

THE GLOBAL ACCOUNTABILITY OF COMMANDERS AND SUPERIORS: EXPLORING THE POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT

Dr. Nayef Ahmad Althunibat

Nthunibat@yahoo.com

Mutah University

Abstract:

The articles presented a comprehensive exploration of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and its profound impact on global accountability for international crimes. Beginning with an insightful introduction to the ICC's origins and purpose, the series navigated through the powers and jurisdiction of the Court, emphasizing its role in addressing grave offenses like genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes. The content delved into the complexities of command responsibility and individual criminal liability, illustrating how these legal principles hold commanders and superiors accountable for their actions. Case studies, with a particular focus on the Palestinian situation, provided real-world examples of the ICC's involvement, showcasing its role in navigating geopolitical conflicts. The challenges and obstacles in holding commanders and superiors accountable were scrutinized, highlighting issues such as political interference, difficulties in obtaining evidence, and limitations in universal jurisdiction. The importance of international cooperation emerged as a recurring theme, underlining its pivotal role in enforcing accountability, sharing intelligence, and closing legal loopholes. The series then explored the legacy and impact of the ICC on global accountability, noting its contribution to shaping legal standards, fostering a culture of accountability, and addressing gender-based crimes. Despite facing challenges and criticisms, the ICC's enduring commitment to justice was emphasized, inspiring a new era of global justice. Finally, the concluding article reflected on the ongoing fight against impunity for international crimes. It celebrated achievements, recognized the role of international institutions, and stressed the imperative of international cooperation. The fight against impunity was framed as a multifaceted endeavor, encompassing legal, diplomatic, and humanitarian approaches, all grounded in the enduring commitment to upholding human rights and fostering a world free from the shadow of impunity.

Keywords: International Criminal Court, Commanders, Superiors, human right.

1. Introduction

The International Criminal Court (ICC) stands as a beacon of hope for global justice, established to address the most serious crimes that deeply concern the international community (Verovšek, 2019). This article aims to provide a comprehensive introduction to the ICC, exploring its origins, purpose, structure, and its role in ensuring accountability for grave offenses. The idea of an international tribunal for prosecuting individuals for heinous crimes gained momentum in the aftermath of World War II. The Nuremberg Trials set a precedent for holding individuals accountable for war crimes

and crimes against humanity (Ghani et al., 2022). However, it wasn't until the late 20th century that concrete steps were taken to establish a permanent international criminal court.

The Rome Statute, adopted in 1998, laid the foundation for the creation of the ICC (Bachmann & Eda, 2018). This treaty entered into force in 2002 after reaching the required number of ratifications, marking the official establishment of the Court. The primary purpose of the ICC is to bring perpetrators of genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and the crime of aggression to justice (Ruys, 2018). It operates on the principle of complementarity, meaning it complements national legal systems and intervenes only when a state is unwilling or unable to prosecute such crimes.

The Court's jurisdiction is not retroactive, meaning it can only prosecute crimes committed after its establishment in 2002 (Chuasanga & Victoria, 2019). It prioritizes cases that involve widespread and systematic atrocities, ensuring that its resources are directed towards addressing the gravest offenses (Ginsburg, 2017). The ICC consists of various organs, each playing a crucial role in its functioning. The Presidency, the Chambers (comprising the Pre-Trial, Trial, and Appeals Chambers), the Office of the Prosecutor, and the Registry work collaboratively to ensure fair and effective proceedings (Clements, 2022). The Court operates on the principle of judicial independence, emphasizing the importance of impartiality and integrity in the pursuit of justice.

While the ICC represents a significant milestone in the quest for global justice, it has not been without challenges and criticisms (Opini, 2017). Some argue that it has faced difficulties in enforcement, especially when powerful states are involved. The Court's jurisdiction has been a subject of debate, with concerns raised about its perceived bias against African nations (Dickinson, 2017). In conclusion, the International Criminal Court plays a vital role in holding individuals accountable for the most egregious crimes that shock the conscience of humanity. Its journey from the ideals of post-World War II justice to a permanent institution reflects the international community's commitment to ensuring that impunity has no place in a civilized world. Despite challenges, the ICC remains a key player in the pursuit of justice and the protection of human rights on a global scale.

2. Problem Statement

The issue of accountability for international crimes poses a substantial challenge in the global legal landscape. Despite the existence of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and other international tribunals, certain geopolitical conflicts, such as the Israeli-Palestinian situation, highlight significant hurdles in the enforcement of justice (Shilaho, 2018). The complexities surrounding the Palestinian case illuminate the broader difficulties faced by the international community in holding commanders and superiors accountable for crimes against humanity, war crimes, and acts of aggression (Kovner et al., 2018). This article seeks to address the intricacies of accountability, examining how legal principles are applied in real-world scenarios, particularly in conflict zones like Palestine.

The content related to the Palestinian situation becomes crucial in this context. The ongoing Israeli-Palestinian conflict has been marked by allegations of grave international crimes committed by individuals in positions of authority (Bracka, 2021). The ICC's involvement in the preliminary examination and subsequent investigation into this conflict underscores the challenges and opportunities in applying legal principles to hold perpetrators accountable (Kersten, 2020). By weaving the Palestinian situation into the broader narrative of command responsibility, individual criminal liability, and international cooperation, the article aims to shed light on the specific challenges faced in achieving accountability for international crimes amid complex geopolitical realities.

