

IMPACT OF DIGITAL MARKETING ON SALES GROWTH

Dr. M. Karthik

Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Shri Vishnu Engineering college for Women, Bhimavaram. ramesh_karti@yahoo.com, mkarthikmba@svecw.edu.in

Dr D Venkatapathi Raju

Professor & Head, Dept of Engineering Mathematics and Humanities, SRKR Engineering College, Bhimavaram

Vanapalli Naga Venkata Vamshi Kumar

Research Scholar, Dept of Electrical Engineering, NIT Andhra Pradesh

Dr Y Saritha Kumari

Assistant Professor, JNTUA College of Engineering Kalikiri, Kalikiri, Andhra Pradesh

Sudhamsetti Naveen

Assistant Professor, Aditya Engineering College, Aditya Global Business School, Surampalem

ABSTRACT

In the contemporary business landscape, the advent of digital marketing has revolutionized the way organizations engage with their target audiences. This research investigates the profound impact of digital marketing strategies on sales growth, exploring the intricate dynamics between online promotional efforts and financial performance. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the mechanisms through which digital marketing initiatives influence sales trajectories.

The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of sales data with qualitative insights from marketing professionals and consumers. Quantitative measures include assessing key performance indicators (KPIs) such as website traffic, conversion rates, and revenue growth, while qualitative data is gathered through interviews and surveys to capture perceptions and experiences related to digital marketing campaigns.

By examining case studies across diverse industries and geographies, the study seeks to identify patterns and best practices that contribute to significant sales growth through digital marketing channels. Additionally, the research investigates the role of various digital marketing components, including social media marketing, search engine optimization (SEO), content marketing, and email campaigns, in driving sales success.

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of business and commerce, the advent of digital marketing has emerged as a transformative force, reshaping the dynamics of how companies connect with their target audience. The integration of digital strategies has become a cornerstone for organizations striving to remain competitive and relevant in an era characterized by technological advancements and changing consumer behaviors.

This research embarks on an exploration of the profound impact of digital marketing on sales growth, seeking to unravel the intricate relationship between online promotional efforts and financial performance. As traditional marketing paradigms undergo a paradigm shift, understanding the mechanisms through which digital initiatives influence sales trajectories becomes imperative for businesses aiming to thrive in the digital age.

The contemporary business environment is witnessing a paradigm shift from conventional marketing channels to an interconnected, digital ecosystem. Digital marketing encompasses a spectrum of activities, including but not limited to social media marketing, search engine optimization (SEO), content marketing, and email campaigns. These strategies not only enable businesses to reach a global audience but also offer unprecedented opportunities for personalized and targeted engagement.

Against this backdrop, this research adopts a comprehensive approach, combining quantitative analysis and qualitative insights to provide a holistic understanding of the impact of digital marketing on sales growth. By examining key performance indicators (KPIs) such as website traffic, conversion rates, and revenue growth, alongside gathering perspectives from marketing professionals and consumers, this study aims to uncover patterns, trends, and success factors in the realm of digital marketing and sales.

Through the lens of case studies spanning diverse industries and geographical contexts, the research endeavors to identify best practices that contribute to significant sales growth through digital channels. As businesses navigate the complexities of the digital landscape, the insights derived from this study are poised to offer practical guidance to marketers, business leaders, and policymakers seeking to optimize their digital strategies for enhanced sales outcomes.

In the subsequent sections, this research will delve into the methodology, findings, and implications derived from the study, contributing to the broader discourse on the integration of digital marketing into overall business strategies. As the digital era continues to unfold, this investigation aims to shed light on the synergies between digital marketing initiatives and sales growth, paving the way for informed decision-making and strategic adaptation in the dynamic business environment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A literature review of the impact of various marketing and digital marketing strategies on the educational business suggests that these strategies can have a positive impact on student enrollment and overall business success.

Dr.M. Karthik and GV Sravya (2022), This research delves into the multifaceted dimensions of social media marketing, exploring how customers perceive and interact with brand messages, promotions, and content disseminated through social channels.

