centuries. In the late 19th century, Palestine, which was divided between the Mutasarrifate of Jerusalem, Syria Vilayet, and Beirut Vilayet, was predominantly inhabited by Arab Muslims, including farmers and Bedouins (mainly in the Negev and Jordan Valley), with smaller populations of Christians (mostly Arabs), Druze, Circassians, and Jews (mostly of Sephardic origin). During this period, the majority of Jews around the world lived outside of Palestine, particularly in Eastern and Central Europe, with significant communities in the Mediterranean, the Middle East, and the Americas (Caplan 2019).

The origins of the conflict can be traced back to the late 19th century when various national movements, such as Zionism and Arab nationalism, gained prominence. While the idea of Jews returning to Zion had been a part of Jewish religious thought for centuries, the discussion of Jewish immigration to the Land of Israel and the reestablishment of a Jewish nation gained momentum between 1859 and the 1880s. This shift was largely driven by the widespread persecution of Jews and rising anti-Semitism in Russia and Europe (Dowty 2012). Consequently, the Zionist movement, which aimed to create a homeland for the Jewish people, emerged as a political movement in 1897. The Zionist movement advocated for the establishment of a Jewish nation-state in Palestine, serving as a refuge for Jews worldwide and allowing them the right to self-determination. Zionists increasingly believed that this state should be located in their historic homeland, which they referred to as the Land of Israel. The World Zionist Organization and the Jewish National Fund promoted immigration and land purchase, both under Ottoman and later British rule, in the Palestinian region. Meanwhile, Arab nationalism and early forms of Syrian nationalism were the prevailing ideologies, alongside continued loyalty to the Ottoman state, in the area (Tessler 2009).

According to Benny Morris, one of the earliest instances of violence between Arabs and newly arrived Jewish immigrants in Palestine occurred when, in December 1882, an Arab man was accidentally shot and killed in Safed during a wedding by a Jewish guard from the newly established Rosh Pinna. In response, approximately 200 Arabs descended on the Jewish settlement, throwing stones and damaging property (Moore and Guy 2012). Another incident took place in Petah Tikva in early 1886, where Jewish settlers demanded that their tenants vacate disputed land and began encroaching on it. On March 28, a Jewish settler crossing this land was attacked and had his horse stolen by Yahudiya Arabs, while the settlers confiscated nine mules found grazing in their fields. It is unclear which incident happened first or which was a retaliation. The Jewish settlers refused to return the mules, which was seen as a provocation. The following day, when most of the settlement's men were away, fifty or sixty Arab villagers attacked Petah Tikva, vandalizing houses and fields and taking much of the livestock. Four Jews were injured, and an elderly woman with a heart condition died four days later (Timotijevic 2022).

By 1908, thirteen Jews had been killed by Arabs, with four of these deaths labeled by Benny Morris as occurring in "nationalist circumstances," while the others happened during robberies and other crimes. Over the next five years, twelve Jewish settlement guards were killed by Arabs. Settlers began to attribute the increasing depredations to Arab "hatred" and "nationalism" rather than mere "banditry."

Zionist ambitions were increasingly seen as a threat by Arab leaders in Palestine. Certain developments, such as the acquisition of land from Arab owners for Jewish settlements, which resulted in the eviction of tenant farmers, exacerbated tensions between the parties and left the Arab population in the region feeling dispossessed of their lands. Ottoman land-purchase regulations were enforced following local complaints against growing immigration (Shlaim 2020). Ottoman policymakers in the late 19th century were concerned about the increased influence of Russia and Europe in the region due to significant immigration from the Russian Empire. They feared that the loyalty of new immigrants, not just because they were Jewish, but because of their primary allegiance to Russia, could undermine Turkish control in Palestine. This concern was fueled by the example of the dismantling of Ottoman authority in the Balkans. European immigration was also perceived as a threat to the region's cultural makeup by local residents (Gelvin 2014).