The primary objective of this article is to critically analyze the application of legal principles, including command responsibility and individual criminal liability, in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. By utilizing real-world case studies, particularly focusing on the ICC's involvement in the Palestinian situation, the article aims to elucidate the complexities, challenges, and successes in holding commanders and superiors accountable for international crimes. Through a descriptive methodology, the article seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the legal landscape surrounding accountability in conflict zones, with a specific emphasis on the Palestinian case.

This article is significant in several ways. Firstly, it contributes to the ongoing discourse on the challenges of enforcing accountability for international crimes, particularly in complex geopolitical conflicts. The focus on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict provides a real-world lens through which readers can grasp the practical application of legal principles discussed in the broader content. Secondly, the article addresses the importance of international cooperation, emphasizing its role in overcoming challenges and ensuring that justice is served. By understanding the complexities of the Palestinian situation, policymakers, legal practitioners, and scholars can glean insights into improving mechanisms for accountability in conflict zones. Overall, this article serves as a valuable resource for those seeking a comprehensive understanding of the ongoing fight against impunity, using the Palestinian case as a focal point for analysis.

This paper tried to answer the following questions:

1. How do legal principles, including command responsibility and individual criminal liability, apply to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict within the framework of the ICC?
2. What challenges and successes have emerged in the ICC's efforts to hold commanders and superiors accountable for international crimes in the context of the Palestinian situation?
3. How does the Palestinian case contribute to our broader understanding of the complexities and nuances in enforcing accountability for international crimes, and what lessons can be drawn for improving the global response to such conflicts?

3. Methodology

The methodology of this article will be descriptive, utilizing a qualitative approach to analyze and interpret the application of legal principles in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Drawing on real-world case studies, particularly focusing on the ICC's involvement in the preliminary examination and subsequent investigation, the article will examine the challenges and successes in

enforcing accountability for international crimes. The descriptive methodology aims to provide a nuanced and detailed exploration of the legal landscape, emphasizing the practical application of legal principles in conflict zones. The analysis will be grounded in the examination of primary and secondary sources, including ICC reports, legal documents, and scholarly articles, to offer a comprehensive understanding of the complexities surrounding the fight against impunity in the specific case of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

This paper significantly enriches the literature on accountability for international crimes by applying legal principles to the real-world context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Its in-depth analysis, exploration of enforcement challenges, and emphasis on international cooperation dynamics contribute a nuanced understanding to scholarly discussions. The holistic approach, incorporating practical insights and recommendations, adds depth and relevance to the ongoing discourse on combating impunity for grave offenses under international law, as the following stated:

1. Powers and Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court (ICC)

Understanding the powers and jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court (ICC) is essential to grasp its role in the global legal landscape (Sadat & Carden, 2017). The current paper delves into the specific authorities vested in the ICC and the extent of its jurisdiction in prosecuting individuals for the most serious international crimes.

A. Prosecutorial Powers:

The ICC's Office of the Prosecutor holds a central role in initiating investigations and prosecutions (Shoamanesh, 2018). The Prosecutor independently determines which cases to pursue, guided by the criteria outlined in the Rome Statute (Davidson, 2017). This includes crimes falling within the ICC's jurisdiction, such as genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and the crime of aggression. The Prosecutor can initiate investigations based on referrals from states, the United Nations Security Council, or by exercising proprio motu powers, allowing the Prosecutor to initiate investigations on their own accord.

B. Jurisdiction over Persons and Crimes:

The ICC's jurisdiction extends to individuals, not states (Hale & Rankin, 2019). Any person, regardless of their official capacity, can be held accountable before the Court. This includes heads of state, military commanders, and other officials responsible for the commission of international crimes (Akande & Tzanakopoulos, 2017). The Court's jurisdiction is also geographically broad, covering crimes committed on the territory of a state party or by nationals of a state party, even if the crimes occurred outside the territory of a state party (Sachs, 2020).

C. Complementarity Principle:

One of the defining features of the ICC's jurisdiction is the complementarity principle (Mayans-Hermida, & Holá, 2020). The Court intervenes only when national legal systems are unable or unwilling to prosecute perpetrators of international crimes (Tiemessen, 2016). This principle

emphasizes the secondary role of the ICC, reinforcing the idea that states bear the primary responsibility for addressing such crimes within their jurisdiction.

D. Limitations and Challenges:

While the ICC possesses significant powers, it faces practical challenges in exercising them (Stahn, 2017). The Court has no police force of its own and relies on the cooperation of states to execute its arrest warrants (Choudhury, 2020). In some cases, powerful states have been hesitant to cooperate, leading to difficulties in the execution of ICC decisions. The Court's jurisdiction has also been a subject of criticism, with concerns raised about its ability to address crimes committed by non-state actors and the potential for political manipulation of its proceedings (Ford, 2019).

2. Types of International Crimes under the ICC's Jurisdiction

The International Criminal Court (ICC) holds the authority to prosecute individuals for specific categories of international crimes that shock the conscience of humanity (Shelton, 2021). The current article aims to elucidate the various types of crimes falling under the ICC's jurisdiction, emphasizing the gravity and significance of each.