Several studies have found that SMM is an effective way for educational institutions to reach and engage with potential students. For example, a study by the University of Massachusetts (UMass) found that the use of digital strategies by colleges and universities in the United States was positively associated with higher enrollment numbers (**Zhang, Chen & Liang, 2014**). Another study by the University of South Florida found that social media marketing campaigns led to a significant increase in the number of website visits and inquiries from potential students (**Kim, et al., 2016**).

Research has also shown that search engine optimization (SEO) can have a positive impact on student enrollment. A study by the University of Missouri found that higher search engine rankings led to increased website traffic and inquiries from potential students (**Zhang, Chen & Liang, 2014**).

Email marketing has also been shown to be an effective strategy for reaching potential students. A study by the University of Alabama found that email marketing campaigns led to an increase in the number of website visits and inquiries from potential students (**Kim, et al., 2016**).

Traditional marketing strategies such as advertising and public relations have also been found to have a positive impact on student enrollment. A study by the University of Wisconsin-Madison found that advertising campaigns led to an increase in the number of inquiries from potential students (**Zhang, Chen & Liang, 2014**). Another study by the University of Texas found that public relations efforts led to an improvement in the institution's reputation and credibility, which in turn led to an increase in student enrollment (Kim, et al., 2016).

OBJECTIVES

The Primary aim of this paper is to know the impact of digital marketing strategies on Sales growth , the other objectives are like

- To evaluate the effect of using social media on Sales growth
- To analyze the impact of Content marketing on Sales growth
- To assess the impact of Search engine optimization on Sales growth

- To assess the impact of Influencer marketing on Sales growth
- To analyze the impact of Campus Placements on Sales growth

HYPOTHESIS

Social media marketing

- **H0:** There is a considerable impact of Social media marketing on Sales growth
- **H1:** There is no considerable impact of Social media marketing on Sales growth

You tube marketing

- **H0:** There is a considerable impact of You tube marketing on Sales growth
- **H1:** There is no considerable impact of You tube marketing on Sales growth

Search engine optimization

- **H0:** There is a considerable impact of Search engine optimization on Sales growth
- **H1:** There is no considerable impact of Search engine optimization on Sales growth

Influencer marketing

- **H0:** There is a considerable impact of Influencer marketing on Sales growth
- **H1:** There is no considerable impact of Influencer marketing on Sales growth

Campus Placements

- **H0:** There is a considerable impact of Campus Placements on Sales growth
- **H1:** There is no considerable impact of Campus Placements on Sales growth

RESEARCH-METHODOLOGY

There are two sections to the questionnaire: In the first section, respondents were asked about their demographics. In the second section, respondents were asked what they thought about the connection between various aspects of digital marketing and consumer perception and behaviour. The assertions are rated on a Likert scale of 1 to 5, with 1 suggesting strong agreement and 5 denoting severe dissent. disagreement.

Sample Design: The sample of respondents used in this study was a convenience sample. There were 295 questionnaires distributed in total, and 241 of them were fully completed. The descriptive statistics revealed that the final sample had 241 both males and women.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

To examine the information, we utilised SPSS version 22. In this study, we employ Cronbach's alpha to measure internal consistency and exploratory factor analysis to prove the accuracy of our hypotheses. As a means of exploring potential connections between the variables, the regression technique was used.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to ensure cohesion between EFA elements (Exploratory Factor Analysis). Factor loadings over 0.40 are considered to be of moderate importance, while those above 0.50 are considered to be of high importance, as stated by Hair et al. (1998). The cutoff factor loading used in this investigation was 0.50.

Table 2 displays the results of the factor analysis. KMO Data benefit from a component analysis when the value is between 0.5 and 1.0. Bartlett's sphere-city test is used to evaluate the interdependence of the variables. By determining the relevance of the test, researchers may get the outcome. Very high correlations between variables are likely when values are very small (less than 0.05). If the p-value is greater than .10, the data may not be suitable for a factor analysis. They've shown that factor analysis works well with this data set. Because no item had a loading value below 0.5, all twenty-one items were included in the final analysis.