The significance of the anti-Jewish riots in Russia in the late 19th and early 20th centuries and anti-immigration legislation in Europe was that they led to waves of Jewish immigration to Palestine (First Aliyah and Second Aliyah). As the extent of various Zionist initiatives became evident, the Arab population in Palestine began to protest against the acquisition of land by the Jewish population. Consequently, in 1892, the Ottoman authorities banned land sales to foreigners. By 1914, the Jewish population in Palestine had grown to over 60,000, with approximately 33,000 being recent settlers (Jamal 2016).

Findings and results

In early October 2023, a conflict erupted between Israel and Hamas, the militant Islamist group that has governed Gaza since 2006. Hamas militants launched rockets into Israel and carried out incursions into southern Israeli cities and towns along the Gaza border, resulting in casualties among both soldiers and civilians, as well as the capture of numerous hostages. The attack caught Israel off guard, but the country swiftly initiated a retaliatory military operation (Hamdan 2023). On October 8, one day after the initial attack, the Israeli cabinet formally declared war against Hamas. Subsequently, the defense minister issued a directive to the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF) to implement a "complete siege" of Gaza. Since then, both sides have engaged in daily rocket exchanges, and Israel ordered more than one million Palestinian civilians in northern Gaza to evacuate as a precaution against a potential ground assault. Additionally, Gaza is grappling with severe shortages of water, fuel, and essential supplies due to an Israeli blockade, and the conflict's intensity has raised concerns about its spillover into neighboring Lebanon and Syria through cross-border strikes (Hitman and Kertcher 2023).

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict traces its origins to the late 19th century. In 1947, the United Nations passed Resolution 181, also known as the Partition Plan, with the aim of dividing the British Mandate of Palestine into Arab and Jewish states. On May 14, 1948, the State of Israel was established, leading to the first Arab-Israeli War. Although the war concluded in 1949 with an Israeli victory, it resulted in the displacement of 750,000 Palestinians and the division of the territory into three parts: The State of Israel, the West Bank (located west of the Jordan River), and the Gaza Strip (Danieli 2022). Subsequent years saw escalating tensions in the region, particularly between Israel and neighboring countries like Egypt, Jordan, and Syria. Following the 1956 Suez Crisis and Israel's invasion of the Sinai Peninsula, Egypt, Jordan, and Syria entered into mutual defense agreements in anticipation of potential Israeli mobilization. In June 1967, Israel initiated a preemptive attack on Egyptian and Syrian air forces, commencing the Six-Day War. After the war, Israel gained control of the Sinai Peninsula and Gaza Strip from Egypt, the West Bank and East Jerusalem from Jordan, and the Golan Heights from Syria. Six years later, in the Yom Kippur War, Egypt and Syria launched a surprise two-front attack on Israel to reclaim their lost territory, resulting in limited gains and eventually negotiations over previously ceded territory, particularly by Egypt (ISHAMALI and Ibiang 2023).

In 1979, after a series of cease-fires and peace talks, representatives from Egypt and Israel signed the Camp David Accords, marking a peace treaty that ended the thirty-year conflict between the two nations. However, the issue of Palestinian self-determination and self-governance remained unresolved.

In 1987, hundreds of thousands of Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza Strip initiated the first intifada against Israeli rule. The Oslo I Accords of 1993 served as a mediation framework for the conflict, facilitating Palestinian self-governance in the West Bank and Gaza and establishing mutual recognition between the Palestinian Authority and the Israeli government. In 1995, the Oslo II Accords expanded upon the initial agreement, mandating Israel's complete withdrawal from six cities and 450 towns in the West Bank (Schanzer 2022). In 2000, partly triggered by Palestinian grievances concerning Israel's control over the West Bank, a stalled peace process, and Ariel Sharon's visit to the al-Aqsa mosque in September 2000, Palestinians initiated the second intifada, which persisted until 2005. In response, the Israeli government authorized the construction of a barrier wall around the West Bank in 2002, despite opposition from international bodies like the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court. Factionalism among the Palestinians intensified when Hamas emerged as the winner of the Palestinian Authority's parliamentary elections in 2006, effectively displacing the long-dominant Fatah party. This victory granted Hamas, a political and militant group associated with the Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood, control over the Gaza Strip, a small piece of land along the Mediterranean Sea, adjacent to Egypt's southern border. The United States, European Union, and other Western governments did not recognize Hamas' electoral success, as they had designated it as a terrorist organization since the late 1990s. Subsequently, there was an outbreak of violence between Hamas

and Fatah, leading to a period of failed peace talks and deadly confrontations until a unity government between Fatah and Hamas was established in 2014 (Karsh 2022).