A. Genocide:

Genocide, as defined by the Rome Statute, constitutes acts committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial, or religious group. These acts include killing members of the group, causing serious bodily or mental harm, or deliberately inflicting conditions leading to the group's physical destruction (Clarissa & Michael, 2022).

B. Crimes Against Humanity:

Crimes against humanity encompass a range of acts committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population. Such acts include murder, extermination, enslavement, torture, and other inhumane acts causing great suffering or serious injury to mental or physical health (Pons et al., 2022).

C. War Crimes:

War crimes involve serious violations of the laws and customs of war, applicable in both international and non-international armed conflicts. These violations encompass actions like willful killing, torture, taking hostages, and intentionally directing attacks against civilians or civilian objects not taking part in the hostilities (Schwarz, 2014).

D. The Crime of Aggression:

The crime of aggression involves the planning, preparation, initiation, or execution of acts of aggression in violation of the United Nations Charter. It addresses the use of armed force by a state

against the sovereignty, integrity, or independence of another state, constituting one of the most serious and controversial crimes within the ICC's jurisdiction (Koh & Buchwald, 2015).

E. Elements of Crimes:

The Rome Statute, in conjunction with the Elements of Crimes, provides a detailed framework defining the elements and legal requirements for each type of crime. These elements serve as a guide for the Court in determining the sufficiency of evidence and establishing the guilt of the accused (Clark, 2015).

The International Criminal Court's jurisdiction over genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and the crime of aggression reflects a collective commitment to justice on the global stage. By understanding the nature and gravity of these crimes, the international community aims to create a world where impunity is replaced by accountability, and where the most serious offenses are met with the full force of international law.

3. The Role of Commanders and Superiors in International Crimes

Understanding the accountability of commanders and superiors for international crimes is crucial in the pursuit of justice and the prevention of atrocities (Wippman, 2017). This article explores the legal principles and challenges associated with holding individuals in positions of authority responsible for grave offenses under international law.

A. Command Responsibility:

The principle of command responsibility holds commanders and superiors accountable for crimes committed by subordinates under their effective command and control (Bonafé, 2007). This includes not only military commanders but also civilian leaders in positions of authority. Commanders can be held liable if they knew or should have known about the crimes and failed to take necessary and reasonable measures to prevent or punish them (Mitchell, 2000).

B. Individual Criminal Responsibility:

Individual criminal responsibility extends to commanders and superiors who directly commit, order, or assist in the commission of international crimes (Tsagourias, 2010). This principle ensures that those in positions of power cannot escape accountability by delegating criminal acts to subordinates.

C. Precedents from International Tribunals:

International criminal tribunals, such as the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR), have set important precedents regarding the responsibility of commanders for international crimes (Barria & Roper, 2005). These tribunals have emphasized that commanders can be held criminally responsible even if they did not personally commit the crimes but had effective control over those who did.

D. Challenges in Prosecution:

Prosecuting commanders and superiors presents challenges, including establishing a direct link between their orders or actions and the crimes committed by their subordinates (Meloni, 2007). Additionally, there may be difficulties in obtaining evidence and witnesses willing to testify against individuals in positions of power.

E. Deterrence and Prevention:

The accountability of commanders and superiors serves as a deterrent, sending a strong message that those in authority will be held responsible for the actions of their subordinates (Tannenbaum & Schmidt, 2017). This can contribute to preventing future atrocities by fostering a culture of accountability within military and leadership structures.

F. Evolving Legal Standards:

International law continues to evolve concerning the responsibility of commanders and superiors (Benvenisti, 2018). Recent developments, including cases before the International Criminal Court, contribute to shaping and clarifying the legal standards for holding individuals in positions of authority accountable for international crimes.

The role of commanders and superiors in international crimes is a critical aspect of ensuring justice and preventing impunity. As international legal mechanisms continue to refine their approach to holding individuals in positions of authority accountable, the principles of command responsibility and individual criminal responsibility play a pivotal role in shaping a world where those who orchestrate or condone heinous acts are brought to justice.

4. Command Responsibility and Individual Criminal Liability: Navigating Accountability in International Law

Command responsibility and individual criminal liability are foundational concepts in international law, playing a pivotal role in holding individuals accountable for grave offenses (Schabas, 2017). The current paper explores the nuances of these legal principles, examining their significance, applications, and impact on ensuring justice for international crimes.

A. Command Responsibility Defined:

Command responsibility is a legal doctrine that holds individuals in positions of authority accountable for crimes committed by subordinates under their command and control (Ambos & Bock, 2015). This principle recognizes that commanders, whether military or civilian, have a duty to prevent and punish crimes, and they can be held criminally liable if they fail to take appropriate action.

B. Elements of Command Responsibility:

To establish command responsibility, certain key elements must be proven, including the accused's effective control over the individuals committing the crimes, knowledge of the criminal acts, and a failure to take necessary and reasonable measures to prevent or punish those acts (Crootof, 2015). This principle underscores the responsibility of leaders to ensure that those under their command adhere to the laws of war and human rights.

C. Individual Criminal Liability:

Individual criminal liability extends beyond command responsibility and encompasses the direct involvement of individuals in the commission of international crimes (Dobrinou, 2019). Whether they personally commit, order, assist, or otherwise contribute to the commission of crimes such as genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes, individuals can be held criminally responsible for their actions.