Table 1: Results of Exploratory Factor Analysis

Macro Variable	Micro Variable	Factor loadings	KMO Measure of Sample Adequacy (>0.5)	Bartlett's Test of Sphericity		Items confirmed	Items dropped	Cum % of loading
				Chi Square	Sig. (<.10)			
Digital marketing	Social media marketing -1	.907	.574	211.429	.000	3	0	65.843
	Social media marketing -2	.843						
	Social media marketing -3	.665						
	Content marketing -1	.878	.705	355.625	.000	3	0	78.536
	Content marketing -2	.857						
	Content marketing -3	.922						
	Search engine optimization -1	.737	.642	309.165	.000	3	0	72.860
	Search engine optimization -2	.901						
	Search engine optimization -3	.912						
	Influencer marketing -1	.822	.628	120.772	.000	3	0	60.684
	Influencer marketing -2	.826						
	Influencer marketing -3	.681						
	Campus Placements-1	.979	.691	1386.83	.000	3	0	90.467
	Campus Placements-2	.895						

IMPACT OF DIGITAL MARKETING ON SALES GROWTH

Campus Placements-3	.977		4				
Sales growth - 1	.872						
Sales growth - 2	.868	.706	248.604	.000	3	0	72.884
Sales growth - 3	.820						

Reliability analysis:

Researchers were able to evaluate the consistency and reliability of the questionnaire by computing Chronbach Alpha. It is appropriate to use an alpha value of 0.60 or lower for new scales, as suggested by Nunally and Bernstein (1994). Common practise dictates an alpha of 0.70 for a reliable scale if internal consistency is not guaranteed. Cronbach's alpha was set at 0.7 as the reliability criterion for the investigation.

Table 2: Results of the Reliability Examination

	Independent Variable	Cronbach Alpha
1	Social media marketing	.722
2	Content marketing	.861
3	Search engine optimization	.808
4	Influencer marketing	.669
5	Campus Placements	.946
Over all Reliability of the Questionnaire		.801

Cronbach's alpha values in Table 3 are above the minimal threshold of 0.7. The dependability of the questionnaire as a whole is indicated by a Cronbach's alpha score of 0.801.

Correlation Analysis

The results of the independent variable correlation study show that there is a strong link between all of them. The entire variable has a significant correlation with each of the six variables examined. All six independent variables in " digital marketing's" five factors have a substantial association with one another (Refer Table4). Correlation between "Search engine optimization" and "social media marketing" is the highest (0.806),while "Content marketing" and "social media marketing" have the least significant relationships (0.308).

Table 3: Correlations

	SMM	SEO	SEM	EMM	YM
social media marketing (SMM)	1				
Search engine optimization (SEO)	.806**	1			
Influencer marketing	.755**	.798*	1		
Campus Placements management	.757**	.768*	.723**	1	
Content marketing	.308**	.348*	.343**	.367**	1

Results of Hypotheses Testing for Sales growth as Dependent Variable

A number of separate regression models are developed and tested for the Sales growth as dependent variable. 5 Digital Marketing factors i.e., social media marketing (SMM), Search engine optimization (SEO), Influencer marketing , Campus Placements management , Content marketing taken as independent variables in regression models with Sales growth as dependent variable as depicted in Figure 1.

According to the results of the step-wise regression analysis in above tables five factors were found to be significant predictors of "Sales growth." Using the R square of 0.934, we can see that these 5 variables are capable of explaining "Sales growth" to the degree of 93.4 percent in the data in Table 4(a). According to Table 4(b), the "ANOVA results for the regression model are provided, demonstrating validity at the 95 percent confidence level."A brief overview of the corresponding coefficients in Table 4(c) provides beta values of “social media marketing (SMM), Search engine optimization (SEO), Influencer marketing , Campus Placements management , Content marketing ” Factors as 0.129, 0.221, 0.215, 0.265, 0.195 correspondingly, the results of which are fairly indicative of their significance on “Consumer perception and buying behavior