In the summer of 2014, clashes in the Palestinian territories escalated into a military conflict between the Israeli military and Hamas. During this confrontation, Hamas launched nearly three thousand rockets at Israel, and Israel responded with a significant offensive in Gaza. The conflict ended in late August 2014 through a ceasefire mediated by Egypt, but not before causing casualties, with 73 Israelis and 2,251 Palestinians losing their lives.

In 2015, after a surge of violence between Israelis and Palestinians, Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas of Fatah declared that Palestinians would no longer adhere to the territorial divisions outlined in the Oslo Accords. In 2018, Palestinians in the Gaza Strip organized weekly protests along the border with Israel, with the final protest coinciding with the seventieth anniversary of the Nakba, the Palestinian exodus related to Israeli independence. While the majority of the protesters were peaceful, some attempted to breach the perimeter fence and engaged in confrontations (Pešičková 2023). According to the United Nations, 183 demonstrators were killed, and over 6,000 were wounded by live ammunition. The ongoing political tensions resulted in the persistence of a divided rule, with Fatah under Mahmoud Abbas controlling the Palestinian Authority in the West Bank and Hamas effectively governing the Gaza Strip. This division persisted through the late 2010s and early 2020s, despite Abbas' efforts to unite the Palestinian people under the Palestinian Authority (Akgül-Açıkmeşe, Kausch et al. 2023).

In May of 2018, renewed hostilities erupted once more between Hamas and the Israeli Defense Forces (IDF), resulting in the most severe period of violence since 2014. Prior to a ceasefire agreement, militants in Gaza launched more than one hundred rockets into Israel, prompting Israel to respond with strikes against over fifty targets in Gaza during the twenty-four-hour flare-up. During the Trump administration's tenure, there was a significant shift in U.S. foreign policy towards the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. In 2018, the Trump administration terminated funding for the UN Relief and Works Agency, which provides assistance to Palestinian refugees, and relocated the U.S. embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, a departure from long-standing U.S. policy. This move was celebrated by Israeli leadership but condemned by Palestinian leaders and others in the Middle East and Europe. Israel regards Jerusalem as its "complete and united" capital, while Palestinians claim East Jerusalem as the capital of a future Palestinian state. In January 2020, the Trump administration unveiled its long-anticipated "Peace to Prosperity" plan, which was rejected by Palestinians due to its support for potential Israeli annexation of settlements in the West Bank and control over an "undivided" Jerusalem (Alsaba 2023).

In August and September 2020, the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and Bahrain agreed to normalize relations with Israel, becoming the third and fourth countries in the region, after Egypt in 1979 and Jordan in 1994, to do so. These agreements, known as the "Abraham Accords," came after the United States hosted ministerial talks in Warsaw, Poland, involving Israel and several Arab states to discuss the future of peace in the Middle East. Palestinian leader Mahmoud Abbas of Fatah and

Hamas both rejected the accords. In October 2020, an Israeli court ruled that several Palestinian families residing in Sheikh Jarrah, a neighborhood in East Jerusalem, were to be evicted by May 2021, with their land transferred to Jewish families. In February 2021, some Palestinian families from Sheikh Jarrah appealed the court's decision, leading to protests, an ongoing legal dispute over property ownership, and the forced displacement of Palestinians from their homes in Jerusalem. In late April 2021, Palestinians initiated protests in the streets of Jerusalem to object to imminent evictions, and residents of Sheikh Jarrah, along with other activists, began nightly sit-ins. In early May, after a court ruling favored the evictions, the protests escalated, with Israeli police using force against demonstrators. On May 7, following weeks of daily protests and rising tensions among protesters, Israeli settlers, and police during Ramadan, a violent clash occurred at the al-Aqsa Mosque compound in Jerusalem, with Israeli police employing stun grenades, rubber bullets, and water cannons against demonstrators, resulting in hundreds of Palestinian injuries (Rabinovich 2023).