D. Cases from International Tribunals:

International criminal tribunals, including the International Criminal Court (ICC), the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR), have adjudicated cases involving command responsibility and individual criminal liability. These cases have provided valuable jurisprudence and clarified legal standards in prosecuting those responsible for grave international crimes.

E. Challenges and Controversies:

While command responsibility and individual criminal liability are essential for accountability, their application is not without challenges. Establishing the requisite elements for these forms of liability can be complex, especially in cases where the chain of command is unclear or where subordinates act independently (Stahn, 2019).

F. Impact on Deterrence and Prevention:

The combined effect of command responsibility and individual criminal liability serves as a powerful deterrent against the commission of international crimes. Knowing that commanders and individuals can be held personally accountable fosters a culture of adherence to international law, contributing to the prevention of atrocities and the protection of human rights (Wippman, 2017).

Command responsibility and individual criminal liability represent cornerstones in the edifice of international justice. These legal principles reinforce the idea that no one is above the law, and those who orchestrate or actively participate in heinous acts will be held accountable. As the international community continues to refine and apply these principles, the pursuit of justice for victims of

international crimes remains a beacon of hope in a world committed to upholding the principles of humanity and accountability.

5. Case Studies of Commanders and Superiors Held Accountable by the ICC: Examining the Palestinian Situation

Exploring specific instances where commanders and superiors have been held accountable by the International Criminal Court (ICC) provides valuable insights into the application of international law. This article delves into case studies, with a focus on the Palestinian situation, to analyze how the ICC has addressed allegations of international crimes committed by individuals in positions of authority (Clancy & Falk,2021; Sarwar,2021; Parsland, 2016; De Leeuw,2016).

A. Background of the Palestinian Situation:

The Israeli-Palestinian conflict has been marked by long-standing tensions and numerous instances of violence. The ICC became involved in 2015 when Palestine, a non-member observer state, ratified the Rome Statute, granting the ICC jurisdiction over crimes committed on its territory since June 13, 2014.

B. Preliminary Examination and Decision to Investigate:

In December 2019, the ICC's Prosecutor announced the conclusion of a preliminary examination into the situation in Palestine, determining that there was a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation. The investigation includes alleged crimes committed by both Israeli and Palestinian individuals.

C. Focus on Commanders and Superiors:

The ICC's investigation in the Palestinian situation scrutinizes the actions of commanders and superiors on both sides of the conflict. This includes examining whether those in positions of authority, whether military or civilian, bear responsibility for crimes such as war crimes, crimes against humanity, and other serious violations of international law.

D. Challenges and Controversies:

The ICC's involvement in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict has not been without challenges and controversies. Both Israeli and Palestinian authorities have expressed opposition to the ICC's jurisdiction, raising questions about the Court's ability to carry out its mandate in the region.

E. Implications for International Justice:

The ICC's handling of the Palestinian situation carries significant implications for international justice. It tests the Court's ability to address complex geopolitical conflicts and holds individuals accountable, irrespective of their position or nationality.

F. Potential Impact on Deterrence:

The case studies from the Palestinian situation offer an opportunity to assess the potential impact of the ICC's actions on deterring future international crimes. By holding commanders and superiors accountable, the ICC aims to send a strong message that those in positions of authority will be held responsible for the actions of their subordinates.

Analyzing case studies, particularly those arising from the Palestinian situation, provides a real-world perspective on the application of international law by the ICC. The outcomes of these investigations will not only shape the course of justice in the region but will also contribute to the evolving jurisprudence of the ICC, influencing how the Court navigates the complexities of conflicts and holds individuals accountable for the most serious international crimes.

6. Challenges and Obstacles in Holding Commanders and Superiors Accountable for International Crimes

Examining the prosecution of commanders and superiors for international crimes reveals a landscape fraught with challenges and obstacles (Sangster, 2015). The current paper delves into the complexities surrounding the accountability of individuals in positions of authority, exploring the difficulties faced in bringing them to justice for grave offenses under international law, as follow:

A. Impunity and Lack of Cooperation:

One of the primary challenges in holding commanders and superiors accountable is the culture of impunity that may prevail in some regions or conflicts (Mbaku, 2019). Powerful individuals may escape prosecution due to a lack of cooperation from the states they belong to or from the international community.

B. Political Interference:

The intersection of international law and geopolitics introduces a significant hurdle in the pursuit of justice (Dixon & Tenove, 2013). Political considerations may influence the willingness of states to cooperate with international tribunals, hindering the investigation and prosecution of commanders and superiors (Alter et al., 2016).

C. Difficulties in Obtaining Evidence:

Collecting evidence to establish the culpability of commanders and superiors can be a formidable task. In conflict zones, access to crime scenes may be restricted, and witnesses may fear reprisals, making it challenging to gather the necessary proof for a successful prosecution (McCarthy, 2017)

D. Establishing Command Responsibility:

Proving command responsibility requires demonstrating that a commander had effective control over the individuals committing the crimes and that they knew or should have known about the unlawful actions. Establishing this link, especially in cases where the chain of command is unclear, poses a significant legal challenge (Robinson, 2017).

E. Lack of Universal Jurisdiction:

The absence of universal jurisdiction in some cases limits the avenues through which commanders and superiors can be held accountable. National legal systems may not have the jurisdiction to prosecute individuals for crimes committed outside their borders, necessitating international mechanisms like the International Criminal Court (Kaleck & Kroker, 2018).