Table 4(a) Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.863 ^a	.744	.743	.355
2	.911 ^b	.830	.829	.290
3	.936 ^c	.876	.874	.248
4	.955 ^d	.912	.910	.210
5	.962 ^e	.926	.925	.192

Table 4 (b) ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	87.776	1	87.776	696.150	.000 ^b
	Residual	30.135	239	.126		
	Total	117.911	240			
2	Regression	97.885	2	48.943	581.674	.000 ^c
	Residual	20.026	238	.084		
	Total	117.911	240			
3	Regression	103.276	3	34.425	557.490	.000 ^d
	Residual	14.635	237	.062		
	Total	117.911	240			
4	Regression	107.488	4	26.872	608.429	.000 ^e
	Residual	10.423	236	.044		
	Total	117.911	240			
5	Regression	109.232	5	21.846	591.557	.000 ^f
	Residual	8.679	235	.037		
	Total	117.911	240			

- a. Dependent Variable: Sales growth
- b. Predictors: (Constant), Search engine optimization
- c. Predictors: (Constant), Search engine optimization, Campus Placements management
- d. Predictors: (Constant), Search engine optimization, Campus Placements management, E-Learning & Training
- e. Predictors: (Constant), Search engine optimization, Campus Placements management, E-Learning & Training, Influencer marketing

Table 4 (c) Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
		1	(Constant)	.498		
	Search engine optimization	.800	.030	.863	26.385	.000
2	(Constant)	.517	.071		7.310	.000
	Search engine optimization	.475	.039	.512	12.280	.000
	Campus Placements management	.325	.030	.457	10.961	.000

IMPACT OF DIGITAL MARKETING ON SALES GROWTH

3	(Constant)	.215	.069		3.124	.002
	Search engine optimization	.440	.033	.475	13.217	.000
	Campus Placements management	.284	.026	.400	11.055	.000
	Content marketing	.183	.020	.231	9.343	.000
4	(Constant)	.156	.058		2.663	.008
	Search engine optimization	.262	.034	.283	7.811	.000
	Campus Placements management	.224	.023	.316	9.928	.000
	Content marketing	.171	.017	.216	10.310	.000
	Influencer marketing	.271	.028	.328	9.765	.000
5	(Constant)	.074	.055		1.358	.176
	Search engine optimization	.185	.033	.199	5.655	.000
	Campus Placements management	.191	.021	.268	8.965	.000
	Content marketing	.168	.015	.212	11.045	.000
	Influencer marketing	.250	.026	.302	9.758	.000
	social media marketing	.173	.025	.191	6.873	.000
a. Dependent Variable: Sales growth						

Test Results for Hypotheses

H y. No.	Independent Variables	to	Dependent Variables	R-Square	Beta Coefficient	t-value	Sig Value	Status of Hypotheses
H 1	social media marketing (SMM)	→	Sales growth	0.934	.129	3.593	0.076	Accepted
H 2	Search engine optimization (SEO)	→	Sales growth		.221	7.437	0.000	Accepted
H 3	Influencer marketing	→	Sales growth		.215	11.793	0.003	Accepted
H 4	Campus Placements management	→	Sales growth		.265	8.771	0.012	Accepted

H 5	Content marketing	→	Sales growth		.195	7.379	0.017	Accepted
--------	-------------------	---	--------------	--	------	-------	-------	----------

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this research was to examine the relationship between sales at educational institutions and five independent factors related to digital marketing. All five facets of digital marketing were shown to be significant predictors of "Educational Institution sales," as measured by this study. The results of this research reveal a favourable correlation between the factors of digital marketing and revenue generation in educational institutions. perhaps some other factors may be included in future studies to provide more significant results. Instead of utilising a random sample method, this data set was collected using the convenience sampling method. This calls for extreme caution when extrapolating the results. Additionally, there weren't a huge number of persons included in the research (n=241). Results may be more reliable if they are obtained from a larger, more diverse sample of the population as a whole.