Following the clashes in Jerusalem's Old City, tensions intensified throughout East Jerusalem, exacerbated by the celebration of Jerusalem Day. On May 10, after several consecutive days of violence in Jerusalem and the use of lethal and nonlethal force by Israeli police, Hamas, the governing militant group in Gaza, and other Palestinian militant groups launched numerous rockets into Israeli territory. Israel responded with artillery bombardments and airstrikes, some of which resulted in the deaths of over twenty Palestinians, targeting Hamas, other militants (such as Palestinian Islamic Jihad), as well as their infrastructure, including tunnels and rocket launchers. Israel extended its aerial campaign, striking non-military infrastructure, including residential buildings, media headquarters, and refugee and healthcare facilities (Zisser 2023).

On May 21, 2021, Israel and Hamas agreed to a ceasefire, brokered by Egypt, with both sides claiming victory. Over the eleven days of conflict, more than 250 Palestinians were killed, nearly 2,000 were wounded, and at least 13 Israelis lost their lives. Authorities in Gaza estimated significant financial damage, and the United Nations reported that more than 72,000 Palestinians were displaced as a result of the fighting. In the aftermath of the outbreak of hostilities between Israel and Hamas on October 7, 2023, President Joe Biden expressed robust support for Israel. On the same day that Israel initiated military action against the terrorist group, the United States announced its intention to send additional shipments of arms and move its warships in the Mediterranean Sea closer to Israel. The United Nations Security Council convened an emergency meeting to address the renewed violence but failed to reach a consensus statement. Concerns for the safety of civilians in Israel, the Palestinian territories, and those held hostage by militants in Gaza were swiftly voiced by international organizations, given the history of violence in conflicts involving Israel and Palestinian extremist groups. In the initial two days of the conflict, approximately 800 Israelis and 500 Palestinians lost their lives. The escalating loss of life remains a primary concern in this conflict (Schleifer 2022).

While reports suggested that Iranian intelligence and security forces might have assisted Hamas in planning the October 7 attack, the United States did not immediately confirm this. However,

Iran has an established relationship with Hamas and various extremist groups in the Middle East. Concerns also arose about the possibility of another extremist group with Iranian support, Hezbollah, becoming involved in the conflict, potentially expanding the hostilities beyond Israeli and Palestinian borders. On October 9, reports emerged of the Israel Defense Forces (IDF) firing at targets within Lebanon, where Hezbollah is based, though the purpose of this cross-boundary operation was not clarified in the Israeli statement (Benziman 2023).

The conflict in October 2023 disrupted a U.S. effort to mediate a normalization agreement between Israel and Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabia has long advocated for the rights and safety of Palestinian Arab populations in Israel, the West Bank, and Gaza. Especially in Gaza, where IDF operations were ongoing, the safety of these populations was put at risk, potentially undermining the progress made in achieving a common understanding between Israel and Saudi Arabia. "In late December 2022, Israel saw the inauguration of its most far-right and religious government in history. This coalition government, led by Benjamin 'Bibi' Netanyahu and his Likud party, includes two ultra-Orthodox parties and three far-right parties, including the Religious Zionism party, associated with the West Bank settler movement. To secure a governing majority, Netanyahu made concessions to his far-right partners. Critics have raised concerns about the government's focus on expanding Israeli settlements in the occupied West Bank and its support for discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals on religious grounds. In May 2023, the government voted to limit judicial oversight following nationwide protests in March (Dunning and Iqtait 2023).