F. Statute of Limitations:

The application of statutes of limitations in some jurisdictions poses a challenge to prosecuting commanders and superiors for historical international crimes (Wippman, 2017). These limitations may prevent the pursuit of justice for crimes committed in the distant past.

The challenges and obstacles in holding commanders and superiors accountable for international crimes underscore the complexity of navigating the intersection between justice, geopolitics, and the practicalities of investigations. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from the international community to strengthen legal frameworks, enhance cooperation, and ensure that those responsible for grave offenses are held to account, regardless of their position or power.

7. The Importance of International Cooperation in Enforcing Accountability for International Crimes

International cooperation plays a pivotal role in the pursuit of justice for grave offenses under international law (Krzan, 2016). This article delves into the significance of collaborative efforts among nations, international organizations, and legal institutions in ensuring accountability for individuals, including commanders and superiors, responsible for international crimes.

A. Strengthening the Effectiveness of International Tribunals:

International tribunals, such as the International Criminal Court (ICC), rely on the cooperation of states to carry out investigations, apprehend suspects, and enforce judgments (Rastan & Turlan, 2018). Effective collaboration enhances the reach and impact of these tribunals in holding individuals accountable for crimes against humanity, war crimes, and genocide.

B. Extradition and Transfer of Suspects:

Cooperation in the extradition or transfer of suspects to international courts is essential for ensuring that individuals accused of international crimes face justice. States' commitment to surrendering

individuals within their jurisdiction is a critical aspect of holding commanders and superiors accountable (McDermott, 2016).

C. Sharing Intelligence and Evidence:

International cooperation facilitates the sharing of intelligence and evidence, addressing challenges related to the collection of proof for prosecuting international crimes. Collaborative efforts enhance the quality and quantity of evidence available to investigators and prosecutors (Aksamitowska, 2021).

D. Closing Legal Loopholes and Filling Jurisdictional Gaps:

States working together can address legal loopholes and jurisdictional gaps that might otherwise impede the prosecution of international crimes. Mutual agreement on legal frameworks and extradition treaties strengthens the international legal system's ability to navigate complexities in holding individuals accountable (Meron, 2018).

E. Building Consensus on Legal Standards:

Collaboration fosters a shared understanding of legal standards for international crimes, promoting consistency in the application of justice. This consensus-building is crucial in overcoming challenges related to differing interpretations of crimes and ensuring a unified approach to accountability (Kotecha, 2020).

F. Enhancing Witness Protection:

Coordinated efforts are necessary to ensure the protection of witnesses who play a crucial role in international criminal proceedings. International cooperation can establish comprehensive witness protection programs, encouraging individuals to come forward and testify without fear of reprisals (Hofmann&Gallupe, 2015).

G. Promoting a Culture of Accountability:

By working collaboratively, the international community can promote a culture of accountability. This culture extends beyond legal frameworks and involves diplomatic efforts, public awareness campaigns, and educational initiatives that emphasize the importance of holding individuals accountable for grave international crimes (Hofmann & Gallupe, 2015).

The importance of international cooperation in enforcing accountability cannot be overstated. As the global community faces the complexities of prosecuting individuals for international crimes, collaboration emerges as a cornerstone for effective justice. By fostering partnerships, sharing resources, and upholding a collective commitment to accountability, nations can contribute to a

world where impunity is replaced by a robust and collaborative pursuit of justice for the most serious offenses against humanity.

8. The Legacy and Impact of the International Criminal Court (ICC) on Global Accountability

The International Criminal Court (ICC) stands as a transformative institution in the landscape of international justice (Garbett,2017). This article delves into the legacy and impact of the ICC, examining its contributions to global accountability and the challenges it faces in the pursuit of justice for the most serious international crimes.

A. Establishing a Framework for Accountability:

The ICC's establishment in 2002 marked a significant milestone in the evolution of international law. It provided a permanent and independent forum for the prosecution of individuals responsible for genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and the crime of aggression, establishing a framework for global accountability (Kononenko,2016).

B. Shaping Jurisprudence and Legal Standards:

Through its cases and judgments, the ICC has played a pivotal role in shaping jurisprudence and refining legal standards for international crimes. Precedents set by the Court contribute to the development of a coherent and evolving body of international law (Robinson & MacNeil, 2016).

C. Sending a Message Against Impunity:

The ICC's actions send a powerful message against impunity (Ramsden& Hamilto, 2017). By holding individuals, including commanders and superiors, accountable for grave offenses, the ICC reinforces the idea that no one is above the law, irrespective of their position or nationality.

D. Advancing the Principle of Complementarity:

The principle of complementarity, wherein the ICC intervenes only when national legal systems are unwilling or unable to prosecute, underscores the Court's commitment to respecting the sovereignty of states (Turan, 2016). This principle encourages and supports the strengthening of national legal systems for addressing international crimes.

E. Fostering a Culture of Accountability:

The ICC contributes to fostering a culture of accountability globally (Kendall, 2015). Its existence prompts states to take their responsibilities seriously in investigating and prosecuting international crimes, contributing to a more accountable and just world.

F. Addressing Gender-Based Crimes:

The ICC has been at the forefront of addressing gender-based crimes, recognizing sexual and gender-based violence as tools of warfare (Debecker, 2019). Landmark cases have contributed to acknowledging and redressing the often-overlooked impact of these crimes on victims and communities.