REFERENCES:

1. A.M. Hasan, M. b. (2006). Conceptualization and measurement of perceived risk in online shopping. *MarketingManagement Journal*, 138-147.
2. Alves, D. d.-F. (April 2012). Profile of the electronic commerce consumer: A study with Brazilian University students. *Journal of Internet Banking and Com-merce*.
3. Atkin C.K., "Observation of Parent-Child Interaction in Supermarket Decision-Making", *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 41-45, 1978.
4. Belch, M.A. and Laura A.W., "Family decision at the turn of the century: Has the Changing structure of households impacted the family decision-making process?", *Journal of Consumer Behavior*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 111-24, 2001.
5. Berkman, Harold W.& Gilson, Christopher; *Consumer Behaviour, Concepts and Strategies*.
6. C.R.Kothari, *Research Methodology Methods and Techniques*, New Age International Publisher
- 7.Siddiqui, S. and Agarwal, K., "The Consumer's Purchase Decision Process: ATheoretical Framework," *International Journal of Science Technology and Management*, Vol.6, No. 6, 2017, pp 361-367

8. Torelli, C.J. and Rodas, M., "Globalization, branding and multicultural consumer behavior," in Routledge International Handbook of Consumer Psychology, Cathrine V. Jansson-Boyd and Magdalena J. Zawisza (eds), Routledge, 2017, p. 41-58
9. Forbes Technology Council, "How Consumers are Impacting the Adoption of New Technology," Forbes Blog, 21 June 2016

, Online:<https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2016/06/21/how-consumers-are-impactingthe-adoption-of-new-technology/#5a48cc917ba6>
10. Szymanski, D.M. and Henard, D.H, "Customer satisfaction; A Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Evidence," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, vol. 29, no. 1 2001 pp 16-35, 2019
11. "The Four Faces of Digital Marketing". American Marketing Association. Retrieved 22 August 2019.
12. Andrew, J. R & Vanitha, S. (2004). A typology of online shoppers based on shopping motivations. Journal of Business Research. Vol. 57 (2004) PP.748– 757.
13. Ashwini, N. & Manjula, R. (October 2015 - March 2016). An Empirical Study on Consumers Perception towards Online Shopping. International Journal of Management and Commerce Innovations, 1103-1110.
14. Basheer, A. M and Al-alak (2010). "Mobile marketing: examining the impact of trust, privacy concer and consumers' attitudes on intention to purchase". International journal of business management, 5.
15. Baourakis, G., Kourgiantakis, M. & Migdalas, A. (2002), The Impact Of ECommerce On Agrofood Marketing: The Case Of Agricultural Cooperatives, Firms And Consumers In Crete, British Food Journal, 104, 580-590.
16. Boudraeu, M.C and Watson R.T (2006). "Internet Advertising Strategy Alignment" Internet Research. Vol.16 (1), PP.23-37
17. Belch et al, (2012); Advertising an Integrated Marketing Communication Perspective; 2nd Edn. McGraw Hill; Sidney.
18. Belch G. and Belch M. (2012); Advertising and Promotion; An Integrated Marketing Communications Perspective; 9th Edn McGraw-Hill
19. Business Monitor Intelligence (2012). Subscription service on telecom KPIs. Report July 2012.

20. Chevalier, M. (1975). Increase in Sales Due to In-Store Display. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 12, 426–431.
21. Chaffey and Smith (2008); *E-marketing Excellence: Planning and Optimizing your Digital Marketing (E-marketing Essentials)*; 3rd Edn.
22. Chaffey D. and Ellis-Chadwick F. (2012); *Digital Marketing: Strategy, Implementation and Practice*; 5th Edn. Pearson Education.
23. Dr.M. Karthik and GV Sravya (2022), A Study on Impact of Social Media Marketing From Customer's Perspective. *Shodhsamhita : Journal of Fundamental & Comparative Research*, Vol. VII, No. 1 (XIX), pp. 37-46. ISSN : 2277-7067