Throughout 2022 and the first nine months of 2023, violence between Israelis and Palestinians escalated, with frequent clashes in the West Bank and Israeli incursions. Israel's approval of five thousand new settler homes in June 2023, deemed illegal by international institutions, added to tensions. The Israeli military intensified its operations, including incidents at the al-Aqsa mosque and clashes in Gaza. The conflict in October 2023 between Israel and Hamas represents a significant escalation in the long-standing Israeli-Palestinian conflict, the most substantial in decades."

Causes of the Israel attack by Hamas on the 7 October 2023

On the morning of Saturday, October 7, 2023, the Palestinian organization Hamas launched a surprise attack of unprecedented magnitude on Israel. This attack involved the firing of thousands of rockets, the infiltration of militants into Israeli territory, and the taking of an unknown number of hostages. The consequences of this assault were severe, with at least 100 Israelis losing their lives and over 1,400 sustaining injuries. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu declared that his country was in a state of war. In response, Israeli forces took action, resulting in approximately 200 Palestinians being killed and around 1,600 wounded (Mhandara 2023).

For insights into the implications of this situation for Israel, the Palestinians, and the broader region, Foreign Affairs sought the perspective of Martin Indyk, who holds the position of Lowy Distinguished Fellow in U.S.-Middle East Diplomacy at the Council on Foreign Relations. Indyk's extensive background includes serving as the U.S. ambassador to Israel twice, first from 1995 to

1997 and again from 2000 to 2001. He also acted as U.S. President Barack Obama's special envoy for Israeli-Palestinian negotiations from 2013 to 2014. Additionally, he held roles as a special assistant to President Bill Clinton, senior director for Near East and South Asian affairs at the National Security Council, and assistant secretary of state for Near Eastern affairs in the U.S. Department of State. Indyk engaged in a conversation with Executive Editor Justin Vogt on Saturday afternoon. The following dialogue has been edited for brevity and clarity (Hazran 2023).

Israel experienced a significant system failure. They were used to closely monitoring Palestinian activities through advanced spying methods and had even constructed an expensive wall between Gaza and Israeli communities, believing that Hamas wouldn't dare to provoke a major conflict. They assumed that Hamas had shifted its focus towards a long-term ceasefire that mutually benefited both sides. Thousands of Palestinian workers entered Israel from Gaza daily, boosting the economy and generating tax revenue. However, this turned out to be a massive deception. People are now shocked and wondering how a relatively small group of terrorists managed to outmaneuver Israel's intelligence community and armed forces. Part of the reason for this may have been overconfidence in the power of deterrence through sheer force, neglecting long-term issues. The Arab world is gradually normalizing relations with Israel, with Saudi Arabia discussing such normalization. As part of this process, the United States is pushing Israel to make concessions to the Palestinian Authority, which is a rival to Hamas. In hindsight, this situation posed a significant threat to both Hamas and its Iranian supporters. While I don't believe Hamas takes direct orders from Iran, they do seem to act in coordination, and they shared an interest in disrupting the ongoing progress that was gaining support among Arab populations. Their aim was to embarrass Arab leaders who have made peace with Israel or were considering it, and to demonstrate that Hamas and Iran can militarily challenge Israel (Mansour 2022).

There are ongoing discussions about a peace deal between Israel and Saudi Arabia, along with talks about U.S. security guarantees for Saudi Arabia. It's highly likely that Hamas and Iran's primary motivation was to disrupt this deal because it could potentially isolate them. This event was an effective way to undermine the prospects of that deal in the short term. Once the Palestinian issue takes center stage again and Arab populations witness American weapons in Israeli hands causing significant Palestinian casualties, it will trigger a strong reaction. Leaders like Mohammed bin Salman of Saudi Arabia would be hesitant to oppose this sentiment. To do so would require them to tell their people that their approach would benefit the Palestinians more than Hamas' approach, which brings only suffering. It's unlikely that any Arab leader would demonstrate such courage in this crisis."

What are the current choices available to the Israeli government?