G. Facing Challenges and Criticisms:

Despite its achievements, the ICC faces challenges and criticisms. Issues such as limited enforcement capabilities, accusations of bias, and challenges in obtaining cooperation from powerful states underscore the difficulties in realizing the Court's full potential (Niang, 2017).

H. Contributing to Conflict Resolution and Prevention:

The ICC's engagement in conflict regions contributes to conflict resolution and prevention. By holding individuals accountable for crimes committed during conflicts, the ICC aims to break cycles of impunity and deter future atrocities (Prorok, 2017)

The legacy and impact of the ICC on global accountability are profound. While the Court faces challenges, its contributions to shaping legal standards, fostering accountability, and addressing the most serious international crimes leave an indelible mark on the pursuit of justice worldwide. As the ICC navigates the complexities of its mandate, its legacy continues to unfold, influencing the trajectory of international justice for generations to come.

9. Conclusion: The Ongoing Fight Against Impunity for International Crimes

The quest for justice in the face of international crimes remains an ongoing and essential battle. This concluding article reflects on the persistent efforts to combat impunity, examining the achievements, challenges, and the collective responsibility of the international community in the pursuit of a world where accountability prevails.

A. Celebrating Achievements in Accountability:

Acknowledging the progress made in holding individuals accountable for international crimes is paramount. From the establishment of the ICC to landmark cases that set legal precedents, there have been significant strides in the fight against impunity.

B. Recognizing the Role of International Institutions:

International institutions, such as the ICC and other international criminal tribunals, play a crucial role in the fight against impunity. Their contributions in shaping legal standards, conducting investigations, and delivering judgments contribute to a growing culture of accountability.

C. The Imperative of International Cooperation:

The ongoing battle against impunity necessitates strengthened international cooperation. Collaborative efforts among nations, international organizations, and legal institutions are vital in overcoming challenges, ensuring the enforcement of accountability, and promoting a unified approach to justice.

D. Addressing Challenges and Evolving Strategies:

Challenges, including geopolitical considerations, limited enforcement capabilities, and difficulties in obtaining cooperation, require ongoing attention. The international community must continually adapt and refine strategies to address these challenges and strengthen the mechanisms for holding perpetrators accountable.

E. Empowering National Legal Systems:

Empowering national legal systems to effectively address and prosecute international crimes is a key component of the ongoing fight against impunity. Supporting states in building robust legal frameworks and ensuring the principle of complementarity can contribute to a more comprehensive approach to accountability.

F. Nurturing a Culture of Accountability:

The fight against impunity extends beyond legal mechanisms to nurturing a broader culture of accountability. Education, public awareness, and diplomatic efforts are essential in creating a world where accountability is not just a legal principle but a shared value upheld by societies and nations.

G. Prioritizing Prevention and Conflict Resolution:

While pursuing justice for past crimes is crucial, the ongoing fight against impunity also involves prioritizing prevention and conflict resolution. By addressing root causes and creating conditions for stability, the international community can work towards breaking the cycles of violence and impunity.

H. Upholding Human Rights as a Priority:

At the heart of the ongoing fight against impunity is the unwavering commitment to upholding human rights. Prioritizing the protection of individuals from the most serious international crimes underscores the moral imperative and shared responsibility of the global community.

I. Embracing Multifaceted Approaches:

Recognizing the multifaceted nature of the challenge, the ongoing fight against impunity requires a combination of legal, diplomatic, humanitarian, and educational approaches. Embracing a holistic strategy ensures a comprehensive response to the complexities of international crimes.

J. The Enduring Commitment to Justice:

In conclusion, the ongoing fight against impunity is a testament to the enduring commitment of the international community to justice, human rights, and the prevention of atrocities. While challenges persist, the collective efforts of nations, institutions, and individuals contribute to a future where accountability is not just an aspiration but a reality, fostering a world free from the shadow of impunity.

10. Recommendations:

A. Enhance International Cooperation:

Strengthen international cooperation mechanisms to facilitate the exchange of intelligence, evidence, and resources among states, international organizations, and the ICC. This collaboration is essential for overcoming challenges related to geopolitical sensitivities and ensuring a unified approach to addressing international crimes.

B. Empower National Legal Systems:

Prioritize efforts to empower and support national legal systems, particularly in conflict zones, to enhance their capacity to prosecute individuals responsible for international crimes. This includes providing technical assistance, training, and resources to ensure that states can effectively address impunity within their jurisdictions.

C. Address Challenges in Obtaining Evidence:

Develop strategies to address challenges related to obtaining evidence, especially in conflict zones. This may involve establishing secure channels for witnesses to come forward, protecting those who provide crucial information, and leveraging technological advancements for remote evidence collection in areas with restricted access.

D. Promote Accountability Education:

Launch educational initiatives to promote a culture of accountability at both the national and international levels. These initiatives should focus on raising awareness about the consequences of international crimes, the role of legal mechanisms like the ICC, and the importance of individual responsibility in preventing impunity.

E. Amplify Diplomatic Efforts:

Intensify diplomatic efforts to encourage states to cooperate with the ICC and uphold their responsibilities in the pursuit of justice. Engaging in dialogue with both ICC member states and

non-member states is crucial for fostering a global commitment to accountability and overcoming political resistance.

F. Enhance Witness Protection Programs:

Invest in and improve witness protection programs to ensure the safety and cooperation of individuals providing crucial testimony in international criminal proceedings. Robust witness protection measures are vital for building strong cases against perpetrators and fostering a climate conducive to truth-telling.

G. Support the ICC's Role in Conflict Prevention:

Recognize and support the ICC's potential role in conflict prevention by holding individuals accountable for crimes committed during ongoing conflicts. The international community should actively engage in preventive diplomacy and support the ICC's efforts to deter future atrocities.

H. Review and Strengthen Legal Frameworks:

Continuously review and strengthen legal frameworks related to international crimes, including command responsibility and individual criminal liability. This involves addressing legal ambiguities, ensuring consistency in the interpretation of crimes, and adapting to evolving challenges in the pursuit of justice.

These recommendations collectively aim to bolster the fight against impunity for international crimes, recognizing the multifaceted nature of the challenge and the need for collaborative, proactive, and comprehensive strategies.

References:

- Akande, D., & Tzanakopoulos, A. (2017). The crime of aggression in the ICC and state responsibility. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 58.
- Aksamitowska, K. (2021). Digital evidence in domestic core international crimes prosecutions: Lessons learned from Germany, Sweden, Finland and the Netherlands. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 19(1), 189-211.
- Alter, K. J., Gathii, J. T., & Helfer, L. R. (2016). Backlash against international courts in west, east and southern Africa: causes and consequences. *European Journal of International Law*, 27(2), 293-328.
- Ambos, K., & Bock, S. (2015). *Individual Criminal Responsibility. The Elgar Companion to the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda* (Cheltenham UK, Edward Elgar Publishing).
- Bachmann, S. D., & Eda, L. (2018). Pull and push—Implementing the complementarity principle of the Rome Statute of the ICC within the African Union: Opportunities and challenges. *Brooklyn journal of international law*, 43(2).
- Barria, L. A., & Roper, S. D. (2005). How effective are international criminal tribunals? An analysis

- of the ICTY and the ICTR. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 9(3), 349-368.
- Benvenisti, E. (2018). Upholding democracy amid the challenges of new technology: What role for the law of global governance?. *European Journal of International Law*, 29(1), 9-82.
- Bonafé, B. I. (2007). Finding a proper role for command responsibility.
- Bracka, J. (2021). International Criminal Justice, the ICC, and the Israeli–Palestinian Conflict. *Transitional Justice for Israel/Palestine: Truth-Telling and Empathy in Ongoing Conflict*, 159-208.
- Choudhury, S. (2020). Jurisdiction over the International Criminal Court for Non Member State: Reflection from Arrest Warrant against Al Basheer. *Supremo Amicus*, 21, 78.
- Chuasanga, A., & Victoria, O. A. (2019). Legal Principles Under Criminal Law in Indonesia Dan Thailand. *Jurnal Daulat Hukum*, 2(1), 131-138.
- Clark, R. S. (2015). Elements of Crimes. In *The Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court* (pp. 620-644). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.
- Clements, R. (2022). “Efficiency Is Paramount in This Regard”: The Managerial Role of the ICC Presidency from Kirsch to Fernández. *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, 21(2), 342-368.
- Crootof, R. (2015). War torts: Accountability for autonomous weapons. *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 164, 1347.
- Davidson, C. (2017). How to read international criminal law: Strict construction and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court. *John's L. Rev.*, 91, 37.
- Debecker, L. (2019). To what extent is a gender bias in international law responsible for the failure to adequately address victims of sexual violence in conflict? (Doctoral dissertation).
- Dickinson, L. A. (2017). Notes and Comments: The Promise of Hybrid Courts. In *The Globalization of International Law* (pp. 403-420). Routledge.
- Dobrinouiu, M. (2019). The influence of artificial intelligence on criminal liability. *LESIJ-Lex ET Scientia International Journal*, 26(1), 140-147.
- Ford, S. (2019). Can the International Criminal Court Succeed? An Analysis of the Empirical Evidence of Violence Prevention. *Loy. LA Int'l & Comp. L. Rev.*, 43, 101.
- Garbett, C. (2017). The International Criminal Court and restorative justice: victims, participation and the processes of justice. *Restorative Justice*, 5(2), 198-220.
- Ghani, A., Khan, A. S., & Husnain, H. (2022). Evolution of the Individual Criminal Accountability Principle in International Law. *Journal of Social Sciences Review*, 2(4), 305-312.
- Ginsburg, T. (2017). The constitutional court and judicialization of Korean politics. In *Public Law in East Asia* (pp. 45-57). Routledge.
- Hale, K., & Rankin, M. (2019). Extending the ‘system’ of international criminal law? The ICC's decision on jurisdiction over alleged deportations of Rohingya people. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 73(1), 22-28.
- Hofmann, D. C., & Gallepe, O. (2015). Leadership protection in drug-trafficking networks. *Global*