Currently, the Israeli government has several options. They have historically followed a playbook involving military actions, such as mobilizing the army, launching air attacks, and targeting Hamas leadership. However, if these actions fail to stop rocket fire and lead to negotiations for the release of hostages, there is the possibility of a full-scale invasion of Gaza. This, though, comes with significant challenges. Fighting in densely populated areas would likely result in international

condemnation due to civilian casualties. Additionally, a full-scale war would raise questions about Israel's long-term presence in Gaza and the logistics of withdrawal. Prime Minister Netanyahu, who tends to be cautious about launching full-scale wars, may initially prefer using the air force to pressure Hamas into a cease-fire and negotiation for the return of hostages, seeking a return to the previous status quo. If this approach doesn't work, other options may be considered (Wertman and Kaunert 2023).

Conclusion

A comprehensive analysis of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict is a complex and multifaceted endeavor, one that spans decades and has evaded lasting resolution. The conflict has deep historical, political, religious, and social roots, and multiple attempts at peace have so far fallen short. However, a path towards sustainable peace is not impossible, but it will require a reevaluation of existing strategies and a commitment to addressing the core issues at the heart of the conflict.

- **Two-State Solution:** The most widely accepted solution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict remains a two-state solution, with Israel and Palestine coexisting side by side. This solution recognizes the rights of both parties to self-determination and statehood. However, achieving this outcome has proven exceptionally challenging due to territorial disputes, security concerns, and the need for compromises on both sides.
- **Settlements and Borders:** A key obstacle to peace is the Israeli settlements in the West Bank. The expansion of settlements complicates the delineation of borders and challenges the viability of a future Palestinian state. A successful peace process will need to address the issue of settlements and establish clear and mutually agreed-upon borders.
- **Security Concerns:** Both Israel and Palestine have legitimate security concerns. Israel faces threats of violence and terrorism, while Palestinians live with the realities of occupation. Any sustainable peace agreement must address these security concerns, providing both parties with a sense of safety and stability.
- **Refugees:** The issue of Palestinian refugees is a deeply ingrained aspect of the conflict. Any viable peace process must address the rights and needs of refugees, whether through repatriation, compensation, or resettlement.
- **Jerusalem:** Jerusalem is a city of great religious and symbolic significance to both Israelis and Palestinians. The question of its final status remains a major point of contention. Finding a solution that accommodates the religious and historical connections of both parties is crucial.
- **International Mediation:** International actors, such as the United States, the United Nations, and the European Union, have played pivotal roles in mediating the conflict. A successful path to peace will require consistent and unbiased mediation from the international community.

- **People-to-People Engagement:** Sustainable peace cannot solely be imposed from the top down. Grassroots efforts to foster understanding and cooperation between Israelis and Palestinians are essential in building trust and creating the conditions for peace.
- **Economic Development:** Economic stability and development can contribute to peace by offering tangible benefits to the people living in the region. Investment in the Palestinian economy can help reduce poverty and provide hope for a better future.
- **Reconciliation and Education:** Reconciliation efforts and educational initiatives that promote tolerance, respect, and understanding between the two peoples are vital. This can help break the cycle of hatred and mistrust that has perpetuated the conflict.
- **Long-term Commitment:** Achieving sustainable peace in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will require a long-term commitment from all parties involved. It will involve setbacks and challenges, and perseverance will be key to success.

Lastly, the Israeli-Palestinian conflict remains a deeply entrenched and multifaceted issue. While reaching a sustainable peace agreement is challenging, it is not impossible. A comprehensive approach that addresses the core issues and involves both top-down and bottom-up efforts, as well as consistent international mediation, is the most likely path toward a resolution that respects the rights and aspirations of both Israelis and Palestinians. However, it will require a commitment to dialogue, compromise, and the long-term vision of a peaceful coexistence.