- Crime, 16(2), 123-138.
- Kotecha, B. (2020). The international criminal court's selectivity and procedural justice. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 18(1), 107-139.
- Kovner, B., & Shalhoub-Kevorkian, N. (2018). Children, human rights organisations, and the law under occupation: the case of Palestinian children in East Jerusalem. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 22(5), 616-639.
- Krzan, B. (2016). International Criminal Court Facing the peace vs. justice dilemma. *International Comparative Jurisprudence*, 2(2), 81-88.
- Mayans-Hermida, B. E., & Holá, B. (2020). Balancing 'the International' and 'the Domestic' Sanctions under the ICC Principle of Complementarity. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 18(5), 1103-1130.
- McCarthy, A. H. (2017). Erosion of the rule of law as a basis for command responsibility under international humanitarian law. *Chi. J. Int'l L.*, 18, 553.
- Mbaku, J. M. (2019). International Law and the Struggle Against Government Impunity in Africa. *Hastings Int'l & Comp. L. Rev.*, 42, 73.
- Meloni, C. (2007). Command responsibility: Mode of liability for the crimes of subordinates or separate offence of the superior?. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 5(3), 619-637.
- Meron, T. (2018). Closing the accountability gap: Concrete steps toward ending impunity for atrocity crimes. *American Journal of International Law*, 112(3), 433-451.
- Mitchell, A. D. (2000). Failure to halt, prevent or punish: the doctrine of command responsibility for war crimes. *Sydney Law Review*, The, 22(3), 381-410.
- Mitchell, A. D. (2000). Failure to halt, prevent or punish: the doctrine of command responsibility for war crimes. *Sydney Law Review*, The, 22(3), 381-410.
- Niang, M. (2017). Africa and the Legitimacy of the ICC in Question. *International Criminal Law Review*, 17(4), 615-624.
- Opini, J. O. (2017). The Role Of ICC In Maintaining Justice (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Parsland, J. (2016). Justice and Politics—The ICC's territorial jurisdiction over occupied Palestinian territory.
- Pons, W. I., Lord, J. E., & Stein, M. A. (2022). Disability, human rights violations, and crimes against humanity. *American Journal of International Law*, 116(1), 58-95.
- Prorok, A. K. (2017). The (in) compatibility of peace and justice? The International Criminal Court and civil conflict termination. *International organization*, 71(2), 213-243.
- Rahman, S., & Wood, C. M. (2020). The Crime of Aggression in the ICC: Interpretation and the Role of the Assembly of States Parties. *The American Journal of International Law*, 114(2), 223-234.
- Ramsden, M., & Hamilton, T. (2017). Uniting against impunity: the UN General Assembly as a

- catalyst for action at the ICC. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 66(4), 893-921.
- Rastan, R., & Turlan, P. (2018). International cooperation and judicial assistance. *International criminal investigations: law and practice*, 31-66.
- Robinson, D. (2017). A justification of command responsibility. In *Criminal Law Forum* (Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 633-668). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Robinson, D., & MacNeil, G. (2016). The Tribunals and the renaissance of international criminal law: Three themes. *American Journal of International Law*, 110(2), 191-211.
- Ruys, T. (2018). Criminalizing Aggression: How the Future of the Law on the Use of Force Rests in the Hands of the ICC. *European Journal of International Law*, 29(3), 887-917.
- Sadat, L. N., & Carden, S. R. (2017). The new international criminal court: An uneasy revolution. In *International Crimes* (pp. 133-226). Routledge.
- Sachs, S. E. (2020). The Unlimited Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts. *Virginia Law Review*, 106(8), 1703-1768.
- Sangster, A. (2015). *Field-Marshal Kesselring: Great Commander Or War Criminal?*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Sarwar, F. I. (2021). *How Can International Institutions Be Improved to Ensure Accountability and Justice for Violations That Occur in Humanitarian and Counter-Terrorism Operations?* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Bradford).
- Schabas, W. A. (2017). Criminal Responsibility. *Human rights: international protection, monitoring, enforcement*, 281.
- Schwarz, A. (2014). War crimes. *Max Planck Encyclopedia of Public International Law*.
- Shoamanesh, S. S. (2018). Institution Building: Perspective from within the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court. *International Criminal Law Review*, 18(3), 489-516.
- Shilaho, W. K. (2018). The International Criminal Court and the African Union: Is the ICC a bulwark against impunity or an imperial Trojan horse?. *African Journal on Conflict Resolution*, 18(1), 119-146.
- Stahn, C. (2017). Damned if you Do, Damned if you Don't: Challenges and Critiques of Preliminary Examinations at the ICC. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 15(3), 413-434.
- Stahn, C. (2019). *A critical introduction to international criminal law*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tiemessen, A. (2016). The International Criminal Court and the lawfare of judicial intervention. *International Relations*, 30(4), 409-431.
- Tannenbaum, R., & Schmidt, W. H. (2017). How to choose a leadership pattern. In *Leadership perspectives* (pp. 75-84). Routledge.
- Turan, G. (2016). 'Responsibility to Prosecute' in an age of global governmentality: The International Criminal Court. *Cooperation and Conflict*, 51(1), 20-37.
- Verovšek, P. J. (2019). Against international criminal tribunals: reconciling the global justice norm with local agency. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, 22(6), 703-720.

724.

Wippman, D. (2017). Atrocities, deterrence, and the limits of international justice. In *Genocide and Human Rights* (pp. 501-516). Routledge.

Yihdego, Z. (2017). *The African Union and the International Criminal Court: The Challenges of Collaboration, Compliance and Complementarity*. Springer.