References

- Akgül-Açıkmeşe, S., et al. (2023). "Stalled by Division: EU Internal Contestation over the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict."
- Alsaba, M. R. (2023). *The Influence of the Abraham Accords on the Visual Coverage of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict in Arab Media: a Comparative Analysis*, The American University in Cairo (Egypt).
- Benziman, Y. (2023). "Israel in the Middle East: six strategies over the course of 75 years." *Israel Affairs* **29**(3): 512-528.
- Caplan, N. (2019). *The Israel-Palestine conflict: contested histories*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Danieli, D. (2022). *Conflict Areas—An Israeli Perspective*. Edoardo Amaldi Conference, Springer International Publishing Cham.
- Dowty, A. (2012). *Israel/Palestine*, Polity.
- Dunning, T. and A. Iqtait (2023). *Arming Palestine: Resistance, Evolution, and Institutionalisation. The Arms Race in the Middle East: Contemporary Security Dynamics*, Springer: 171-193.
- Gelvin, J. L. (2014). *The Israel-Palestine conflict: One hundred years of war*, Cambridge University Press.

- Golan, G. (2020). "Obstacles and Possibilities for Peace between Israel and Palestine." Insight Turkey **22**(1): 33-46.
- Halper, J. (2021). Decolonizing Israel, Liberating Palestine, London: Pluto Press.
- Hamdan, H. (2023). The Role of Palestinian Resistance in Maintaining the Conflict Status Quo.
- Hazran, Y. (2023). "Israel, The Syrian Crisis, and the Unbreakable Lebanese Syndrome." Journal of South Asian and Middle Eastern Studies **46**(4): 33-51.
- Hitman, G. and C. Kertcher (2023). "Explaining a state status quo and non-state revisionist dynamic: The case of the conflict between Hamas and Israel 2007–2019." Ethnopolitics **22**(2): 140-156.
- ISHAMALI, I. and O. Ibiang (2023). "Peace Agreements: Instruments of Resolving Conflict in Israeli-Palestinian Conflict." GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis **6**(2): 99-116.
- Jamal, A. (2016). "Conflict theory, temporality, and transformative temporariness: lessons from Israel and Palestine." Constellations **23**(3): 365-377.
- Karsh, E. (2022). "Why Israel's Arabs Are Its Biggest Threat." Middle East Quarterly.
- Mansour, C. (2022). "Toward the Consolidation of a Gazan Military Front?" Journal of Palestine Studies **51**(1): 68-72.
- Mhandara, L. (2023). "Comparing Hamas and Irgun in the Struggles for Independence." Palestine, Taiwan, and Western Sahara: Statehood, Sovereignty, and the International System: 73.
- Moore, D. and A. Guy (2012). The Israeli–Palestinian conflict: the sociohistorical context and the identities it creates, Springer.
- Pešičková, E. (2023). "How member states follow the EU CFSP in realms of digital diplomacy? The case of Israel-Hamas 2021 conflict."
- Rabinovich, I. (2023). Middle Eastern Maze: Israel, the Arabs, and the Region 1948-2022, Brookings Institution Press.
- Schanzer, J. (2022). "Hamas as Tehran's Agent." Middle East Quarterly.
- Schleifer, R. (2022). "The 2018-19 Gaza Fence clashes: a case study in psychological warfare." Israel Affairs **28**(3): 357-372.
- Shlaim, A. (2020). Israel and Palestine: Reappraisals, revisions, refutations, Verso Books.
- Tessler, M. (2009). A history of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, Indiana University Press.
- Timotijevic, J. (2022). "11 The Historical Context in Media Narratives in Search of Peaceful Resolution to the Israel–Palestine Conflict." Discourse, Media, and Conflict: Examining War and Resolution in the News: 257.
- Wertman, O. and C. Kaunert (2023). "Iran's Hybrid Proxy Warfare through Palestinian Terrorism

against Israel." Proxy Wars From a Global Perspective: Non-State Actors and Armed Conflicts: 167-182.

Yahaya, J. U. (2020). "President Trump Peace Strategy: Emerging Conflict Between Israel and Palestine." International Affairs and Global Strategy **82**: 25-37.

Zisser, E. (2023). "Israel in the Middle East 75 years on." Israel Affairs **29**(3): 459-472.